This Transcript has not been proof read or corrected. It is a working tool for the Tribunal for use in preparing its judgment. It will be placed on the Tribunal Website for readers to see how matters were conducted at the public hearing of these proceedings and is not to be relied on or cited in the context of any other proceedings. The Tribunal's judgment in this matter will be the final and definitive record.

## IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Salisbury Square House 8 Salisbury Square London EC4Y 8AP

Monday 29th January - Friday 22nd March 2024

Case No: 1381/7/7/21

Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Waksman

Eamonn Doran

Derek Ridyard

(Sitting as a Tribunal in England and Wales)

**BETWEEN**:

Justin Le Patourel Class

**Class Representative** 

 $\mathbf{V}$ 

- 2. BT Group PLC
- 3. British Telecommunications PLC

Respondent

## <u>APPEARANCES</u>

Ronit Kreisberger KC, Derek Spitz, Michael Armitage, Jack Williams and Matthew Barry (On behalf of Justin Le Patourel)

Daniel Beard KC, Sarah Love, Daisy Mackersie, Natalie Nguyen and Ali Al-Karim (on behalf of BT Group PLC and British Telecommunications PLC)

Jennifer MacLeod (On behalf of the Competition & Markets Authority)

1	Monday, 5 February 2024	1	obviously so your experts know really. But what that
2	(10.30 am)	2	does mean is that next week is going to be Mr Parker and
3	Housekeeping	3	Dr Jenkins only.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning. We just wanted to mention to	4	Thank you.
5	counsel a few housekeeping matters, starting with the	5	MS KREISBERGER: Sir, if I may say so, I am very grateful
6	least, I suppose, in a way. We have got two glossaries,	6	for that and it all sounds extremely sensible.
7	one that came from your skeleton argument and then we	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Right, well, thank you.
8	have got the BT one. Could somebody combine them,	8	Now, there are, to use a familiar expression, some
9	please?	9	rather more granular matters that I need to deal with
10	MS KREISBERGER: Yes.	10	very quickly.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: without an enormous discussion as to which	11	We are just thinking about some underlying factual
12	one's explanation is to be preferred. They are both	12	points, but before we go there, I just want to check: so
13	very useful.	13	far as dominance is concerned, we understand that the
14	MS KREISBERGER: It is more convenient.	14	Class Representative's position on dominance is
15	THE CHAIRMAN: We would just prefer to have it in one.	15	dependent on having established the narrow market.
16	Thank you.	16	MS KREISBERGER: It is, yes.
17	The second thing is that we have been reviewing the	17	THE CHAIRMAN: If you do not have the narrow market, that is
18	timetable and we now consider, in the course of our	18	the end of it. It is the end of everything.
19	preparations of the questions for the hot tubs and	19	MS KREISBERGER: That is right. We depend on that,
20	generally, that we think there is a real possibility	20	absolutely.
21	that we may need more than two days on market definition	21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, you depend on that. As we understand
22	and dominance in the hot tub. It does not mean we	22	it, it is not quite vice versa for BT, and that although
23	necessarily would want the whole of the three days, but	23	Dr Jenkins has spent most of her expert reports dealing
24	we are pretty sure at the moment we will go over two.	24	with dominance in the context of having established that
25	Therefore, what we would like to do is to have the	25	it is the wider market, she, as it were, reserves her
	1		3
1	week $$ next week will be market definition and	1	position and says there are still points she would want
2	dominance only. That will then knock the beginning of	2	to make even if it is a narrower market. Have I got
3	limb $1$ on to Monday, $19$ February. Equally here, having	3	that right?
4	regard to the number of issues that we need to consider	4	MR BEARD: Yes, that is right. Because market definition is
5	with the experts, we equally think there is a real	5	a framework, she has other points that she wants $$
6	possibility of going into a third day. Therefore, we	6	THE CHAIRMAN: She has other points she wants to make, and
7	would like to have limb $1$ occupying the whole of the	7	in particular the sort of countervailing factors,
8	week commencing 19 February.	8	arguments on market share and things like that.
9	That said, as matters stand, we do not think we will	9	MR BEARD: Yes, I think $$ obviously we try to deal with it
10	need more than one day on limb 2.	10	in summary form in our skeleton argument at
11	MS KREISBERGER: Yes.	11	paragraph 102 onwards.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: The result of that would be that there will	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
13	be two days for limb 2, one concurrent, one	13	MR BEARD: But, yes, we refer to Dr Jenkins. I do not have
14	cross—examination, starting in the week commencing 26th.	14	all of the references $$
15	Wednesday the 28th will therefore be the start of	15	THE CHAIRMAN: That is fine. We have read everything, but
16	Class characteristics . Thursday will be the deceased	16	there is a lot to go through and sometimes $\ \mbox{we just}$
17	Class Members. Week commencing 4 March will be quantum	17	wanted to make sure that was the parties' positions.
18	on the Monday and the Tuesday, so there is an extra day	18	MR BEARD: Yes.
19	overall .	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Then if I can just turn to the factual
20	That is what we want to provide for now, and we do	20	matters, and the other Members of the Tribunal will chip
21	not think this should cause a difficulty for the parties	21	in $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
22	in terms of their closings. We appreciate, we know	22	to add something, but what we wanted to try and do is
23	there is an issue about what day the closings should be,	23	have either in one place in a document, or at least
24	which we will come back to later in the week.	24	a discrete set of references, for what at the moment we
25	So that is what we wanted to do there, and just	25	see are the underlying factual points, most of which we

1	think are probably agreed or capable of agreement, and
2	forgive me if I am not being as scientific as I should,
3	and I will come back to anything tomorrow if they are
4	not, but we have divided these into three
5	sections: charges and prices, switching, and what I have
6	called profitability .
7	On charges, starting with $$ and we are familiar
8	with the tables, but the Line Rental charges for each of
9	the relevant years and the percentage increase year on
L 0	year for that. Similarly for call charges. Thirdly,
L1	there was something of a dispute where one has to have
L2	a proxy as to whether it should be ARPU or ARPM,
L3	although, from memory, where the experts grappled with
L4	that, they did not seem to think it made much
L5	difference, so I was not sure whether it is or could be
L6	agreed that, where there is the proxy, it is ARPU?
L7	MS KREISBERGER: I think that may be $$ there is a risk of
L8	oversimplification, but that is certainly the approach
L9	on limb 1. But neither party takes that approach on
20	market definition.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Right, right.
22	MS KREISBERGER: So they are both in play.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: They are both in play. All right.
24	MR BEARD: Well, we will see what they have to say. Because
25	of course if you look at section 7A of the joint expert

1 report, calculation of actual prices. Revenues earnt by 2 BT for SFV services could be used to estimate actual 3 prices for SFV services via an ARPU proxy, and that is part of the agreed bit. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that is why I was saying we just were 6 7 any time on it now. MS KREISBERGER: Understood. 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: But if you could have a word with each other. 10 If it seems to be common ground in the experts' 11 statement, that should be it, but you may say it does 12 not cover everything.  $\label{eq:mspec} \mathsf{MS}\ \mathsf{KREISBERGER} \colon\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{could}\ \mathsf{just}\ \mathsf{cut}\ \mathsf{through}\ \mathsf{by}\ \mathsf{saying}\ \mathsf{both}$ 13 14 experts -- well, certainly I will speak for my expert, 15 also looks at ARPM on market definition and they lead to 16 the same place. THE CHAIRMAN: They might look at them, but I am conscious 17 18 of the fact, having done some more reading, that there 19 are numerous places where they say, "look, I have done 2.0 it on that basis as well, but actually it does not make 21 any difference". If it does not make any difference, I would rather 2.3 try and cut through. 24 MR BEARD: We understand where you are coming from. 2.5 THE CHAIRMAN: Right.

2 dealt with by Mr Bunt but I want to raise them anyway. 3 It will be done by comparison with BT's broadband 4 bundles, but the incremental price to the customers over 5 the standalone, the SFV prices. Equally, and I am not sure this is something which 6 7 has emerged quite so easily, the incremental cost to BT 8 of supplying the broadband as well as the voice. It may 9 be somewhere in the  $-\!-$  I am not asking you to create 10 stuff, but if it is somewhere in the reports, we are 11 keen to see that. 12 MR BEARD: Sorry, just on the preceding one. Incremental 13 price, you refer to broadband bundles. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 14 15 MR BEARD: Are you meaning the Line Rental price within the 16 bundle or the total bundle price that you are talking 17 18 THE CHAIRMAN: We are not really talking about the 19 incremental price, it is simply the difference between 20 the bundle price and the SFV price. 2.1 MS KREISBERGER: Yes. Dr Jenkins has a graph on that. THE CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that. 22 MR BEARD: I was trying to clarify what it was. 2.3 2.4 THE CHAIRMAN: We have done our homework, but at least in my 25 case, not the others, but in my case I am forgetting

Next. Some of these questions may or may not be

1 where some of the tables are, and if we could be 2 reminded about that, that is all. 3 MS KREISBERGER: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Then on the facts of switching, not the whys 5 of switching, again, that is a simple graph and we 6 would -- just to be reminded about the actual figures 7 going through for VOC and SPC from 2015-2022, what they 8 were, the new SFV customers in the customer figures, the 9 BT customer figures for the BT bundles over the period, 10 and I say this with some hesitancy given what was said 11 in the annexes to the joint statement, but the 12 proportion of bundle -- BT bundle customers that had been SFV customers. There was an issue here about 13 14 Dr Jenkins' percentages and there was a correction made 15 by her in annex B to the joint statement. MR BEARD: Yes. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: But if I could just have that confirmed. 17 18 Then, profitability. In the context of market 19 definition switching, and so on and so forth, there is 2.0

an issue between the experts as to whether it was more profitable for BT to have the customers in the bundle than to remain where they were. In that context, there is the gross margin position, which is Dr Jenkins' primary position; there is the net margin analysis used by Mr Parker, which is his primary position; but then in

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 table 5 in annex B to the joint expert statement, 1 Let us go to your third statement, which is  $\{D/3/1\}$ 2 Dr Jenkins has sort of run those models again on a net 2 on the electronic bundles, and you should have a hard 3 margin basis, and we wanted to know whether the figures, 3 copy there as well. 4 not the inferences or conclusions that can be drawn from 4 A Mm-hm Q. If we go through to the page  $\{D/3/17\}$  of that, is that 5 it, but whether all those figures in table 5 are agreed 5 or could be agreed. 6 6 your signature? MR BEARD: We will certainly ask. 7 A. Yes. it is. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. As I say, we are not concerned here 8 Q. Subject to one correction, is that true to the best of 8 9 with what is going to be drawn from it. 9 your knowledge and belief? 10 That is all we wanted to -- that is "all"; that is 10 A. Yes. it is. 11 all we wanted to raise by way of that, and that is all 11 Q. Thank you. So if I may, I am just going to take you to we wanted to raise by way of housekeeping. 12 12 one or two corrections you indicated you had primarily 13 MS KREISBERGER: Yes, I am grateful. 13 MR BEARD: I am grateful. 14 14 15 MS KREISBERGER: Thank you very much, sir. 15 Q. If we could go back to your second statement, and if we MR BEARD: We do not have anything else. 16 16 could go to page  $\{D/2/22\}$ , at paragraph 79 you say: THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 17 "I had changed roles to Head of Voice Pricing 17 18 Right, Mr Bunt. 18 in July 2015, so around the time we were planning MR JONATHAN BUNT (affirmed) 19 19 Proiect Laika." 20 Examination-in-chief by MR BEARD 20 Did you have a correction in relation to that date? 21 MR BEARD: Mr Bunt, good morning. 21 A. A small correction there, which is that by July 2015 we 2.2 A. Good morning. 22 were executing Project Laika. It was already planned. 23 2.3 Q. You have actually given three witness statements in So "planning" should be "executed" 2.4 24 "Planning" should be "executed". Okay, thank you. these proceedings, but I am just going to take you to 25 two of them which I think are the ones germane to the 2.5 If we could then go on to paragraph 92  $\{D/2/26\}$ , you 1 matters that are now before the Tribunal. 1 I think you have in front of you, by looking at it,  $^{\prime\prime}\text{I}\,$  recall that the 2017/2018 price change was 2 2 3 a hard copy, I think, of your second and third witness 3 particularly difficult because, as explained further in statements? the 2017 Review section below, between November 2016 5 A. I do, yes. 5 and October 2017 BT was in the process of negotiating Q. In addition, you will see there is a red folder there. 6 a Line Rental discount for VO customers with Ofcom ..." 6 7 I do not know what is in the red folder but I think Did you have a clarification or correction in 8 8 those are hard copies of documents that Ms Kreisberger relation to that? 9 9 A. That should specify the January 2018 price change, may want to take you to in the course of her 10 cross-examination. 10 rather than the 17/18 price change, because I recall 11 Let us just deal with the statements, if I may. 11 the January 2018 price was particularly difficult . 12 A. Okay. 12 Q. Thank you. If we go through to paragraph 107  $\{D/2/31\}.$  This is 13 Q. I will get them called up on the screen as well, so it 13 under a heading "September 2020 Price Change". If we go 14 14 is  $\{D/2/1\}$ . 15 15 down to paragraph 108, the final sentence says: A Yes 16 16 "The CLT ultimately chose to implement a CPI + 3.9% Q. Does that match the document you have got in front of 17 price from September 2020." 17 you? 18 A. It does 18 A. They chose to implement CPI 3.9% price rise terms from 19 Q. If we could go through to page  $\{D/2/43\}$ . Is that your 19 September 2020. They did not implement the price rise 2.0 2.0 signature? then, but they changed our terms and conditions at that 2.1 21 A. That is my signature. 2.2 Q. Subject to one or two corrections you have, is this true 2.2 They changed the terms and conditions. So when did they

23

2.4

2.5

10

to the best of your knowledge and belief?

12

Q. Right. So the September 2020 price change refers to

actually implement the price rise?

At the end of March 2021.

23

24

2.5

A. It is.

Q. Thank you.

- 1 terms and conditions, and then implemented
- 2 in March 2021?
- 3 A. That is right.
- 4 Q. At paragraph 117,  $\{D/2/33\}$ :
- "I also recall that Stuart Murray ... had a meeting 5 6
- with Ofcom in early June 2016 (which I know to have 7 taken place on 8 June 2010 after seeing an email from
- 8 Stuart recounting the meeting) ..."
- A. Yes, that should say "2016" rather than "2010". That is 9 10 a typo
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 Paragraph 143,  $\{D/2/41\}$ . I think this may be
- 13 another ... I think there is a date here.
- A. That is right. Both the references to "September 2020" 14 15 should be "September 2019".
- Q. Right. 16
- 17 Then further down, it refers to:
- 18 "Digital Voice is a home phone service operating via
- broadband that BT Consumer hopes to migrate all 19
- 20 customers onto by 25 December 2023."
- 2.1 A. That should just be "December 2025".
- Q. Thank you. So "2019" twice and "2025" are the 2.2 2.3 corrections?
- 2.4 A Yes
- 25 Q. Thank you.

- 1 Then if we go to annex 1, I think there is another 2 date here.  $\{D/2/45\}$
- 3 A. That is right. In the third row, "Right Plan", it
- should be "December 2014 to October 2017", rather than
- 5 "to date".
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 Then annex 2, which is two pages further on,
- 8  $\{D/2/47\}$ . I think you indicated that you might actually
- 9 be able to provide dates in relation to the NAs on the
- 10 far right-hand side?
- 11 A. That is right. The "September 2020", as mentioned,
- 12 should be "March 2021", at the bottom.
- 13 Q. Sorry, could you just clarify that first one?
- 14 A. So first of all, the two boxes at the top that are "NAs"
- 15 in the top right should be "January 2021" and
- 16 "January 2022".
- 17 Q. "January 2021" and "January 2022", thank you.
- 18 Then you said you had another?
- 19 A. Yes. So, yes, it should be -- it is sort of 31 March,
- 2.0 1 April. So to be consistent, "April 2021" in the
- 21 "Price Change Implemented" instead of "September 2020"

14

- 2.2 in the other ...
- 23 Q. Right. So the last three boxes on the "Price Change
- 2.4 Implemented" read "April 2020", "April 2021",
- 2.5 "April 2022"?

1 A. That is right.

- 2 Thank you. Do you have any other corrections in
- 3 relation to this statement?
- 4 A Not in statement 2
- 5 Q. Thank you. If you could just go to the third witness
- statement, so this is  $\{D/3/11\}$ . This is not a date 6
- 7 correction, as I understand it?
- 8

13

- 9 Q. This is in relation to pricing variable calls.
- 10 A. That is right.
- 11 Q. In paragraph 40, you say:
- 12 "As I explained above, each calling plan had
  - a specific charging structure for variable calls, which
- 14 included different call rates depending on the type of
- 15 call, the time of day (for P plans and C plans) and the
- 16 calling plan the customer was on." 17 What is it you would like to correct there, Mr Bunt?
- 18 A. That there was a set-up fee as well, so a set-up fee as
- well as a price for the call rates. There are two 19
- 20 different parts to it.
- 2.1 Q. There was a set-up fee --
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. -- for each?
- 2.4 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Right. So ...

15

- 1 A. So each calling plan had a specific charging structure
- 2 for variable calls, which included different call rates
- and  $\operatorname{set-up}$  fees depending on the type of call and time 3
- Q. Right, thank you. Were there any other corrections you 5
- 6 had to your third statement?
- 7 A No
- 8 MR BEARD: I am grateful. Unless the Tribunal has any
- 9 preliminary questions, I do not have any questions for
- 10 you, Mr Bunt. Therefore, it is going to be
- 11 Ms Kreisberger who has questions for you.
- 12 MS KREISBERGER: Good morning, Mr Bunt. Before I ask you
- 13 some questions, this one is really for the Tribunal.
- 14 Mr Beard did not take Mr Bunt to his first witness
- 15 statement and I will be going to that. That is the
- 16 first statement in the bundle.
- MR BEARD: I will happily get that sworn. That is fine. 17
- 18 Could we go to your first witness statement, so this
- 19 is  $\{D/1/1\}$ .
- 2.0 A. Yes
- 21 Q. Can we go to page  $\{D/1/9\}$ .
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that your signature?
- 24 A. Yes, that is my signature.
- 2.5 Q. Is this statement true to the best of your knowledge and

- 1 belief?
- 2 A. It is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- 3 MR BEARD: More than happy to oblige.
- ${\sf Cross-Examination\ by\ MS\ KREISBERGER}$ 4
- MR KREISBERGER: Thank you very much, Mr Beard. 5
- Mr Bunt, I would like to begin by asking you 6
  - a little about the various positions you have held in BT
- 8 over the time period that you give evidence for.
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. Now, you should have your first witness statement there.
- 11 You should have all three.
- A. I do. 12
- 13 Q. If we pick up your first witness statement at
- paragraph 5 which is on the second page  $\{D/1/2\}$ . You 14
- 15 say there that you joined BT in November 2011. That is
- 16 right? A. Yes

17

- 18 Q. You go on to say at paragraph 8 that you were promoted
- 19 to Senior Propositions Manager of the Voice Propositions
- 20 Team in November -- I am so sorry, this is in your
- 2.1 second statement. So if you could move forward to that,
- 2.2 that is  $\{D/2/2\}$ .
- 2.3 At paragraph 8, there you say:
- 2.4 "In November 2013, [you were] appointed to Senior
- Propositions Manager of the Voice Propositions Team ...

17

- within BT Consumer " 1
- 2 A. Yes, appointed to, yes.
- 3 Q. In that role, you say you were responsible for creating
- and managing voice products and services?
- 5 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 6 Q. But not products in the other three divisions, those are
- 7 broadband, television and sport?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Each propositions team would be in charge of their own
- 10 products?
- 11 A. They would, yes.
- 12 Q. If we just then go back to your first statement,
- 13 Mr Bunt, at paragraph 6, so that is back to  $\{D/1/2\}$ .
- Now, in that paragraph you describe your role as 14
- 15 "Propositions Manager for BT Consumer", but according to
- 16 your second statement, you say you were "Senior
- 17 Propositions Manager [for] Voice"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Can we take it that the position is correctly set out in 2.0 your second statement?
- 2.1 A. That is right.
- 2.2 Q. So this is not right, so that the Tribunal has it. You
- 23 were Senior Propositions Manager for Voice, not
- 2.4 Propositions Manager for BT Consumer?
- 2.5 A. That is right.

18

- 1 Q. There is a discrepancy on the date as well. This refers 2
  - to October 2013. It is right that the date that you
- 3 moved to that role was November 2013?
- 4 A. I actually do think it is October 2013.
- 5 Q. So on the date we go to your first statement?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. On the role we go to your second statement?
- 8 A. That is right.
- 9 Q. You also say, Mr Bunt, in your first statement, that you
- 10 were responsible for leading annual price change
- 11 programmes across all of BT Consumer's products. That
- 12 is at paragraph 6 at  $\{D/1/2\}$ .
- 13 That is right.
- 14 Q. So that is voice, internet, pay TV, sport and mobile?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, in your second statement, if we flick back to that
- 17 one, on page 8, paragraph 28,  $\{D/2/8\}$ , there you say
- 18 that Voice Propositions Team was responsible for
- 19 overseeing and co-ordinating price changes for the Voice
- 2.0 and Broadband Divisions in BT Consumer until 2019. So
- 2.1 just to be clear, we can add to that pay TV, sport and
- mobile? 2.2
- A. You certainly can. Yes, that is right. 2.3
- 24 Q. Now, while you were in this role you were responsible
- 25 for actually drafting slides about price?

19

- A. Yes, I was. 1
- 2. Q. That is at paragraph 16 of this statement. So you were
- 3 drafting slides about price for voice products?
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. Also voice services?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Now, if we go to paragraph 9 of your second statement.
- 8 That is at page  $\{D/2/3\}$ . We then move forward in time.
- 9 You were promoted to Head of Pricing within the Voice
- 10 Propositions Team. That was April 2015?
- 11
- 12 Q. But if we look back at your first statement, that is
- 13 paragraph 7,  $\{D/1/2\}$ , you say you were promoted to that
- 14 role in July 2015?
- 15 A. Yes
- 16 Q. Can we take it that the correct date is in your second
- 17 statement, Mr Bunt?
- 18 A. I think so, to the best of my recollection, yes.
- 19 Q. So that is an error in the first statement?
- 2.0 A. Yes
- 21 Q. Now, you explain that in this role. Head of Voice
- 2.2 Pricing, you were heavily involved in managing price
- 23 changes for the Voice Division, so you carried on --
- 2.4 A. Absolutely
- 2.5 Q. You also say you would oversee the preparation of

7

- 1 pricing presentations, you would develop recommendations
  - and conduct consumer research in that role?
- 3 A. Yes.

2

- $4\,$   $\,$  Q. If it helps, that is at paragraph --
- 5 A. 10?
- 6 Q. -- 30 of your second statement  $\{D/2/8\}$ .
- 7 A. Paragraph 10, I think?
- 8 Q. At paragraph 30,  $\{D/2/8\}$ , that is the one, I think you
- 9 describe it as doing the "leg work"?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes, that is right, absolutely. Sorry.
- $11\,$   $\,$  Q. You also say at paragraph 10 that you made pricing
- $12 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{recommendations for the BT Consumer CEO and senior} \\$
- management on how to improve the commercial performance of Voice. That is at paragraph 10. That is on  $\{D/2/3\}$ .
- 15 A. (Pause). In my second statement? I do not see the
- $16\,$  reference to commercial in 10, but it is true that was
- part of the role.
- 18 Q. Then, Mr Bunt, just following the chronology, you
- stepped away from voice for five months from July 2016?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. So that was July 2016 to November 2016. At that point
- you took on the role of Head of Broadband and Bundles
- 23 Acquisitions Strategy?
- 24 A. That is right.
- 25 Q. So there you were dealing with broadband bundle pricing?

21

- 1 A. I was.
- $2\,$   $\,$  Q. That role was in the light of the ASA ruling in
- 3 April 2016?
- 4 A. The role existed and it would have -- pre and post that,
- $\,\,$  but yes, I was focused on that during my time in the
- 6 role.
- $7\,$  Q. In December 2016, having spent some time with broadband
- 8 bundles, you went back to the voice team and you became
- 9 Acting General Manager for Voice. That was December —
- $10 \quad \text{A. I think November} -- \, \text{November/December, end}$
- 11 of November 2016 --
- 12 Q. So if we --
- 13 A. Acting General Manager of Voice, yes.
- $14\,$   $\,$  Q. If we pick up paragraph 11 of your second statement,
- 15  $\{D/2/3\}$ , it does say in December 2016?
- 16 A. Yes, that is right.
- $17\,$   $\,$  Q. I think your two statements are consistent on that
- 18 point.
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20\,$   $\,$  Q. Then moving forward again, on 1 April 2017 you were
- 21 promoted to General Manager of Voice?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. In that role you would make pricing recommendations to

22

- 24 the CEO of BT Consumer?
- 25 A. That is correct.

1 Q. You would coordinate pricing strategy with other

- divisions within BT Consumer?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. You stayed in that role until March 2019?
- 5 A. That is right.
- 6 Q. Again, in terms of documents, so from April 2015 until
  - April 2019 you oversaw the preparation of slide decks on
- 8 price?
- 9 A. With the exception of that brief window when I was
- 10 Broadband Acquisition.
- 11 Q. You commented on them?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Just to bring it up to present day, in April 2019 you
- 14 were promoted to Director of Portfolio and Pricing for
- 15 BT Consumer?
- 16 A. That is right.
- 17 Q. So you continued to oversee Voice along with the other
- 18 divisions of BT Consumer?
- 19 A. That is right.
- 20 Q. Then in December 2019 you were appointed Consumer
- 21 Regulatory Affairs Director?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. You are now retail regulatory affairs director?
- 24 A Lam
- 25 Q. Let us turn to Line Rental.

2

1 A. Okay.

3

- 2 Q. Mr Bunt, your evidence is that you never priced Line
  - Rentals specifically for SFV customers. You say you
- 4 were doing it for the entire base -- the entire Voice
- 5 base of 8/9 million people?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So that would include both SFV customers and bundle
- 8 customers?
- 9 A. It would.
- 10 Q. Just so you have it, that is at paragraph 18 of your
- 11 second statement.
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. If I could ask the EPE to pick up  $\{F/604/1\}$ , "PR Brief
- on Specific Voice elements of Price Change". Do you
- 15 have that there on the screen?
- 16 A. On the screen I do, yes.
- 17 Q. Now, this document is dated 21 June 2018. I think you
- 18 need to see the metadata on Opus for that date, but we
- 19 have the metadata if it is helpful?
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Could we just have it expanded, please, on
- 21 the EP? Thank you.
- 22 MS KREISBERGER: Now, Mr Bunt, this document was authored by

- 23 you
- 24 A. I expect so, yes.
- Q. Again, we have that on the metadata. Just to situate

1		this in time, you were General Manager of Voice at this	1		falling ."
2		time in 2018?	2		Those are what we call Voice Only Customers, that is
3		That is right.	3		right?
4	Q.	Now, the document is a PR brief for the 2018/2019 price	4		VOCs, yes.
5		change?	5	Q.	Then you say this:
6	Α.	Yes.	6		"Split service customers actually tend to be
7	Q.	That price change $$ and if it is helpful, we can call	7		wealthier [I think that should be "than"] bundle
8		up $\{IR-A/12/10\}$ . You just have there an uncontroversial	8		customers"
9		summary of the price changes.	9	A.	Yes.
10	MF	R BEARD: I am sorry. When there are documents that you	10	Q.	" and are usually in this position because they are
11		are referring to that are in hard copy, would you mind	11		getting a good deal, or they don't care about what they
12		just indicating to the witness if you know?	12		pay."
13	MS	KREISBERGER: Of course.	13		Then you say:
14	MF	R BEARD: That is very kind of you. Thank you.	14		"We are writing to all these customers to suggest
15	MS	S KREISBERGER: I think this one is	15		they switch to BT for a bundle and save!"
16	A.	I do not think I have the hard copy.	16		"But we're also increasing their prices in the price
17	MS	S KREISBERGER: It is just the lengthy ones which are in	17		change."
18		hard copy.	18		Then the last bullet point there says:
19	MF	R BEARD: Thank you.	19		"These are the only customers who pay £18.99 for
20	MS	KREISBERGER: So the 2018/2019 price change, BT increased	20		Line Rental today, that's because bundle customers don't
21		the price of Line Rental by £1, from £18.99 to £19.99.	21		pay explicitly for Line Rental, they pay one price for
22	A.	That is right.	22		their line and broadband e.g. £28.99 and Truly Solus
23	Q.	If we look at page 2 of this document, if you see the	23		customers have their £7 discount so they pay £11.99."
24		heading there towards the $$ sorry, we are going back to	24		Mr Bunt, here you are saying that it is the split
25		$\{F/604/2\}$ . Do you see the heading there, Mr Bunt,	25		service customers who are the only customers that pay
		0.5			0.77
		25			27
1		"Split Service Line Rental"?	1		the increase in the Line Rental price?
2	A.	I cannot see it at the moment, sorry.	2	A.	This is $$ this bears some explanation, if that is okay,
3	Q.	If we could go down to that heading and blow that up,	3		because I am explaining to the PR team, who will not be
4		perhaps. Thank you very much.	4		familiar with the nuance of this category, how
5		Your second bullet point, Mr Bunt, defines this	5		the pricing works in a way that is relatively
6		group as:	6		accessible, without going into the level of depth that
7		" customers who have broadband with another	7		I otherwise might to summarise a brief for them.
8		provider and Line Rental from BT"	8		It is true that the only people who would have had
9		You say:	9		an £18.99 price point on their bill, like explicit with
10		" (a strange state of affairs , but sometimes	10		£18.99 at that point, would have been Split Purchase
11		rational, sometimes because people haven't updated their	11		Customers or split supply customers, "SPCs". However,
12		account)."	12		there is more nuance to it than that. That group, with
13	Α.	Yes.	13		the example of paying £28.99 for Line Rental and
14	Q.	Now, Ofcom calls these Customer Split Supplier	14		broadband, if an individual in that group were to take
15		Purchasers?	15		their broadband away, so were to switch their broadband
16	A.		16		only to another provider, the Line Rental price they
17		You are discussing here how these split service	17		would move to would also be £18.99 at this point.
18	•	purchasers will be affected by the price change, the	18	Q.	So your evidence is the bundle customer would be paying
19		18/19 price change?	19	٦.	the same amount of Line Rental as the standalone
20	Α.	Yes.	20		customer?
21		You say this in the fifth bullet point. You say:	21	Α.	That would be the —— the price they would end up paying,
22	٠.	"For me the important point in general is that	22		yes.
23		customers who are Truly Solus, tend to be older, poorer,	23	Q.	So they would pay the same price.
24		more landline dependent and by definition don't benefit	24	٠,	Let us have a look at another document on that,

25

please. Could we turn up  $\{F/605/1\}.$  Now, you do refer

25

from the word of broadband, where prices generally are  $% \left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\}$ 

4

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

22

2.3

2.4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

1	to this document in your witness statement. Let us have
2	a look at the second paragraph on the first page. You
3	say:

"This document serves two purposes ..."

5 Sorry, I should say, can you see there on the first 6 page the heading is "Price Changes September 2018"? 7

A. Yes.

- Q. "This document serves two purposes, firstly to act as a record of the changes we are making and how we are positioning them. Secondly, to anticipate the toughest questions we might receive and have answers to tackle them."
- 13
- Q. So if we go down, please, to the third page of this 14 15 document,  $\{F/605/3\}$ . You see there the question --16 perhaps we could blow up the middle, that is it:

"Why has Line Rental gone up from £18.99 to £19.99 and which customers will pay that price?"

You see that question?

- 20 A. Mm-hm.
- 2.1 Q. Then the question is answered:

"Line Rental is going up for a very small group of customers. Customers who only have a line from BT and don't have broadband with BT or anyone else will continue to pay the heavily discounted price of £11.99.

1 However, customers who have Line Rental from BT but broadband from another ISP will pay £19.99 from 2 3 September 16. This is the first increase in Line Rental since July 2016."

Then do you see there the last line:

"Customers who have line and broadband bundles with BT are not affected."

So, Mr Bunt, BT is saying there explicitly that it is not applying the £1 increase in the price of Line Rental in September 2018 to bundle customers, so that is contrary to the example you just gave?

A. So this is complex, as I said, and does not bear the sort of simple answer to a PR question that this is designed for. It is still, as I said a moment ago, the case that those customers with line and broadband, if they took their broadband away, the Line Rental price they would default back to would be the price that was increasing to £19.99, so it would have affected them in that regard.

On their bill, which is the customer reference point for price, they would have seen a single asset, a single number that referred to their total bundle price that was going up in price, I think in that price change by £2, if I recall. So essentially for kind of simplicity, accessibility sake we explained it like that. But the

1 underlying dynamics of the price point, the asset in the

system changed from £18.99 to £19.99, so the consequent

- 3 effect on a customer in that situation would have been
- 4 that a line and broadband bundle customer, if they took
- 5 their broadband away, would revert to £19.99. So
- essentially that price is the same for all of them. 6
- 7 Q. Let us just look at that, Mr Bunt. So you are saying that if a Line Rental customer stops -- sorry, if 8
- 9 a bundle customer stops buying a bundle they will then
- 10 pay the standalone Line Rental price?
- 11 A. So assuming they move their broadband to somebody else.
- 12 If they became a VOC then they would pay the discounted 13
- 14 Q. If a customer stops buying a bundle and becomes
- 15 a standalone customer, they pay the higher price, do
- 16 they not Mr Bunt?
- 17 A. It would depend. If they stopped buying a bundle and
- 18 just became a VOC or if they stopped buying a bundle and
- became an SPC. But if they became an SPC, they would 19
- 20 pay the £19.99
- 2.1 Q. Mr Bunt, it says here:

22 "Customers who have line and broadband bundles are 2.3 not affected by the price increase.'

2.4 That is right, is it not?

25 A. It says it -- it says that, yes, that is right.

31

1 Q. It is correct, is it not?

- 2 A. No. So first of all, as I said, there was a price
- 3 increase for those customers then as well. Their price
- went up by £2. Secondly, the way they would have been
- 5 impacted by it, and this is an oversimplification here
- 6 for PR purposes, rather than getting into the nuanced
- 7 detail of it, is that a customer in that position, if
- 8 they had taken their broadband away, would have ended up
- 9 paving £19.99. So it is nuanced, I appreciate, but that 10
- 11 Q. Let us have a look at the nuanced detail on that then,
- 12 shall we?
- 13 A Mm-hm
- Q. This should be on the EPE, it is  $\{F/880/1\}\text{, and you}$ 14
- 15 should have a hard copy of this which I hope is helpful.
- 16 I stand corrected, I am not sure you do have a hard
- 17 copy. It is a long document but I am only taking you to 18 a couple of pages.
- 19 This is the BT Consumer Price Guide and, as you see 2.0 there, it says "Effective 16 January 2018"?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 2.2 Q. So these are your prices. Now, these are your prices
- 23 before the 2018/2019 price change?
- 24 A. This is our best record of them, yes.
- 2.5 Q. Yes, this is your best record of those prices.

30

- 1 If you could go to page  $\{F/880/4\}$ , you see there
- 2 that is headed "Line Rental Residential Lines"?
- 3 A. Yes
- $4\,$  Q. Can you see there, Mr Bunt, the price of Standard Line
- 5 Rental in the very first line?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That is £18.99?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So in January 2018 the price of Line Rental was £18.99,
- 10 Standard Line Rental?
- 11 A. It was
- 12 Q. If we could now go forward to page  $\{F/880/142\}$  in the
- document. That is the one. So that says "Standard
- 14 Broadband & Calls Packages". This table sets out
- 15 the prices of dual play bundles?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That is right, is it not?
- 18 A. It does, yes.
- 19 Q. We see here the prices of standard BT dual play bundle
- in the first column. Do you see that?
- 21 A. I do.
- 22 Q. The first price there is £24.99, and that is for new
- 23 customers during their minimum contract period?
- 24 A. That is right, standard prices, ves.
- 25 Q. So that is the price for front book customers?

- 1 A. Yes, standard price.
- $2\,$   $\,$  Q. Then the second row, you see the price there £37.99?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- $4\,$   $\,$  Q. That is back book, that is existing customers, back book
- 5 customers, after minimum contract?
- 6 A. I do not think it is that simple. The back book are
- 7 paying many prices for broadband. What this is saying
- 8 is that if you take that product, the £24.99 product, at
- 9 the end of your term the price will go to £37.99.
- $10\,$  Q. Yes. That is right, is it not?
- Now, if we have a look at another price guide. Let
- $12\,$   $\,$  us pick up the October 2019 price guide, so that is
- 13  $\,$  after the price change. That is at  $\{F/883/1\}.$  So you
- 14 see there on the front page "Effective from
- 15 25th October 2019"?
- 16 A. Mm-hm.
- $17\,$   $\,$  Q. So these are following the price changes. If we could
- 18 please go to page  $\{F/883/4\}$ . I am sorry, it is page
- $\{F/883/5\}$  on Opus. You see there again the same table,
- 20 Standard Line Rental, £19.99?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So that is the £1 increase in the Line Rental price?
- 23 A. It is
- 24~ Q. Then if we go forward -- I should just show you annex 2~
- of your second witness statement. That is  $\{D/2/47\}$ . We

- saw this in your corrections list , Mr Bunt.
- 2 A. Yes
- 3  $\,$  Q. You note that the price of broadband in the 18/19 price
- $4\,$  change, can you see there, September 2018 is when it was
- 5 implemented?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. You have there the £1 on Line Rental, I have just shown
- 8 you that, £19.99, and £2.50 on broadband?
- 9 A. That is right.
- $10\,$   $\,$  Q. Now, can we go to page 6 within this document, so it is
- 11 the next page. Here we have standard broadband and
- calls packages. Sorry, we are going back, I am so
- sorry. It is  $\{F/883/6\}$ . There we go.
- 14 Do you see that, Mr Bunt?
- 15 A. Yes
- 16 Q. That is again a table setting out the prices of BT's
- 17 dual play bundles?
- 18 A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. If you look at the first row, it says £24.99 for new
- 20 customers during their minimum contract period?
- 21 A Yes
- 22 Q. So that is the same price that I showed you as before
- 23 the price change?
- 24 A. That is right. I will have to check if it was the same
- 25 product though.

35

- $1\,$   $\,$  Q. Well, it is Standard Line Rental. These are our
- 2 standard prices.
- 3 A. You are referring to the broadband 15 gigabyte product,
- 4 right?
- 5 Q. Yes --
- 6 A. I would need to see ——
- 7 Q. The same broadband product?
- 8 A. Are you sure it is? I might need to see that one.
- 9 Q. We will go back in a moment.
- 10 MR BEARD: I think it is only fair the witness is shown the
- 11 other document.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just go back to the other one to
- 13 cross—check it now?
- 14 MS KREISBERGER: Yes, it is  $\{F/880/142\}$ . You see at --
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. -- bottom there --
- 17 A. It is the same 15 gigabyte allowance, yes.
- 18 Q. So it is same product?
- 19 A. It is.
- 20 Q. So we are talking about the same product, Mr Bunt. You
- see there that the £1 on Line Rental has not fed through
- 22 to that price.
- 23 If you want to have a look back --
- $24\,$   $\,$  A. Sorry, I understand what you are saying, but if we go to
- 25 883 then I can see that, sorry.

- 1~ Q. Let us go back to  $\{\text{F}/883/142\}$  and you see there, I am
- 2 afraid there is a bit of flicking, I am so sorry.
- 3 Could we do side by side, so  $\{F/880/142\}$  and then
- 4 {F/883/6}. That should make it much easier.
- 5 A. That is great.
- ${\sf 6} {\sf Q}.$  There we go. There you see we are talking about the
- 7 same product --
- 8 A. Yes, we are.
- 9 Q. -- and the same price. So this is before and after the
- 10 Line Rental price increase --
- 11 A. Mm-hm.
- 12 Q. -- broadband customers, broadband bundle customers were paying £24.99 before and after the new, we are staying
- with the first row, during the minimum contract period?
- 15 A. Yes. So this is complex, if I may explain. The
- 16 customers who took that product on in the 2018 tariff
- guide, on the left hand side as I am looking at it,
- would have seen their price increase. We would then
- 19 introduce a new front book product at £24.99 so the
- $20\,$  customers who took that price in future would not see an
- 21 increase.
- So to say, as I think you did, that the customers
- 23 who took the £24.99 price point, that product that we
- 24 are looking at there, would have had -- did not see
- 25 their price increase is not correct. Those customers

- 1 who took that product did see a price increase. We
- $2\,$  would then, when we did a price change, introduce a new
- 3 front book of products.
- 4 Q. Let us go through this again.
- 5 A. Please.
- 6 Q. So in -- before the 18/19 price change, the price that
- 7 BT was offering bundles for to new customers was £24.99?
- 8 A. That is right.
- 9 Q. BT then increased the price of Line Rental by £1?
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- $11\,$   $\,$  Q. Then by October 2019 the price that BT was offering
- bundles to new customers was still £24.99?
- 13 A. That is absolutely true.
- 14 Q. That price did not go up?
- 15 A. I agree with that, absolutely.
- $16\,$   $\,$  Q. Let us then look at the price for existing BT broadband
- $17\,$  customers. Let us go back to the 2018 pre-price change
- 18 price. Can you see there existing broadband customers
- 19 per month, £37.99?
- 20 A. I see that.
- 21 Q. Do you see that on the left—hand side?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. Then if we look at the post-price change side, do you

38

- 24 see there £40.49 for the same group of customers?
- 25 A. I see that, absolutely.

- 1 Q. So there is a £2.50 increase?
- 2 A. Yes
- $3\,$   $\,$  Q. Do you recall I showed you the annex to your second
- 4 witness statement?
- 5 A. Yes

7

11

17

19

3

8

- 6 Q. Could we just pull that up for a moment.  $\{D/2/47\}$ .
  - Thank you.
- 8 You see there ——
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- that broadband went up by £2.50. So you do see
  - reflected in that price ——
- 12 A. In that price.
- Q. That is the £2.50 on broadband, is it not?
- 14 A. Absolutely
- 15 Q. So, Mr Bunt, it is clear from these price guides that
- 16 the £1 increase on Line Rental did not apply to these
  - bundle customers, did it?
- 18 A. That is not clear to me from this. May I elaborate?
  - First of all, you can see at the top of the page
- a reference in the small print to the "includes £19.99
- 21 Standard Line Rental".
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment.
- 23 A. Right at the top of the 883 page.  $\{F/883/6\}$ .
- 24 MR BEARD: Is it worth just putting it on one page?
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, it might be more helpful.

39

- $1\,$   $\,$  MR BEARD: Sorry. It is very sensible showing them in
- 2 parallel , as Ms Kreisberger was, but just for the moment
  - to read that small print.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Just a moment. (Pause)
- 5 A. So can you see in the first sentence there it says:
- 6 "Standard & discounted monthly prices [referring to
- 7 broadband prices] detailed below [or bundle prices]
  - include £19.99 Standard Line Rental."
- 9 So that is and the example the point
- 10 I mentioned before about how, if a customer took their
- 11 broadband away, what would happen to their Line Rental
- price, that is reflective of that.
- 13 MS KREISBERGER: But Mr Bunt, I am not talking about
- customers who give up their broadband, am I? I am
- talking about customers who buy bundles from you.
- 16 A. That is right.
- 17 Q. Those customers did not get a £1 additional charge on
- 18 their bundle price, did they? We have just seen
- 19 the prices did not vary.
- 20 A. That is not correct. This £24.99 price point is, as
- I said, a front book price, a price we would introduce
- 22 to the market after making our price change. So whilst
- this tariff guide is from, I think, is it October 2019
- 24 we are looking at? I cannot remember.
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. Once -- when we make that price change that you are
- 2 referring to in September 18, we will retire our
- 3 existing front book, it will go into our back book,
- 4 create a new set of products, determine new prices for
- 5 those, determine the back book. The out of contract
- price should go to £40.49 in keeping with the £2.50 6
- increase, but to remain competitive in the broadband
- 8 market we retained the front book price at £24.99.
- 9 However, as you can see, the component -- the Line
- 10 Rental component of it is a greater component of it and
- 11 the broadband component is smaller in this version of 12 the product.
- 13 Q. Mr Bunt, the price to existing broadband users is £2.50
- 14
- A. That is definitely true, but I need to be explicit. If a customer took the £24.99 product you were showing me 16
- 17 from the earlier tariff guide prior to September 2018,
- 18 their price would have gone up from £24.99 to whatever
- 19 that is, 27.49 when we did the price change as well. So
- 2.0 those customers would have seen their price increase.
- 2.1 Then we introduced a new front book to retain
- 22 competitive acquisition pricing for customers at £24.99.
- 2.3 Q. At no -- with no £1 Line Rental increase?
- 2.4 A. With a £1 Line Rental increase which is reflected in the
- sentence at a top, and a lower broadband to maintain the

- 1 sub-£25 price.
- 2. Q. So the price did not go up from --
- 3 A. No, for the £24.99 we obviously -- we clearly thought
- over this period, and I do not believe I am setting
- 5 broadband prices at this point, but our broadband team
- 6 clearly felt at this period the -- that they wanted to
- 7 keep that front book price attractive at £24.99. So
- 8 that is right, they changed the broadband. The price of
- 9 broadband in that bundle was -- between the two was 10 changed, absolutely.
- 11 Q. But your team was quite careful to say that the price
- 12 "detailed below includes £19.99 Standard Line Rental".
- This is after the Ofcom commitments, is it not? 13
- A. It is after the Ofcom commitments. They were careful. 14 Although I must say in tariff guides errors do happen 15
- 16 because there are other prices and lots of information
- 17 there, but that looks correct to me, absolutely. 18 Q. So it is correct, despite the fact that the prices
- 19 themselves do not go up from 2018?
- 2.0 A. Well, again, as we said, the prices do -- customers
- 2.1 experience that price change. That really happens. It
- 2.2 is the new price that we introduce when we make a price
- 2.3 change to retain competitive front book prices in the 2.4
- case of the broadband bundle that is unchanged. 2.5 Q. As is the existing BT broadband customer price. We have

- 1 established that. That went up by £2.50?
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. So that --
- 4 A. (Overspeaking) -- not change. That goes up by £2.50 as
- 5 you said.
- 6 Q. So those two prices did not change?
- 7 A. What two prices did not change, sorry?
- 8 Q. So we have on the slide -- shall we have them side by
  - side one last time --
- 10 A Sure

9

- 11 Q. -- so we can see the nuance.  $\{F/883/6\}$ .
- So one last time, new BT broadband customers are 12
- 13 paying £24.99 --
- 14 A. Yep.
- 15 Q. -- in January 2018?
- 16 A Mm-hm
- 17 Q. In October 2019 they are paying £24.99. That is right,
- 18 is it not?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Then existing BT broadband customers are paying £37.99
- 2.1 in January 2018?
- 2.2 A. That is correct.
- 2.3 Q. Their price goes up to £40.49 --
- 2.4 A. Yep.
- 2.5 -- which reflects a £2.50 increase in the price of

43

- 1 broadband --
- 2 A. So, yes, out of contract products on that 15 gigabyte
- 3 broadband product for those customers who have seen
- their price -- out of contract price go up to £40.49.
- 5 We also moved the front book out of contract price up to
- 6 £40.49 which is what you are looking at on the
- 7 right-hand side. New customers taking the product would
- 8 have taken it at £24.99. It would have gone up to
- 9 £40.49. But existing customers who took the £24.99
- 10 product prior to the price increase would have seen
- 11 their out of contract price go to £40.49.
- 12 Q. Yes. So the £40.49, for the first two rows, that is
- 13 £2.50 extra on the broadband price --
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. -- so we do not see the Line Rental increase?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Bunt, you were looking quizzically 17
- 18 at that comment made by --
- 19 A. (Overspeaking) We do not see the Line Rental increase,
- 2.0 it is a slightly strange way of putting that, because we 21 do see the Line Rental increase, it is explicit at the
- 2.2 top of the page. So if a customer was paying £40.49 and
- 23 they took their broadband away, given the example that
- 2.4 I have given before, they would fall back to the
- 2.5 component part of the bundle, i.e.the Line Rental, which

- would now be £19.99 and not £18.99. So the customer has 1 2 experienced a £2.50 price increase in their bundle. It 2 3 is gone up from, if I can be clear, £37.99 to £40.49. 3 4 The components of that are effectively £1 of Line 4 5 Rental, £1.50 of broadband, and we know that because if 5 the customer took their broadband away their Line Rental 6 6 price to which they would go would be £19.99. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Just one moment, please. 8 8 9 MS KREISBERGER: But Mr Bunt, you already agreed that the 9 10 10 difference in those two prices is accounted for by the 11 £2.50 increase in the broadband price. 11 12 A. Sorry, perhaps I have not articulated myself clearly.
- 13 What I am saying is it is a £2.50 increase in the bundle 14 price, not the broadband price but the bundle price. 15 Maybe that is unclear. That is a fair point. But that 16 is what we are saying, the bundle price the customer is 17 paying has gone up by £2.50.
- 18 Q. Mr Bunt, a standalone broadband customer's price went up 19 in this period by £2.50. That is in your annex to your 20 witness statement.
- 2.1 A. Standalone broadband. Do you mean broadband and Line 2.2 Rental, or do you mean like solus broadband? It is 2.3 a different product entirely we are talking about.
- 2.4 Q. Let us have a look at your annex to your witness 25 statement which is ...

- 1 So we have got that on the screen again. 2. September 2018, £2.50 on broadband? A. Yes. 3
- Q.  $\{D/2/47\}$  So broadband bundles went up by £2.50? 5
- Q. So the difference in price between the 2018 price and 6 7 the 2019 price coincides with the £2.50 increase on 8 broadband?
- 9 A. Yes. On broadband bundles, ves.
- 10 Q. I think we can move on, Mr Bunt.
- 11 Let us go back to your witness statement. Your 12 second statement at  $\{D/2/5\}$ , paragraph 22.
- 13 Halfway down that paragraph, Mr Bunt you say --14 sorry, let us go to paragraph 100 first and we will come 15 back to this one.  $\{D/2/29\}$ .

16 At the top of the page there you say -- you refer to 17 the £1 Line Rental price rise on 16 September 2018?

- 18 A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. That is the one we have just been looking at, is it not?

46

- 2.0 A. It is, yes.
- 2.1 Q. You say the price of Line Rental was increased for 2.2 everyone except Voice Only Customers?
- 23
- 24 Q. That is not right, is it?
- A. No, it is right as I have just been saying.

Q. You go on:

"I remember at the time that I felt strongly in favour of raising the price of Line Rental for [split purchase] customers along with all other customers."

A. Yes.

Q. That is not right, is it?

A. No. it is right.

- Q. It was only the Split Purchase Customers and the Voice Only Customers paying the Line Rental increase?
- A. That is not right. It went up for everybody.
- Q. Then halfway down paragraph 22, if we go back to that, 12 {D/2/5}:

13 "The overall price of a bundle [you say] is 14 therefore the total of each component's price. Treating 15 bundles in this way made it easier to implement 16 BT Consumer's price changes, as we simply took the 17 individual price of each component and increased it by 18 a certain amount. Each product would have an increase, 19 that when combined would make the overall bundle price 2.0 increase."

Then you give an example.

2.2 A. Yes.

2.1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.3 Q. "The customer's bill would then outline each component 2.4 ... For example, if a customer paid £25 for a bundle ... 2.5 [£10 Line Rental] and broadband (£15) and we raised

1 the prices of these components by 10%, their bill would 2 show the price of Line Rental increasing by £1 and 3 broadband by £1.50."

What you are saying here is that price increases in 5 the components fed through to the bundle price?

6 A. Yes, I am saying that.

7 Q. But that is not what happened, is it?

A. This is complicated, I acknowledge, but can I please 8 9 elaborate a bit to explain.

> the customers who were bundle customers seeing two components on their bill, seeing Line Rental as a particular number and seeing broadband as a particular number, after that price change in I think April 2017 we combined those prices on the bill. So from a billing perspective, and this is referring to a customer's bill here in my statement, there is a single asset for a bundle customer for the first time, previously they have seen two assets, and that asset increases by £2.50in the September 18 price change. That asset is

After the ASA change in 2016 we -- rather than have

21 comprised of broadband and Line Rental service, and the

2.2 Line Rental service, as you could see from the page you

23 showed me in the tariff guide, went up to £19.99

48

24 MR RIDYARD: Sorry, I am slightly hesitant to get involved

2.5 here, but I am just trying to understand the --

1 obviously there is a confusion or a mismatch between the 1 A. It does say that. 2 2 Q. -- and £2.50 on broadband? two perceptions here. 3 Is the problem here that if you have a broadband 3 A. Yes. 4 deal for new customers in year 1, and let us say it is 4 Q. You have just told the Tribunal that is not what you 5 £25, and you then have a broadband deal for new 5 mean to say. customers in year 2, and that also happens to be £25, A. So I am sorry, the terminology is complex but I am 6 6 those have to be different customers. So there is not 7 saying £2.50 on the bundle inclusive of the £1 Line 8 Rental. That is what happened. You can see it in the 8 a customer who therefore pays the same price in the 9 two years, because if I am a new customer in year 1, 9 tariff guide very clearly, and the reason that is 10 10 I cannot be a new customer in year 2. different in that year rather than the previous years is 11 So I think maybe what Mr Bunt is saying is that the 11 because we have changed how that price is reflected to 12 12 customer who paid £25 in year 1 actually pays £26 customers. So we would no longer talk about a bundle 13 whatever in year 2, so it is not the case that the price 13 customer as —— the customer's bill would no longer be 14 Line Rental and a broadband asset. It would be a single 14 has stayed the same for that customer even though 15 the price for new customers has stayed the same between 15 broadband bundle asset which would have increased by 16 16 £2.50. We know and we show in the tariff guide the two vears --17 17 composition of that asset is £19.99 Line Rental plus A. That is correct --18 MR RIDYARD: I think that is the reason why there is 18 a broadband price but from a customer's perspective that 19 a mismatch in perceptions. 19 is now shown as a single amount consistent with the 20 MS KREISBERGER: I am grateful for that. 2.0 change in the industry which came with the ASA so we 2.1 Mr Bunt, I am going to give you one more chance on 2.1 stopped referring to broadband as a separate price 22 22 these slides that we looked at. If we could have including Line Rental and started to refer to the bundle 2.3  $\{F/880/142\}$  up, next to ... 2.3 as a single price. 2.4 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just before we do that, I am sorry, 24 Mr Bunt, you are aware the subject matter of this case 25 but I need to ask something in the light of what 25 are the price rises? 51 1 Mr Ridyard has asked. 1 A. Of course, yes. You said that for new customers, whether they are Q. Your evidence in your second statement says that 2 2. 3 new customers in 2018 or 2019, they are going to be 3 the price rises in 18/19 were £1 on Line Rental, £2.50 paying £24.99? on broadband. You are now changing your evidence? 5 A. That is right 5 A. I do not think I am changing my evidence. I am simply THE CHAIRMAN: Someone who was a new customer in 2018, and, clarifying what it says here, but I think I have been 6 6 7 7 by definition, is not in 2019, would be subject to the completely consistent in what I am saying which is the 8 8 bundle price has gone up by £2.50. That is a single £1 price increase? A. On Line Rental, yes, and if they were a bundle customer 9 9 asset referred to -- it is a different product category 10 £2.50 on their bundle, yes. 10 for us because it is different customers who take it, THE CHAIRMAN: "2.50 -- that would be --11 11 right, but it is a single asset that goes up £2.50. 12 A. That is a total, sorry. £1 is not incremental to that. 12 Those customers' prices for Line Rental if they took 13 13 £2.50 includes the Line Rental. their broadband away would be £19.99, and it says that THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I wanted to -- so it is not 14 14 very explicitly in that tariff guide. So I think I have 15 15 correct to say that -- you can say that the following been consistent throughout. 16 year they would be subject to a £1 increase in Line 16 MR DORAN: So the broadband reference here in annex 2 is to 17 Rental but it would not be £1 plus £2.50. 17 the Class of customers. It is not to the particular 18 A. No, it would not. It would be £1. 18 service. Is that the point you are making? 19 THE CHAIRMAN: It would still be an overall total of £2.50. 19 A. Yes, I think that is a way of explaining it, absolutely. 2.0 It should probably say bundles, probably clumsy on my 2.0 A. That is absolutely right.

is  $\{D/2/47\}$ , 18/19 price change. You say the price MR DORAN: Thank you. rises there are £1 on Line Rental, is that right --2.5 MS KREISBERGER: Mr Bunt, let us stay with the Line Rental

2.1

2.2

23

24

part and it should say bundle but, yes, bundle customers

52

would see a £2.50 increase; an SPC would see a £1

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I wanted to get out.

If we just look back at your annex, Mr Bunt. That

50

MS KREISBERGER: I am grateful for that.

1	price increases.	1		answer that question?
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Before we do that, can we just take a break.	2	MS	S KREISBERGER: You see these are draft slides?
3	Thank you.	3	A.	Very much in draft. So I certainly would have been
4	(11.46 am)	4		involved in their production. I cannot say at what
5	(A short break)	5		stage this is at and whether I would have been involved
6	(12.00 pm)	6		at this stage.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Just before we continue with the	7	Q.	If we go forward to page $\{F/836/5\}$ in the document,
8	cross-examination, it is my error, but I forgot to give	8		headed "Historic Context", the slide summarises the
9	the usual warning for the live stream participants,	9		context for the Carmen discount that you gave to
10	viewers. I am just going to do that now.	10		Voice Only Customers.
11	Some of you are joining live stream on our website	11		I would like you to read the bullet points on the
12	so I should give you the customary warning: an official	12		slide under "Historic Context". (Pause)
13	recording is being made and an authorised transcript	13	Α.	To myself, presumably?
14	will be produced. It is strictly prohibited for anyone	14	Q.	Yes. I am happy to read them to you, Mr Bunt $$
15	else to make an unauthorised recording, either audio or	15	A.	No, it is all right. I was just checking you do not
16	visual, of the proceedings, and breach of that provision	16		want me to read them out loud, that is all. (Pause)
17	is punishable as a contempt of court.	17		Okay.
18	Right.	18	Q.	So if we have a look at the third bullet point there,
19	MR BEARD: Just on warnings, just to confirm for the benefit	19		that says that by 2017, Line Rental prices had steadily
20	of all, Mr Bunt had been warned that he cannot discuss	20		increased every year since 2009?
21	his witness evidence with anyone during the course of	21	A.	Yes, it essentially says that.
22	his testimony.	22	Q.	It does say that?
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you, because that was my second	23	A.	Yes.
24	omission just before the break.	24	Q.	2009 was the year when all regulation was removed from
25	MR BEARD: It had already been done, so he knows he has	25		BT?
	53			55
1	a lonely lunchtime ahead.	1	Α.	As I understand it, yes.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Good. Well, thank you for that.	2	Q.	It says on this slide that the rate of steady increase
3	Right, yes.	3		was £1 a year?
4	MS KREISBERGER: Thank you, sir.	4	Α.	Yes, circa £1, because there are some years where it is
5	So Mr Bunt, staying with the Line Rental price	5		different , but, yes.
6	increases. I want to show you a slide deck, it is at	6	Q.	Then the next bullet point says that average bundle
7	$\{F/836/1\}$ . I do not think you have this in hard copy.	7		prices went down over the same period, they fell over
8	Mr Bunt, you see there from the front page, they are	8		the period?
9	headed "Consumer [Regulatory] Affairs. Carmen Slides	9	A.	That is right.
10	for Philip. January 2021".	10	Q.	Then the slide records that landline only customers did
11	So these slides were prepared for the departing CEO	11		incur those increases, landline only customers did incur
12	of BT, Philip Jansen?	12		the Line Rental increases?
13	A. Now departing, yes.	13	A.	Yes.
14	Q. Now departed, yes. By this stage you were Consumer	14	Q.	They also incurred increases to calls and calling plans,
15	Regulatory Affairs Director?	15		correct?
16	A. I was.	16	A.	It is correct that landline only customers incurred
17	Q. This is a Consumer Regulatory Affairs document?	17		those increases and also to calls and calling plans,
	A. Mm-hm.	18		that is correct.
18				
18 19	Q. So you oversaw the preparation of this slide deck?	19	Q.	In other words, bundle customers did not incur the
	<ul><li>Q. So you oversaw the preparation of this slide deck?</li><li>A. I would need to flick through to check, but I believe</li></ul>	19 20	Q.	In other words, bundle customers did not incur the increases, correct?

22

23

24

25

that time.

calling plans. They also incurred the Line Rental

increases. But it is true that the average bundle price

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{fell}}$  , so the broadband component of the bundle  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{fell}}$  over

22

23

24

Q. If we could go forward --

can --

A. Could I just have a look at a couple of pages so I

MR BEARD: Can he just flick through the hard copy so he can

5

7

11

15

16

17

- $1\,$   $\,$  Q. So you are agreeing, Mr Bunt, that average bundle prices
- 2 went down over the period that Line Rental prices went
- 3 up?
- $4\,$   $\,$  A. I am agreeing with that, yes.
- $5\,$   $\,$  Q. Now, you see there in the graph, and it might be helpful
- 6 just to blow that up, on the bottom right—hand side,
- Figure 3, that graph shows that graph is taken from
   Ofcom's 2017 statement. You are familiar with that
- 9 document?
- 10 A. I am familiar with that, yes.
- $11\,$   $\,$  Q. That graph shows the rising Line Rental prices with BT
- the top line there in green?
- 13 A. Yes
- $14\,$   $\,$  Q. It also shows wholesale prices going down over the same
- 15 period?
- 16 A. It does
- 17 Q. If we just go back to the script in this slide, please,
- 18 to the bullet points. If we then go back to the third
- 19 bullet point. According to this, BT is recording that
- $20\,$  the £1 a year on Line Rental was a mechanic for driving
- 21 revenue growth in the consumer base?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. That is right?
- 24 A. That is right.
- 25 Q. So what we can take from this slide is that the

E 7

- $1 \qquad \hbox{ customers driving revenue growth were the landline only} \\$
- 2 customers?
- 3 A. I do not think that is true, no.
- Q. Mr Bunt, it says that:
- 5 "... price had steadily increased since 2009 at
- 6 a rate of £1 a year as a mechanic for driving revenue
- 7 growth in the consumer base ...'
- 8  $\,$  A. There are two reasons why I see that differently . The
- $\,9\,$   $\,$  first  $\,$  is , as I said , calls and calling plan prices which
- are part of driving the overall revenue growth apply to
- 11 everybody. Secondly, the reference to average bundle
- prices falling over the period is a kind of general across—the—market —— like a new price reference. As
- 14 I am sure you are aware, Ofcom would regularly survey
- what prices were paid for broadband across the board,
- but at any point where we increased price increased
- Line Rental by £1, it was felt by broadband customers it
- would have put their price up and that would have
- contributed to revenue growth for BT. Broadband
- 20 customers may have acted and switched and changed and
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{moved to new products with different providers or with} \\$
- 22 existing providers, but certainly that £1 mechanic
- 23 landed(?) for a broadband customer and increased revenue

58

- 24 for us.
- $25\,$   $\,$  Q. Mr Bunt, I am going to ask the question again.

1 This slide says that landline only customers did

- incur the £1 Line Rental increases, correct?
- 3 A. Absolutely.
- $4\,$   $\,$  Q. It also says that average bundle price fell over the
  - period; is that correct?
- 6 A. I think that is correct for our kind of -- our base,
  - although I would have to check, but certainly average
- 9 is certainly true.
- $10\,$   $\,$  Q. Mr Bunt, you do not refer to this slide in your
  - evidence, do you?
- 12 A. I do not believe I do, no.
- 13 Q. Let us have a look at what you say in your second
- 14  $\,$  witness statement. That is at  $\{D/2/5\}.$  Mr Bunt, that
  - is paragraph 19(c).
    - It begins:
    - "Before Ofcom intervened ..."
- 18 So we are talking about the same period as covered
- 19 by the slide?
- 20 A. Yes. Yes, we are, absolutely.
- $21\,$   $\,$  Q.  $\,$  "  $\ldots$  we in the Voice Division did not, and indeed could
- 22 not, vary the price of Line Rental for different
- 23 customer groups  $\dots$  Therefore, when the price of Line
- 24 Rental was increased [you say] this change automatically
- applied to all of our customers (irrespective of whether

59

- 1 they had a broadband connection or not)."
- 2 A. That is right.
- 3 Q. But we have just seen that Line Rental price increases
- 4 were not reflected in average bundle prices in the
- 5 market?
- 6 A. I think that is being taken slightly out of context.
- 7 The point there is that average bundle prices across the
- 8 market were -- as customers transacted, the data
- 9 suggests that is falling . But again, I cannot be more
- clear on this I do not think, when we put the price of
- 11 Line Rental up for our customers, whether you were
- 12 a broadband customer, a bundle customer or a landline
- only customer, your price went up. The asset on the
- 14 bill went up by £1 and therefore your price had to go
- 15 up.
- 16 Q. So your evidence, Mr Bunt, so that it is clear for the
- Tribunal, is that your customer base of around
- 18 8/9 million voice customers all paid £1 more on Line
- Rental when the Line Rental prices went up, and your
- 20 bundle customers within that base, their prices went
- $21 \qquad \quad \mathsf{up} \mathrel{--}$
- 22 A. That is right.
- 23~ Q.  $\,--$  even though it is not reflected in the data I have
- 24 just shown you?
- 25 A. So what data is it not reflected in?

- 1 Q. You agreed that average bundle prices go down over the 1 2 same period that Line Rental prices -2 3 A. (Overspeaking) I would not describe that as data. But 3 4 you showed me a sentence which I explained already 4 5 refers to, I think, average prices across the market and 5 the prices paid for broadband which, as I said, is 6 6 7 a kind of established point measured across the board. 7 But, yes, absolutely, clearly when we put the price of 8 8 9 Line Rental up, it goes up for everyone. 9 10 10 Q. That is very clear that that is your evidence, Mr Bunt. 11 MR DORAN: Could I just ask a follow-up? 11 12 12 With average broadband prices falling, is that the 13 average of the new price per each --13 14 14 A. (Overspeaking) I mean, the document was written 15 three years ago, but my assumption is exactly what you 15 16 said, yes, that what you would see -- if a customer did 16 17 17 nothing -- a broadband customer from, say, 2009 did 18 nothing, their price would increase. 18 MR DORAN: So --19 19 A. -- customers transact, they either move to a different 20 20 2.1 provider to a new price, or they even re-contract to get 2.1 22 a better deal with their existing provider. Prices for 22 2.3 2.3 customers can fall as a result of that. 2.4 MR DORAN: Just so I understand this. So in 2009, if I was 2.4 a bundle customer with BT, the landline component of my 2.5 1 bundle would have increased by £1 per year --1 2. A. (Overspeaking) Approximately. 2 3 MR DORAN: -- the process. 3 A. Approximately. MR DORAN: That sort of order of magnitude. 5 5 6 A. Absolutely. 6 7 MR DORAN: If I had switched away or switched back, I might 7
- 8 have gotten a better deal.
- 9 A. Exactly.
- 10 MR DORAN: The average that is being referred to is the 11 average of those new acquisition prices, not the 12 back book? I am trying to use your jargon --
- 13 A. (Overspeaking) — what average I am referring to here, whether I am referring to BT customers only or across 14 15 the market. But certainly the broadband component of 16 bundles, both ours and the market, dropped over that

period. So that would have affected the balance. 17 18 So even though Line Rental prices are going up, if 19 the broadband component drops, then in many of those 2.0 datasets the average price of broadband falls as 21 a result of what -- or the broadband bundle falls as 2.2 a result. Does that make ...

23 MR DORAN: Thank you, I think that is helpful.

24 MS KREISBERGER: Mr Bunt, just to complete the point, can we

2.5 turn up Ofcom's 2017 statement at  $\{IR-C/3/1\}$ . You see

62

there that is the statement. You are familiar with

A. Yes

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Then if we could go down to page 11, please.  $\{IR-C/3/11\}$ . You see there Figure 3, that is the same graph as set out in your slide, and Ofcom explain what this graph shows at paragraph 2.6, please, just above the graph:

> "As Figure 3 shows, these customers have been progressively exposed to increasing Line Rental prices since 2009. This has been occurring despite wholesale charges for products used to provide Line Rental falling by up to 27% in real terms."

Yes?

A. Absolutely right.

- Q. "Wholesale costs are represented by the WLR [line] and MPF (metallic path facility) lines ...
- A Yes
- Q. So, Mr Bunt, if we come back to your witness statement,
- where you say "the change automatically applied to all
- our customers", average bundle prices in the market are
- dropping at the time you say all of your 8/9 million voice customers were paying additional charges on their
- Line Rental. That is your evidence?
- A. I think that is -- yes, I think that is right, yes.

Q. So an automatic increase to your customers.

Let us turn up another BT document  $\{F/394/1\}$ .

I would like to turn to, with this, BT strategy. This

should be in hard copy in your bundle.

- A. I do have it, yes.
- Q. You should see that front page there?
- A. Yes. I do.
- 8 Q. Now, Mr Bunt, just in terms of chronology, you were Head
- 9 of Voice Pricing at this time, this was 1 January 2016?
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 Q. Now, if we go down -- you have seen the front page 12 there. If we go down to page 12, please  $\{F/394/12\}$  and
- you see there the heading "Calls and Lines Market". 13
- 14 Then it says this:

15 "Providers are prioritising winning bundled 16 customers over stand-alone voice customers with deep 17 broadband only deals, which include richer optional call

18 plans and more expensive out of plan rates." 19 So, Mr Bunt, this is a strategy document. The 20 strategy recorded here for winning bundle customers is

21 to offer them deep broadband only deals?

2.2 A. This is not a strategy document. It is a document 23 written by our marketing and competitor insight team, so

it is a kind of observation on the market. We are

2.5

a part of that market, but it is a kind of observation

64

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 1 on what is happening in the market, and they are 2 observing that that is what is going on in the market.
- 3 Q. So what is going on in the market is deep broadband only 4 deals?
- 5 A. That is right.
- Q. Now, let us move back to page 2 in this document 6  $\{F/394/2\}$ , "Executive Summary". The heading here is "Market & Competitor Context".
  - It says this:

"Line growth is at ... 1% per year, due to continued broadband household formation demand, expected to continue at [around] 260k per year. BT's share of lines has declined to 35.8%, as consumers consolidate to take advantage of bundled deals, now at 56% of households. Bundling has changed the composition of the BT base, with 68.4% taking a bundle."

That is right?

- 18 A. It says that, yes,
- Q. So this group of customers, 68.4% who take a bundle, are 19 20 taking advantage of bundle deals?
- 21 A. They are.
- 2.2 Q. Then the next line on this slide moves to voice only. 2.3 It says this:
- 2.4 "The solus line base is relatively stable at ...
- 25 1.5 million, attributable to BT's older legacy customers

- 1 and last group to adopt broadband.
- $Mr\ Bunt,\ "legacy\ customers"\ means\ customers\ BT$ 2 3 inherited?
- 4 A. I think so. I did not write this but I assume that is 5 what they mean.
- Q. The usual meaning of "legacy" is something transmitted 6 7 or received from the past.
- 8 It goes on:
- 9 "To offset continued declining fixed call volumes 10 and revenue, providers have steadily increased Line 11 Rental prices over the last 4 years with latest 12 increases being implemented in H2 2015."
- 13 So this slide is referring to the Line Rental price 14 increases in the context of solus customers, is it not?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q. They are the ones who pay the steadily increasing 17
- 18 A. They are amongst the ones who pay the steadily 19 increasing prices.
- 2.0 Q. You agree that they pay ——
- 21 A. They definitely pay it.
- 22 Q. I think we can agree they pay the steadily increasing 23
- 24 A. They definitely do, yes.
- 2.5 Q. So their prices generate the revenue which makes up for

falling volumes. That is what it says on this slide.

- 2 A. Sorry, can you just reiterate that point? I am not sure
- 3 I quite understood what you said.
- 4 Q. It says on the slide:
  - "To offset continued declining fixed call volumes
- 6 and revenue --
- 7 A. Yes, so it is fixed call volumes that are declining.
- 8
- 9 A. Those price increases in Line Rental do offset that.
- 10 Q. They do offset that --
- 11 A. It is the fixed call volume, not the volume of
- 12 customers. I thought that was what you were referring 13
- THE CHAIRMAN: Just a minute. Lower call volumes per 14 15 customer, as it were.
- 16 A Absolutely
- 17 MR DORAN: This is referring, this is across both
- 18 voice only -- this affects the whole market?
- A. It does. Falling call volumes affected the whole 19
- 20 market. I think this sentence is just referring to
- 21 voice only. I did not write it but I believe it is
- 22 referring to Voice Only Customers. But it is true that
- volumes of fixed calls were falling across the board. 2.3
- 2.4 MS KREISBERGER: Mr Bunt, this slide contrasts, in these
- 25 first two paragraphs, contrasts the position of bundle

- 1 customers, that is the 68.4% that were taking advantage
- 2. of bundle deals, correct?
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. It contrasts them with the solus line base who have
- 5 their Line Rental price increases over the period which 6 offsets the decline in revenue, correct?
- 7 Mr Bunt, are you taking issue with this slide?
- 8 A. I am not taking issue with the slide, I am taking issue
- 9 with your interpretation of it in contrasting them.
- 10 I think both statements are true. I am not arguing with
- 11 the points here. I think it is important to note if you
- 12 are implying that the Line Rental price increases did
- 13 not impact the bundle customers, that is not the case.
- Q. Mr Bunt, we saw at paragraph 19(c) of your statement 14
- 15 where you say that the Line Rental price increases
- 16 applied to all of your 8-9 million base. You do not
- 17
- refer to this document in your evidence, do you?
- 18 A. I do not believe I do, no.
- 19 Q. I would like to show you another document from July that
- 2.0 year on the impact of these increases. So this
- 21 is July 2016, it is 5 July. I will give the Opus
- 2.2 reference, it is  $\{F/469/1\}$ . You have a hard copy of
- 23
- 24 A. I do, thank you.
- 25 Q. I am afraid I am relying on last minute information on

66

- 1 your hard copies. 2
  - Now, Simon Lowth, I am getting that right?
- 3
- 4 Q. Simon Lowth had just joined as CFO in July of that year,
- 5 Lunderstand?
- A. I think so. 6
- 7 Q. So this was a briefing document for him.
- 8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.4

9 Q. If we move forward to page  $\{F/469/13\}$ , if you could look 10 at the heading of this slide, "Voice Deep Dive", it is 11 a deep dive into Voice:

> "Voice is our largest and most margin—rich product. benefiting in recent years from significant annual price increases and the fact that price competition is focused on headline Broadband prices. However, declining volumes in both lines and call minutes limit the scope for future growth from this product."

Then if you have a look at the right - hand column. perhaps we could just blow that up for a moment. "Key Dynamics". It is far too small, this writing, for my short—sighted eyes. You see there:

22 "Long-term decline in line volumes, offset through 2.3 annual pricing of £1 on Standard Line Rental."

That is referring to the £1 price rises?

25 A. It is.

69

- Q. So just pausing there. This deep dive is explaining for
- 2 Mr Lowth's benefit that the purpose of the annual £1
- 3 Line Rental price increases was to offset declining line
- 4
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. It describes the annual price increases as
- 7 " significant "?
- 8 A. Where, sorry? In the top bit?
- 9 Q. In the heading "Significant Annual Price Increases".
- 10 A. That is right, it says that.
- 11 Q. We have established in the earlier slide these are paid 12 by standalone customers, these Line Rental increases?
- 13 A. Also by broadband customers.
- Q. That is your evidence? 14
- 15 A. It is, and the declining volumes also refer to both 16 voice only lines and also bundle customers.
- 17 Q. Let us have a look -- this slide records that, thanks to 18 these increases, voice is a margin-rich product, and it 19 is saying that these annual line increases have proved
- 2.0 a profitable strategy, correct? 2.1 A. It is saying that, yes.
- 2.2 Q. Then if we go down to the bottom left-hand corner under

70

- 23 the heading "Voice Looking Back Trends", the first
- 2.4 bullet point says:
- 25 "Despite decline in revenues, the gross margin has

- 1 continued to increase [year on year] which is driven by
  - pricing (100% margin) and lower rental costs (Openreach)
- 3 and call costs (3rd party termination costs)."
- 4 A Yes

2

- 5 Q. Then if you see there the final bullet point. It is
- slightly ... I wonder if we can blow up "Voice Looking 6 7
  - Back Trends":
- 8 "Costs have reduced, primarily due to regulated 9 reductions in input prices, primarily Openreach's 10 wholesale Line Rental charge, combining with pricing to
- 11 drive margin growth."
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So this document is recording that price increases have
- 14 driven growth in margins?
- 15 A. Mm-hm.
- 16 Q. Volumes may be going down, but margins are going up 17 vear-on-vear on voice?
- 18 A. Volumes may be going down, definitely. Margins by
- 19 customer are going up certainly, I agree with that. But
- 20 I do not know if it is saying kind of overall total
- 2.1 margin. I cannot infer that from that sentence.
- 2.2 Q. It says:
- 2.3 ... the gross margin has continued to increase
- 2.4 [year-on-year] which is driven by pricing (100% margin)
- 25

3

10

71

- A. I think that may well be true. I think it is sort of 1 2 borne out by the numbers in the table as well, yes.
  - Q. Turning back to your witness statement at  $\{D/2/11\}$ ,
- paragraph 40, you say ... if we could blow up
- 5 paragraph 40 and then we will go over the page in
- 6 a moment:
- 7 "One of the ways for service providers to be 8
  - competitive was to offer seemingly better value
- 9 broadband packages than their competitors."
  - You say at the end there:
- 11 "To balance this, however, service providers
- 12 increased Line Rental prices to ensure their broadband
- 13 (and other) services remained profitable."
- 14 So you are saying here that the purpose of the Line
- 15 Rental price increases was to ensure that broadband
- 16 remained profitable; that is your evidence --
- 17 A. (Overspeaking) If by "broadband" you mean the bundle, 18
- 19 Q. Yes. But this document does not say anything about Line
- 2.0 Rental -- when I say "this document", back to
- 21 {F/469/13}, does not say anything about Line Rental
  - increases ensuring that broadband remained profitable,
- 23

2.2

- 2.4 A. It actually does in the header of the page you were
- 2.5 showing me. So that is at page 13:

- "Voice is our largest and most margin-rich product, 2 benefiting in recent years from significant annual price 3 increases and the fact that price competition is focused 4 on headline Broadband prices."
- Which is the point I am making in paragraph 40. So 5 I think that is consistent. 6
- Q. Mr Bunt, this document does not say that the purpose of the Line Rental increase was to ensure that broadband 8 9 remained profitable. It says that voice is a highly 10 profitable product year—on—year ——
- 11 A. Definitely
- 12 Q. -- margin-rich.
- 13 A. So yes, I think this is a kind of technicality that makes that difference in terms of how accounting is 14 15 done. We are looking here at the voice P&L which includes Line Rental. As you can see from the volume 16 17 numbers in there, that is Line Rental for broadband 18 customers and bundle customers as well as for standalone or VOCs or SFVs, sorry. So that is that point. That is 19 2.0 why Line Rental is discussed here. Line Rental is part
- 2.1 of the bundle, and so that approach to pricing that I am 22 talking about, which is referred to in the header of the slide, is consistent with what I am saying here.
- 2.4 Q. Let us have a look at another document on this, Mr Bunt. 25 If we just have a look at our skeleton, just so you can

- 1 see there again. That is  $\{A/12/10\}.$  I have shown you 2 this before. This is the amount and date of the Line
  - Rental increases.
- A. Mm-hm.

2.3

3

- 5 Q. Perhaps you could just cast your eye over that table.
- 6 A. Yes. (Pause)
- 7 Q. I am just going to see if you can have a hard copy of 8 that so we do not have to keep flipping back to it.
- 9 A. That would be great.
- 10 Q. You see there ...
- 11 (Handed)
- 12 A. Thank you.
- Q. If we could call up on the EPE  $\{F/189/1\}$ . You see there 13 14 the heading "Senior Props Roles Notes"?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q. The date is -- I am giving you this from the metadata, taking it off the Opus system. The date is 17
- 18 17 October 2013.
- 19 A. That is right, yes 2.0 Q. You are the author?
- 2.1 A. That is right.
- 2.2 Q. Now, when you wrote these notes there had been a series 2.3 of price increases on Line Rental since 2009. You have
- 2.4 that document now, we can see there are a number of
- 25 them, Bordeaux, Pacific, Atlantic, White and Beech?
  - 74

- 1  $\{A/12/10\}$
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Picturesque names. The effect of those price increases 4 was to put the Line Rental price up from £12.50 on
- 1 January 2009 to £15.45 by January 2013? 5
- A. Yes 6
- Q. So that is a 24% increase? 7
- 8 A. Sure.

11

13

- 9 Q. Now, if we have a look at this document at the bottom of 10 page 1, could we scroll down to and blow up under slide
  - 4. Solus churn trial. It says this {F/189/1}:
- 12 "Solus Churn Trial — is owned by Kelly L."
  - That is Kelly Liu?
- 14 A. Kelly Liu, absolutely
- 15 Q. She was senior propositions manager in voice?
- 16 A. A peer of mine, yes.
- 17 Q. "5m solus out of  $10 \dots$ "
- 18 That is standalone voice customers, is it not, 19

- 20 A. That is clearly a wrong number. These are the notes 2.1 from my first day in the job, so obviously I got that
- 22 wrong. But that is what it says, absolutely.
- 2.3 Q. But you are referring to, aside from the number, you are 2.4 referring to solus, so these are standalone voice
- 2.5 customers?

75

- 1 A. Absolutely, yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Out of a total of 10 you refer in your evidence to 2.
- 3 8-9 million for the full customer base. So we are in
- the right ball park?
- A. Absolutely. 5 is wrong, but 10, yes, is ball park. 5
- 6 Q. "... of which 60% are high propensity [broadband] so we
- 7 offer them [broadband] bundles to upsell and ensure we 8
- keep. Of the remaining 2m what do we do with them ... 9 given their low propensity to [broadband] (some we don't
- 10
- want to touch because they are likely to wake up and 11
- realise how over-priced we are if we do), others are 12 more sticky or already on the way out so we can try
- 13 offers on them to keep them, especially the high value
- 14 ones. Essentially high value customers are the least
- 15 rational /conscious in behaviour. They will have UWC
- 16 [that is Unlimited Weekend Calls] and [I think that
- 17 should be 'then' rather than 'the'] call on evenings and
- 18 day times."
- 19

2.4

- So you are referring here to Voice Only Customers 20 when you say "low propensity to broadband". They must 21 be voice only because they do not have broadband?
- 2.2 Α. Absolutely, that is right.
- 23 You are asking yourself what to do with these customers,
  - and your answer is that you do not want to touch them,
- 2.5 because they might wake up to how over-priced their

- standalone voice product from BT is. Mr Bunt, you are 1
- 2 acknowledging there how over-priced BT is for Voice Only
- 3 Customers?
- 4 A. As I said, these are not my sort of considered thoughts
- after a long time in the role. These are my first week 5
- notes, as it says in the title . So I think what I am 6
- 7 doing there is reflecting the conversation I am having
- 8 with Kelly at that point in my notes. How accurately
- 9 that reflects the kind of received wisdom in the team is 10
- hard for me to say. I do not remember over a decade ago 11 but certainly they are my notes. I do think that that
- 12 point around we do not want to engage those customers
- 13 and weigh them up and realise -- I am definitely saying
- 14 that. I am not disputing that at all.
- 15 Q. Others you say are "more sticky". "Sticky" means they
- 16 are likely to keep buying standalone voice from BT, they
- 17 stick with you?
- 18 A. I think so. I think that is what I mean there, yes.
- 19 Q. They are more sticky than the ones who might wake up?
- 20 A. Or the ones who are already on the way out, who are 2.1 switching.
- 2.2 Q. So in other words, you do not need to worry about the
- 2.3 sticky customers, unlike the ones who are on their way 2.4
- out?
- 25 A. I think I am characterising the base like more or less

- 1 any base where there are some customers who are less
- 2 likely to churn than others.
- 3 Q. The ones who are on their way out, they are the ones
- 4 that you can try offers on?
- 5 A. Again, yes, in these notes, first week, that is what 6 I am saying there, absolutely.
- 7 Q. They have woken up, as it were?
- 8 A. Yes, I guess so.
- 9 Q. You also note that the high value customers are the
- 10 least  $\mbox{ rational }.$  They make -- these are the customers 11 that make their calls in the evenings and daytime even
- 12 though they have got unlimited weekend calls with you?
- 13 A. Yes. We had a broad portfolio of products, so it is
- almost a truism, which is to say, you know, because if 14 15 you made your calls in, say, the daytime and during the
- 16 week, and you did not have -- and you made plenty of
- 17 calls, and you did not have our Unlimited Anytime plan
- 18 or calls package, then you would have paid more for
- 19 them. So it is almost, by definition, the customers who
- 2.0 did not have the -- that could -- were not making 21
- savings from the calling plans were paying the most
- 2.2 money, that is right.
- 23 Q. They were high value for BT?
- 24 A. Yes, because their -- yes, their offers would have been
- 25 higher, that is true.

78

- 1 Q. These notes that you made, Mr Bunt, in your first week, 2 you do not refer to these in your evidence, do you?
- 3 A. No, I do not. As I said, as I spent more time getting
- 4 to know the P&L products, the customers, I refined my 5
- understanding of it, but this is what I am saying on week 1. 6
- 7 Q. If we just turn back to your statement at 19(b), that is your second statement,  $\{D/2/5\}.$  You say: 8
- 9 "BT Consumer's overall business strategy was to
- 10 encourage broadband uptake across all customer segments. BT viewed those customers without broadband not as their 11
  - I think that means not as a distinct customer base.
- 14 " ... but as customers who needed to move onto

own customer base ...'

- 15 broadband. The reason for this was that broadband
- 16 bundles (i.e. Line Rental and broadband) were more
- 17 profitable for BT than 'solus' customers who only took
- 18 one service."
- 19

12

13

- 20 Q. But in these notes you are not saying that these
- 2.1 customers are customers who need to move on to bundles,
- 2.2 you say you do not want to wake them up?
- 2.3 So a high value customer would be an even higher value 2.4
- customer if they took a bundle from us. We are talking
- 2.5 in that second sort of part of the paragraph you showed

79

- 1 me a moment ago about customers who, our data tells us,
- 2 have a low broadband propensity. So we would -- whilst
- 3 we would want to get every customer on to broadband, we
- were always trying to be efficient in our use of
- 5 marketing spend and effort with customers, so we would 6 want to write to customers who were more likely to take
- 7 broadband, and to be more efficient to drive calls from
- 8 those customers.
- 9 So it is definitely true that some customers are 10 more likely to take broadband than others. Those
- 11 customers in -- that we were referring to in those notes
- 12 from my first week will be less likely to, yes.
- 13 Q. So although you do not say this here, it was the interests in efficiency that meant you did not want to 14
- 15 touch these customers because they might wake up and
- 16 realise how over-priced you are?
- 17 A. I think there are a lot of factors that decided when we
- 18 wrote to customers. I think it is definitely what
- 19 I have said there, that we are concerned about engaging
- 2.0 customers who are already, you know, in a contented
- 21 relationship with us and high value, that is true.
- 2.2 Mr Bunt, let us call up another document. But just 23 before we do, you cover the Project Laika price increase
- 24 in your second statement.
- 25 A. Mm-hm.

- 1 Q. You have a number of paragraphs. I will just give you
- 2 the references, we do not need to call them up, but it
- 3 is paragraph 79-84 that you cover Project Laika.
- 4
- Q. Laika included £1 on Line Rental in 2015? 5
- A. It did. 6
- 7 Q. I would like to show you a document from that time.
- 8 That is  $\{F/310/1\}$ .
- 9 A. Do I have this one? No, I do not.
- 10 Q. No. that one is on the EPE. Mr Bunt.
- 11 A. Sure.

- Q. That is  $\{F/310/1\}$ . This is, as you can see there, 12
  - a paper called "Annual Price Change" and it is dated
- May 2015. I think that may be on the metadata. Sorry, 14
- 15 it is -- I can show you that on the final page if you
- 16 need it?
- 17 A. It feels about right, yes.
- 18 Q. It is a paper you prepared, Mr Bunt?
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 Q. You may be aware that I took the Tribunal to this in 2.1 opening submissions.
- 22 I just want to have a look at page  $\{F/310/3\}$ . You
- sign off this document as "BT Consumer Senior Props 2.3
- 2.4 Manager - Voice Revenue Optimisation", and there you see

81

- 1 A Yes
- Q. So "Voice Revenue Optimisation" had become part of your 2 3 title at this stage?
- A. I think so, yes.
- Q. Then if we go back to page  $\{F/310/2\}$ , under the heading "Pricing Strategy" you say this: 6
- 7 "Introduction.

spectrum etc."

- At the top of the page. 8
- 9 "To enable the business's profit position for  $15\!-\!16$ 10 and future years we will undertake our annual price 11 change
  - "This will raise Line Rental pricing by £1 and most other prices by up to 6.94%."
  - There you set out the incremental revenue benefit of 91.1 million.
- 16 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

2.4

- Q. Then you say under the heading "Background": 17
- 18 "Each year BT changes its prices. Historically this 19 has been approximately inflationary, but increasingly 2.0 super-inflationary price rises on largely inelastic 21 products has provided significant upside for our 2.2 business. This capital provides the oxygen for our 2.3 business in the sense of its investment in content,
- 25 So, Mr Bunt, you are making three points here and

82

- I would like to take them in turn. The first is that BT
  - has increasingly been charging super-inflationary price
- 3
- 4 A Yes

2

11

1

- 5 Q. Those super-inflationary price rises include the £1 on
- Line Rental which you recommend in the line above, in 6
- 7 the paragraph above in the introduction?
- 8 A. Yes, it would include that.
- 9 Q. The second point, Mr Bunt, you say these
- 10 super-inflationary price increases are on "largely
  - inelastic products". An inelastic product is a product
- 12 whose sales do not go down when the price goes up?
- A. Yes, so I do use the word "inelastic" here and I use it 13
- 14 a few times in some of the documents in the trial. That
- 15 is essentially what I mean, that when we make that price
- 16 change we do not see an immediate commensurate 6%
- 17 reduction in volumes.
- 18 Q. So, in other words, customers who buy that product are
- 19 price insensitive. That is why it is inelastic?
- 20 A. I do not think I would use that term. I understand the
- 21 link you are making. I think many of the base of all
- 2.2 customers who, and everyone who is receiving that Line
- 23 Rental price change for example, are price-sensitive. 2.4
- But it is definitely true that when we made the change,
- 25 for example in Laika as you are referring to here, we

- did not see an immediate 6% churn. We may have seen
- 2 that contribute to customers moving out but it is very
- 3 hard to determine exactly what the drivers of our 15%
- analysed churn we had at the time was.
- 5 Q. Standalone fixed voice is an inelastic product, is it
- 6
- 7 A. By the definition that I am using here, i.e. we can put
- 8 up the price change, we put up the price in a price
- 9 change and we do not see immediate reduction in volume. 10
- Line Rental is . I do not think that is the case on 11 calls where we see like raised reduction in usage, so
- 12 that our rate of usage increases at the point of price
- 13 changes but Line Rental does not follow that rule that
- I sort of set-up, i.e. you put up the price by 6%, we do 14 15 not see 6% of the customers leave.
- 16 Q. That is why you describe some of your standalone
- 17 customers as not having woken up has to high over-priced
- 18 BT is in the earlier document?
- 19 A. I took a note from what someone said to the effect.
- 2.0 Q. Mr Bunt, in this slide, you are not referring to bundle
- 21 customers are you? You cannot possibly mean that
- 2.2 bundles are inelastic?
- So this document is referring to our price change which 23
- 2.4 is applied to everybody. It is referring to our Line
- 2.5 Rental price change which applied to all bundles and to

2

3

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

the Line Rental component of that. It also is referring to the broadband price changes that we made in those years and, again, we did not see that, that same kind of definition that I applied a moment ago, we did not see when we put up broadband bundle prices by 6.5%, we did not see 6.5% of our customers leave immediately.

We did see, again across those bases, churn rates of around 15% over the year. Very hard to work out how much of that is directly or indirectly related to our price change, but this would -- what I am speaking here absolutely about are whole base, so all voice customers i.e. including bundle customers because they have

- Q. So your evidence is even though we saw on the 14 15 Simon Lowth slide deck that bundle customers are taking 16 advantage of deep deals -- that is BT wording -- you are 17 saying that bundles are an inelastic product?
- 18 A. Okay. So what I am saving is, just to repeat, that when 19 we make a price change we do not see a high -- we do not 20 see 6% of our bundle customers leaving when we put up 2.1 the price by 6% immediately. We do not see that 22 immediate customer response.

The bundle market is a complicated market with lots 2.3 2.4 of different customers transacting in different ways and one of the things we might have driven from our price

- 1 change -- very hard to measure -- is more customers
- 2 going into the market to partake of bundle deals like
- 3 the ones described in the Simon Lowth deck or the other
- competitor market deck that you showed me before. Very
  - hard to draw a line between those things though.
- Q. Mr Bunt, you are not referring to bundles as an 6 7 inelastic product here. When you talk about inelastic
- 8 products you are not referring to bundles, are you?
- 9 A. I am because I am referring to the price changes like 10 the up to 6.94% we made across the board and we are 11 putting up the broadband component bundle prices by that 12 amount as well as the Line Rental component, so, yes,
- 13

5

- 14 Q. So your evidence is when you talk about largely 15 inelastic products you are referring to bundles. That 16 is clear from --
- A. I am referring to the behaviour of the base which 17 18 includes absolutely bundle customers and how they 19 responded to --
- Q. So inelastic is the full suite. 2.0
- 21 Now. Mr Bunt, your third point is that these price 2.2 rises provided significant upside as oxygen to fuel BT's 23 investments. I am going to bring you back to that.
- 24 A. Okav
- 2.5 Q. Now, if we could go to your witness statement, please,

86

your second statement at  $\{D/2/49\}$ .

- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Which paragraph number, please?
- 3 MR KREISBERGER: It is not a paragraph, sir. It is an
- 4 annex 3 in fact.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you. 5
- MS KREISBERGER: But it is top of the page. 6
  - This is a reference to the document I just showed
- 8
- 9 A. Mm-hm.
- 10 Q. That is  $\{F/310/1\}$ .
- 11 A. Yes.

7

13

- 12 Q. So this is in the list of documents which you refer to
  - for the purposes of providing the evidence set out in
- 14 your statement. So Mr Bunt, this document was brought
- 15 to your attention --
- 16 A It was
- 17 Q. -- by your legal team?
- 18 A. Yes, absolutely
- 19 Q. But, Mr Bunt, you do not mention it in your evidence?
- 20 A. I am not familiar with how this works but would I not
- 2.1 look for footnote 26 to find where I mention that
- 22 document or I refer to that document, which I found here
- 2.3 at paragraph 76? Is that how it works? Because it says 2.4
- 26 against the document; is that right?
- 2.5 Q. No, these are not footnote references?

87

- 1 A. It is just a list of things.
- 2. Q. To save you some time we checked and it is not --
- 3 A. I was not trying to check. I am sure if you say so,
- that is fine.
- 5 Q. You do have six paragraphs on the Project Laika price
- 6 increase and this is a paper on that price increase?
- 7 A Yes
- 8  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Referring to inelastic products but you do not mention
- 9 it in vour evidence?
- 10 A. I have to take that.
- 11 Q. Let us move forward from Laika to the 16/17 price
- 12 change. If we could call up  $\{F/369/1\}$ . I am going to
- 13 refer in a moment to some slides which are in your hard
- 14 copy bundle but this email is not.
- 15 On 11 December you see that on page 1, you emailed 16
  - a slide deck to Ms Blight?
- 17
- 18 Q. Cc'ing Mr Wall. On page  $\{F/369/3\}$  of that document, you
- 19 see the date where you send the slide deck: 2.0
  - "Some stuff is draft, especially Dom's."
- 21 So you are emailing the slide deck there?
- 22 A. Yes

24

- 23 Q. Then that slide deck -- perhaps we could just call that
  - up. So that is at  $\{F/865/1\}$ . That is the slide deck

88

2.5 with your email dated 11 December 2015. I wonder if we

- 1 could go back to having a split screen so we could have
- 2 both documents on the screen. So that is  $\{F/369/1\}$ .
- 3 Yes, thank you. Now, these slides were a presentation
- 4 to Gavin Patterson who was CEO?
- 5
- Q. If we go back up in the email on the right you see that 6
- 7 Ms Blight replies to you with some comments on the same
- 8 day. Do you see that, December 11, 11.52?
- 9
- 10 Q. You then responded only to Mr Wall. You see that at
- 11 12.24?
- 12 A. Yes, that is right.
- 13 Q. On the 11th?
- 14 A. Possibly an error, but, yes.
- 15 Q. Now --
- 16 A. Because I am the next points but ....
- Q. You say answers in line. I would like to go down to 17
- 18 page  $\{F/369/2\}$ , please. I do not know how clear this is
- 19 on the EPE, but the first sentence is Ms Blight's
- 2.0 original email and the slightly greyed out text from
- 21 there to the end of that paragraph is your email. So
- 22 you can see a shading change?
- 2.3 A. In which paragraph?
- 2.4 Q. If you have a look at slide 11.
- A. Slide 11, okay, sorry.

1 Q. Ms Blight says:

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- 2 "I like that we're adding a competitive comparison but it needs commentary. What are you planning on 3 calling out?"
  - Now, this is the slide she is talking about. This is slide 11. So you have that on the left-hand side and you see there are Line Rental prices, top line: BT £17.99 going up to either £18.99 or 19.25, you were debating that. Then you see prices for your other providers Virgin, TalkTalk and Sky on the right. She
  - "I like that we're adding this comparison but it needs commentary. What are you planning on calling out?"
- 15 You say this:
  - "Previously we haven't had commentary and I think I'd feel embarrassed calling anything out like 'we're very expensive and as far as we know [Virgin Media] are not increasing voice pricing, but it's ok because customers are price insensitive! Happy to take guidance on it but not sure there is a story there (maybe best in back up -- but Kelly was convinced he would ask about it )."
- 2.4 So, Mr Bunt, you are saying there three things to 25 Ms Blight: the first is an acknowledgement that BT's

- Line Rental is very expensive compared to other 2
  - providers. "We're very expensive"?
- 3 A. It definitely says that, yes.
- 4 Q. You are saying that?
- 5 A. Yes.

7

- Q. Your second point -- now your evidence in relation to 6
  - the last document I showed you on price inelastic
- 8 products was that you do not use the term
- 9 "price—insensitive". But here you do that use that
- 10 term, do not you?
- 11 A. Definitely.
- 12 Q. You say customers are price—insensitive and you
- 13 emphasise that with an exclamation mark?
- 14
- 15 Q. In other words, these customers will swallow the price
- 16 increases without switching away?
- 17 A. Similar to how I phrased it before. Customers who you
- 18 would not see a direct or immediate response. You would
  - not see 6% of our customers switch when we made that
- 20

19

3

- 2.1 Q. It is the same point: people do not switch. The third
- 22 point you made to Mr Wall, in fact, you do not send this
- 23 back to Ms Blight, is that you would be embarrassed
- 2.4 about drawing attention to the fact that you are taking
- 2.5 advantage of their price - insensitivity .

91

- 1 "I think I'd feel embarrassed calling anything out."
- 2. A. Yes. I am not sure I say taking advantage but I agree
  - that I definitely say I would feel embarrassed there.
- Q. You are not sure that this pricing strategy is a story?
- 5 A. Yes, I think on the story point I am saying that I am
- 6 not sure there is a narrative to put on this slide
- 7 particularly.
- 8  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  There is right: there is not a good narrative to put on
- 9 the slide?
- 10 A. There is not a narrative to put on the slide but, yes,
- 11 I am not disputing any of those things, that I said all
- 12
- 13 Q. Mr Bunt, you cover the 16/17 price change in your second
- 14 statement, do you not?
- 15 A. Yes. I do.
- 16 Q. There are over two pages on it but you do not refer to
- 17 this email about that price change?
- 18 A. No, I do not.
- 19 Q. You do not address the fact that you said pricing was
- 2.0 based on customer price-insensitivity?
- 21 A. No. I do not say that in my statement, no.
- 2.2 Q. You remain embarrassed to call this one out?
- 23 A. I do not think it is a very useful slide nor us to
- 2.4 include. It shows the growing delta between our price
- 2.5 and other CP's prices. I agree with that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment, please. (Pause). Yes. "I remember the Voice Division also monitored what MS KREISBERGER: Could we, please, turn up  $\{F/379/1\}$ . 2 BT termed 'bereavement' when assessing the performance 3 Mr Bunt, this is an email exchange between you and 3 of the 'true solus' customer base. However, bereavement 4 Ms Arti Babbar. It is dated 29 December 2015. Now, 4 was not the main reason for churn in this customer base." 5 Ms Babbar, as it says there, was head of Customer 5 6 Acquisition Strategy at the time? But in this email, and you endorsed it with a smiley 6 7 7 face. it says that: Q. Her email summarises a discussion between the two of 8 "[it] will continue to deteriorate at today's rate 8 you. She says: 9 9 largely driven by high mortality rates"? 10 10 "I've pulled together a summary of what we talked A. So I should have challenged Arti more there because my 11 about ...' 11 view at the time, and my view in my evidence, certainly, 12 12 She asks if you have got any changes to make. We reflects the perspective that whilst bereavement was 13 see that you say: 13 a factor in churn of a line only base, it was by far "Just a couple of tiny additions/changes" and from the only factor, and I guess I do refer I think in 14 14 15 a smiley face? 15 my note to Home Phone Saver which is a product we A. That is what I say. 16 introduced because of landline only churn -- sorry. 16 Q. So this combined product of your conversation refers to 17 17 switching rather than churn, so as to be clear in my 18 three customer groups. The first is broadband and line 18 language. 19 19 customers. That is a reference to bundles, bundle Even in the paragraph you refer to in 72, as you say 20 2.0 customers? I go on to talk about voice only switches which was 2.1 A. It is, yes. 21 a significant issue for us and the reason that we  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  The second is BT line only, no broadband with any 22 2.2 created Home Phone Saver. provider. So that is what we call Voice Only Customers. 2.3 2.3 So your email that you endorsed at the time, your 2.4 2.4 evidence is that it has that wrong? BT line only, no broadband, 25 25 Yes, I definitely could have been and should have been 93 1 Q. Is that right? 1 bolder in making that point in that email, yes. A. Yes, sorry. 2 Q. Now, let us turn back to  $\{F/379/1\}$ . The rest of the 3 Q. The third group is BT line only customers who have 3 paragraph -- we are sticking with the voice only broadband with another provider. That is what Ofcom category: "Any product decisions [I think that should be 5 refers to as split supplier. It is a subset of split 5 6 'product' decisions] these customers do make are based 6 purchaser? 7 7 A. SPCs, exactly, yes. on significant headline price points (Line Rental or  ${\sf Q}.\;\;{\sf Let}$  us have a look at what you say about Voice Only 8 8 Home Phone Saver - £20.99). Although broadband upsell 9 9 Customers. You say that: in the aim is to make these customers stickier? 10 "[They] will continue to deteriorate at today's rate 10 11 largely driven by high mortality rates (current base 11 "... is an opportunity to support broadband growth, 12 1.5 million)." 12 aggressive deals to date have not persuaded them to 13 So you are saying the main reason for the decline in 13 take-up broadband. Therefore a step change here is 14 this base is high mortality rates? 14 unlikely." A. Arti is saying that but I am not correcting her. I 15 15 A. So obviously you've gone from my comments into Arti's 16 appreciate that. I probably should have corrected it 16 original there in the way you have read that. It 17 more and referred to Post Office switching as an example 17 probably needs to be looked at compartmentalised. Arti 18 but I did not. 18 is saying originally what I read, because it did not 19 Q. So you now disavow this statement that? 19 have my blue edit when I first read it, it did not have 2.0 2.0 A. Can you help me on what "disavow" means, sorry? my comments in it when I first read it is that although 2.1 2.1 Q. You are quite right to pull me up. Let us just have broadband upsell is the aim here to make customers

2.2

23

2.4

25

94

Mr Bunt, very fairly. What you may be thinking about is

a look at your second witness statement. That is at

 $\{D/2/20\}$ , paragraph 72. I will put the point again,

the first sentence. You say:

and we are talking here about ASA changes, that is why  $$96$\,$ 

I think the point I am making here is when the --

stickier aggressive deals to date have not persuaded

them to take up broadband.

2.2

23

2.4

8

9

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 I am having this conversation and so the change to 2 integrate grate the price of broadband and Line Rental, 3 people in this cohort in the BT landline only customer 4 cohort would understand their price as, I cannot remember where we are in time for the price of Line 5 Rental but I think £17.99 or 16.99 at this point they 6 would understand their Home Phone Saver as being £19.99, we would then show them a price for broadband at, say, 8 9 for example a price of £25 and that would not be 10 a significant jump, because they are already used to 11 this kind of large headline price point. As opposed to 12 the marketing of broadband at the time which was 13 broadband for £5, right, and that kind of association 14 with broadband being a cheap service. It was broadband 15 for £5, asterisks, including Line Rental of £15/£16 16 whatever So that is the --17 THE CHAIRMAN: That is the plus Line Rental.
- 18 A. Plus Line Rental, exactly. Sorry, plus, absolutely
  19 right, sorry, so that is the distinction I am trying to
  20 draw here in terms of the behavioural way these
  21 customers shop or behave. That is the point I am
  22 making.
- 23 MS KREISBERGER: But the point on the email that you have 24 endorsed is that aggressive deals to date have not 25 persuaded them to take up broadband, therefore a step

97

- change here is unlikely . You do not expect the voice only Class to switch to a bundle, do you?
  - A. We definitely expected a fewer of them to switch to a bundle than the bottom section, those with broadband with another provider. But, yes, so I am commenting, building on Arti's version of our conversation here.

Just on the point on endorsement, which I think is a bit strange, I am adding some more to it to make it more reflective of our conversation. Obviously the conversation happened in 2015. I cannot recall everything that we said to each other in detail but those are the adds I am making there. That is true. Endorsement with a smiley face —— endorsement is probably a bit strong but those are the additions that I am making.

- Q. I will try it one more time, Mr Bunt. This email notes
   you and Ms Babbar that aggressive deals to date have not
   persuaded Voice Only Customers to take up broadband
   a step change here is unlikely?
- 20 A. Yes, that is right, and I stand by that position.
- 21 Q. Right. let us move to the last category.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Well --
- 23 MS KREISBERGER: Ah, sorry, would you like to finish this document or ...

98

 $25\,$  THE CHAIRMAN: If it is not going to take too long.

 $1\,$  MS KREISBERGER: I do not think so. Thank you.

Just looking at what you say about split supplier customers. So these are split purchasers who have

4 landline with you and broadband with someone else. You 5 say:

"These customers are likely to be highly inert whilst also having a strong brand affiliation with BT specifically as a landline provider."

That is right?

- 10 A. The email has jumped off the screen while you were 11 talking.
- 12 Q. I am so sorry, let us get that back up.
- A. While we get it back can I just make one further point
- on that middle section on solus voice which is
- responsive to your question, just to say, it should have occurred to me a moment ago, I think it is important to
- occurred to me a moment ago, I think it is important to note here that like this group by definition have not
- 18 taken broadband, otherwise they would not be in this
- 19 group. So we have offered them attractive broadband
- deals and they have not taken them. If they had taken
- 21 them, they would no longer be in that section of
- landline only customers. I think that is important to
- 23 distinguish.

Q. That is right.

2.4

5

25 A. Okay, sorry.

99

- Q. So moving to split supplier customers, broadband with
   another provider, you describe these customers as
   "likely to be highly inert whilst also having a strong
- 4 brand affiliation with BT specifically as a landline
  - provider"?
- ${\sf 6}$   ${\sf A.}$  Yes, Arti uses that language, yes, absolutely.
- 7 Q. You say:
- 8 "These customers have not reacted to FREE deals 9 advertised so unlikely threat for competitors to gain 10 line through consolidation."
- 11 A. Yes, that is what Arti says and I challenge that in my12 last line.
- 13 Q. Yes. You say:
- "This segment might become more desirable for
  competitors to pursue as consolidation for this base
  looks the same before and after the change."
- A. Yes, and what I mean by that is whereas now for
   everybody else broadband has gone from being £5 in the
   advert to £20 or £25 in the advert, for this base
- because they already have a line, talking about
- 21 broadband for them is this much catchier sort of £5
- 22 number because they already have a line from somewhere
- else. The integration does not change the position for
- those customers. That is what I am saying.
- $25\,$   $\,$  Q. These customers who are likely to be highly inert , that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 1 means their price-insensitive, does it not? 2 A. I think they were quite a mysterious group this to us. 3 I think there is a mixed bag here. We have not talked 4 about it on other questions so if you do not mind I will 5 elaborate quickly, which is to say there were many customers in this group that we thought were getting 6 incredibly good broadband deals, so free broadband or similar when they took Sky TV as an example of a deal. 8
  - So their inertia would not necessarily be because they were price insensitive . It might actually be because they were very rational. They were not acting because they already had the best possible deal

There will be some customers in that base who would have been paying more for standalone broadband from other providers. I do not -- we did not know who they were taking their broadband from and how much they were paying at an individual customer level. But we were certainly aware of those deals like the one I describe from Sky that would have meant a very rational inertia for those customers.

- 2.2 Q. Yet what you say on the email is that these customers 2.3 have a strong brand affiliation with BT specifically as 2.4 a landline provider?
- 25 A. Arti says that, and I think that is right, because for

101

- 1 those customers to move their landline to Sky may have 2 been neutral or relatively neutral. The price would 3 have been the same but they remained with us for their landline, so they valued that service from us, 5
- 6 MS KREISBERGER: Sir, I think if that is a convenient moment 7 and we can stop there.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Just give me one moment, please. (Pause) 8 9 I checked what you said about the middle group. 10 That is the voice only, and you were saying effectively 11 that you have not persuaded them to take up broadband, 12 a step change is unlikely, and your answer said here: 13 people in this cohort in BT landline only customer would 14 understand their price, for example, their Home Phone 15 Saver as being £19.99. We would then show them a price 16 for broadband, say £25 and that would not be 17 a significant jump because they are already used to this
- 19 A. Yes

18

THE CHAIRMAN: Just what did you mean by that? 2.0

kind of large headline price point.

- 2.1 A. Shall I elaborate on that?
- 2.2 THE CHAIRMAN: Please.
- A. Sure, of course. So it is really hard to conceive now 23 2.4 because the broadband has changed as a result of the ASA
- 25 intervention but we were having this conversation prior

to that. So it was very typical at the time to see

2 broadband advertised for £5 or even as free in some

cases. A lot of customers therefore would take 4 broadband plus Line Rental, as you pointed out before,

5 but would kind of associate their kind of primary price

point in their head as being this free or £5 thing which 6

7 is why the ASA change was implemented to make sure 8 customers had a better view of the overall value of what

9 they were buying.

> That is not the case for this group we are talking about here because the primary product they are buying, the main association is the Line Rental or Home Phone Saver or equivalent product. That is a higher price, high teens, typically £20 maybe, and therefore when presented with a £25 product that might be perceived as a 5 to £10 jump rather than the kind of change we were considering for the whole base of £5 all of a sudden becoming £25 in an advert. So that is the difference.

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Therefore, are you meaning that should have

20 been attractive to them?

2.1 A. It might make it more attractive to them.

22 THE CHAIRMAN: Because in their eyes they are already paying 23 this significant amount of, say, £20 a month?

2.4 A. Exactly.

25 THE CHAIRMAN: Then they are told yes, but you can get the

103

1 bundle for £25 a month and yet they did not jump.

2 A. No, many of them did jump but we were sort of 3 speculating because it has not happened yet as it has

not happened yet as to how this base is going to --

5 THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I am trying to ask, but you say 6 here: is an opportunity but the aggressive deals to date

7 have not persuaded them to take broadband.

8

A. That is absolutely true.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: So presumably the aggressive deals, which to 10 them might not seem like a big deal because they are 11 just changing from 20 to 25, has not persuaded them and 12 so a step change is unlikely.

13 A. So no, the aggressive deals they would have been seeing at this point would have been free or £5 because we are 14 15 still in pre-ASA world. Yes, it has not had the desired 16 effect .

Now, those aggressive deals in general which are 17 18 applying to all three categories here have become for 19 the most part or to a bundle customer less attractive 2.0 because all of a sudden you are looking at this heavier, 21 price point but that is not the case for this group

2.2 because of their --

23 THE CHAIRMAN: No, sorry to labour the point. I follow 2.4 that. It would not be such a change in perception

2.5 because they are already paying this £20 thing, so they

102

1	are us	sed to seeing a total figure in that region.	1	Q.	So the date of these slides is 14 July 2017.
2	A. Yes.		2	Α.	Yes.
3	THE CHA	AIRMAN: But what is your conclusion here: but still	3	Q.	Just to situate the document, could I ask you to turn to
4	they o	did not appear to be moving?	4		page $\{F/833/6\}$ . This slide summarises "Dynamics of base
5	A. It is	definitely true we had already tried to transact	5		that cannot be priced". The first box relates to "Truly
6	with t	this base. We are talking here in the pre—ASA	6		Solus Customers":
7	stage.	We have tried hard to sell broadband to these	7		"Voice customers who do not take broadband with BT
8	custor	mers and those that remain have not taken it.	8		or any [communications provider]."
9	Obvio	ously those who have taken it $$	9		"Will be subject to price cap regulation by Ofcom
10	THE CHA	AIRMAN: Obviously some have, all right. Thank you.	10		( initial $\mathfrak{L}[6]$ reduction and further price increases to
11	Q	uarter past 2, please. Thank you.	11		LR/plans capped at CPI), though PPM and call products
12	(1.15 pm)		12		can be adjusted."
13		(Luncheon Adjournment)	13		So that is the Voice Only Customers who received the
14	(2.15 pm)		14		Carmen discount.
15	THE CHA	AIRMAN: Yes, Ms Kreisberger.	15		Then "BT Basic":
16	MS KREI	SBERGER: Good afternoon. Thank you.	16		"Low Line Rental, call allowance and monthly price
17	M	Ir Bunt, let us have a look at some strategy	17		cap for qualifying customers.
18	docun	nents on voice price rises. If we could go to	18		"Price not historically changed and difficult to
19	{F/18	$30/1\}$ on the EPE. You do have a hard copy of this	19		achieve politically ."
20	one.		20		So that is BT Basic.
21	R	ight, so that is a document called "Returning to	21		Then thirdly, "Home Phone Saver":
22	Profit	able Revenue Growth in UK Consumer and Business	22		"Line Rental, calls and features bundle.
23	Marke	ets. March 2012 Board Strategy", and it refers to	23		"Price of package guaranteed for promise period
24	Mr Pa	atterson who was CEO at the time. So this pre—dates	24		(latest 2020)."
25	your t	ime in voice?	25		So these are three groups of customers who, by
105					107
1	A 1: 1		1		11.0017
1	A. It do		1		July 2017, you could not increase the price to?
2		s move forward to page 17. You see the heading	2	Α.	I mean, it is definitely the case on Home Phone Saver.
3	there:		3		On BT Basic we did not want or feel that we could, and
4		We will maximise value from our legacy fixed voice	4		on truly solus, we had not reached the commitments with
5		ess and build new personal communications offers."	5		Ofcom yet and got the wrong number in there of £6,
6		hen in the bottom left—hand box:	6		because we are still negotiating or still talking to
7		Overall the fixed voice market is in decline.	7		Ofcom, but that is $$ that will eventually be treated by
8		We are managing revenue and margin via price rises	8		the time we do the price changer.
9		nigration to unlimited plans."	9		So that is right then?
10		o before your time, but this document shows that	10		Yes.
11		board recognised back in 2012 that the fixed voice	11	Q.	If we go forward to page $\{F/833/9\}$ . Could you, Mr Bunt
12		et is a market in decline ——	12		perhaps if you could just read to yourself, and then
13	A. Yes.		13		I will take you through it, "Strategic Considerations
14		and that this created a problem of declining revenue?	14		and Key Principles". (Pause)
15		it meant that the revenue for that market would	15		Okay.
16		e as well, yes.	16	Q.	So the first set of bullet points under "Strategic
17	Q. Yes, a	and that is a problem that the board proposed	17		Considerations", this confirms what I just put to you
18	mana	ging via price rises?	18		about the former slide, so these are customers to whom

20

21

22

23

24

25

106

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Yes. Let us have a look at some strategy documents,

again on voice price rises . Sorry, I am going to go

forward. Yes, this is in your time as General Manager

at Voice in 2017. That is  $\{F/833/1\}$ . This is also in

A. Also migration to unlimited plans.

your hard copy bundle.

A. Thank you.

personalised calling plans, and BB Plus is a broadband \$108\$

"The base of customers from which we can price

you could not increase the price:

That includes the Carmen discount?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  Perhaps for the Tribunal's benefit, PCPs are

change has decreased."

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		product with out—of—contract price increases.
2		So you are referring to customers, that you cannot
3		raise their prices, and what you draw from this is:
4		"This means we have an even greater challenge to
5		using price change to meet MTP"
6		Medium term planning.
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	" we need an intelligent approach to pricing what
9		remains in our control."
LO		So you are looking here at where you can put
L1		the price up, excluding these customers?
L2	A.	That is right.
L3	Q.	Then we move down to "Key Principles":
L4		"Price rises should be shared across products based
L5		on:
L6		"Price history
L7		"Current assessment of [value for money] as
L8		perceived by customer and relative to competition
L9		"Price elasticity (what is likely to happen as
20		a result )."
21		Then you say this:
22		"Products should not be excluded arbitrarily from
23		price changes, owing to the fact that we have a smaller
24		pool of products/props to play with."
25		So, again, you are looking to see where can you put
		109
1		prices up?
_		

Q. You then make the point that up—selling to bundles or up—spinning is rational because it is profitable to BT.

Then you say this, the last two bullet points on the slide:

"Price changes are a key source of value for the business and commensurate business effort should be embraced (i.e. [do] not put too high a premium on ease/simplicity)."

Then your final point there is:

"We should recognise declining markets and price accordingly to maximise value (e.g. split purchase Solus)."

So, Mr Bunt, here you are recognising that split purchasers, they make a declining market?

17 A. Yes, they do.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

 $\begin{array}{ll} 18 & Q. \ \mbox{Your strategy for that declining market is to maximise} \\ 19 & \mbox{value through pricing to them?} \end{array}$ 

20 A. Yes, that is true.

 $21\,$   $\,$  Q. That just means charging split purchasers higher prices?

110

22 A. I think it does, yes.

23 Q. If we turn back to your statement, if we go to your 24 third statement at paragraph 26,  $\{D/3/7\}$ .

25 A. Page 9, paragraph 36, did you say?

1 Q. Sorry, paragraph 26.

2 A. Sorry, got it.

3 Q. Mr Bunt, your evidence here is that the primary way that 4 the Voice Division seeks to drive revenue growth is to

5 get landline customers to take a bundle, usually

6 a broadband bundle. You say this is and always has been

7 the primary way that BT drives revenue, converting

8 customers to bundles.

9 A. It was always the best outcome for us, absolutely, to10 get the customer to (inaudible).

11 Q. You make the first point in your first statement, at

paragraph 33. You say that conversion goal is a key

13 driver?

14 A. I do.

 $15\,$  Q. But the document I have just shown you in 2017 suggests

 $16 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{that the key driver of BT's strategy to split purchasers} \\$ 

 $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{was to charge them high prices to maximise value from} \\$ 

18 this declining base?

19 A. I think -- I am not sure it shows that. It definitely

20 says that is a thing we are doing in general across the

21 base. It uses Split Purchase Customers as an example,

22 which I agree with. I do not think it is saying that is

23 the key objective for that base.

24 Q. It is just the objective recorded --

25 A. It is certainly --

111

1 Q. — on that document?

2 A. Sorry, to interrupt you. It is certainly preferable for 3 us if those customers take broadband. That would always

us if those customers take broadband. That would always

 $4 \qquad \quad \text{have been a preferable situation} \ .$ 

5 Q. But that is not noted on that document?

 ${\sf 6}$  A. It does not say that there.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Just one moment, please. (Pause). Yes.

8 MS KREISBERGER: Moving to a different topic. Mr Bunt, if

9 you could take up your witness statement, the second

 $10 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{statement, at paragraph 44, please, at } \{D/2/12\}.$ 

11 A. I have  $\{D/2/13\}$  for paragraph 44.

12 Q. D2 should be page 12 — page 13, paragraph 44.

13  $\{D/2/13\}.$ 

Here, Mr Bunt, it is your evidence that between 2013

and 2019 BT used consumer price change revenue to part

fund consumer investments, like installing 5G towers,

17 underground fibre—optic lines, and acquiring the

18 Champions League rights?

19 A. Mm-hm.

 $20\,$   $\,$  Q. Let us go back to your annual price change paper. That

21 is at  $\{F/310/1\}$ . I have shown you this before.

22 A. Yes

23 Q. You will recall this is your paper where you refer to

24 super-inflationary price increases on largely inelastic

25 products.

- I said we would come back to your third point. Here you say this capital provides the oxygen for our business in the sense of its investment in content spectrum etc.
- 5 I am sorry, that is page 2. That is the one.
- 6 Perhaps we could blow up "Background". That is it.
- 7 Thank you. {F/310/2}
- 8 You say here that price rises on inelastic products
- 9 have a significant upside for the business?
- 10 A. Okay.
- $11\,$  Q. You say that they generate oxygen for your business, and
- oxygen is capital to invest in "content, spectrum,
- 13 etc."?
- 14 A. Yes.
- $15\,$   $\,$  Q. Again, "content" refers to the pay TV channel, for
- 16 example, BT Sport?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. As you say in your witness evidence?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- 20 Q. "Spectrum" refers to radio spectrum used by mobile 21 phones?
- 22 A. Yes, I think so, yes.
- $23\,$   $\,$  Q. Mr Bunt, those products are not part of the SFV services
- 24 that --
- 25 A. They are not.

113

- $1\,$   $\,$  Q. They are products that are supplied to mobile customers
- 2 in terms of spectrum?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Champions League is provided to pay TV customers?
- 5 A. Yes, and broadband.
- 6 Q. Broadband customers?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. They are often sold in a bundle?
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- Q. So the revenue generated by landline only customers was being used to invest in mobile and pay TV products?
- 12 A. The revenue generated from price increases landline only
  13 customers, and all other customers being a part of that.
- ${\tt 14}$  Q. On inelastic products, that is what you say --
- 15 A. It says it there, it definitely does. But also for all products, revenue from price changes was invested.
- Q. Mr Bunt, you also say in your evidence that BT was using
- 18 the price change revenue to invest in underground
- 19 fibre optic lines?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. That is funding BT's wholesale network business, is it 22 not?
- 23 A. That is not quite what I mean there, so let me clarify 24 that.

114

So the fibre roll —out you are referring to, the

wholesale element done by Openreach, provides the last

- 2 mile of fibre optic cables. But there is also
- 3 investment for individual downstream CPs to make in
- 4 order to consume that product. So without getting --
- 5 I am not an expert here.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I would like a little clarification, because
  - we asked about this the other day. Because I had rather
- $8 \hspace{1cm} \mbox{simplistically} \hspace{1cm} \mbox{thought that the fibre, instead of the}$
- 9 copper, is also part of the infrastructure which is
- $10\,$   $\,$  provided by Openreach, so why is BT investing in it? So
- can you explain very briefly the fibre bit that BT is
- 12 dealing with.
- 13 A. I will do my best just to make the point. I am not
- 14 a networks expert.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not going to hold you to anything,
- but just so we have an idea.
- 17 A. My understanding of how it works is you effectively have
- 18 the access network, so that is the Openreach built and
- 19 funded element of what we call the last mile of
- broadband, so from the telephone exchange to the
- customer's premise, but then each CP will have its own
- 22 core network.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: CP being?
- 24 A. Communications Provider, so Sky --
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: BT and its competitors.

115

- 1 A. in this case BT Consumer —
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 3 A. will have its own core network that will actually —
- 4 I am really not technical here, but the data travels
- 5 through that as well, and as you roll out fibre, and the
- 6 capacity of data being used by customers on the network
- 7 increases, because they have more bandwidth over the
- 8 fibre cables rather than the copper cables, you need to,
- 9 in turn, expand the capacity of your core network to
- 10 process that.
- 11 It is not the same scale of investment, but there is
- 12 a significant investment made. Many millions of pounds,
- 13 £20 million a year, that kind of range.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Each CP has their own separate core network?
- 15 A. Exactly. Certainly BT did, Sky did, TalkTalk did,
- 16 exactly.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: To that extent, they are not sharing —
- 18 whatever fibre thing they are doing, they are not
- 19 sharing it . They each have to do --
- $20\,$  A. That is right, they are not sharing. It is a benefit to
- 21 BT customers.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 23 MS KREISBERGER: Let us move forward to  $\{F/351/1\}$ . You have
- this one in your bundle.
- 25 A. Thank you.

1	Q.	You see that is a strategy document, "Consumer Voice	1		align with RPI:
2		Strategy 15/16 onwards".	2		"Smaller scale price change compared to $15/16 -$
3		If we go down to page $\{F/351/3\}$ . Again, just to	3		aimed to align with RPI.
4		situate it, you were senior propositions manager of	4		"This approach will help to curb a falling value
5		voice at this point?	5		perception and might offer a meaningful alternative to
6	A.	That is right, yes.	6		price change."
7	Q.	You see there the heading "Revenue Optimisation", and	7		As you noted, Mr Bunt, you see there a concern that
8		then the subheading "Context". Perhaps we could zoom in	8		spiraling prices since 2009 might hurt BT's business.
9		because it is rather tiny text.	9		But that is not what happened, is it?
10		It says:	10	Α.	We did not move to inflation—linked mechanisms for
11		"Pricing remains significantly net profit accretive,	11		another three or four years after that point.
12		generates oxygen for investment but also hurts our	12	Q.	So six months later, six months after this document
13		business as Line Rental price climbs:"	13		in September 2015, we had the Laika price change which
14		Then if we go down to "Further Background", just ——	14		we have talked about, and that was £1 on Line Rental?
15		yes:	15	Α.	That is right, absolutely, yes.
16		"In 2011 we made a 5% increase in [Line Rental] with	16		Then in July the following year, 2016, another £1 on
17		RPI at 5.2%, 2014 was 6 times RPI, whilst Rail fares	17	•	Line Rental?
18		increased by just 2.2% and energy suppliers held/lowered	18	Α	That is right.
19		prices .	19		I want to show you an email exchange, Mr Bunt, from
20		"Our Back Book increasingly over—indexes on share of	20	٦.	21 August 2015. That is {F/323/1}. If we go down to
21		Voice variable and fixed revenues suggesting high usage	21		page 2, you see an email there from a journalist called
22		and inertia."	22		Mark Jackson. He emails Kina Kara at BT and he says
23		Pausing there.	23		this:
24		Mr Bunt, this slide acknowledges three things, does	24		"Hi Kina. Regarding the planned BT Consumer price
25		it not? First of all, that Line Rental prices were	25		rise for Line Rental (£16.99 per month to £17.99), can
2,3		it not: That or all, that the Nehtal prices were	23		rise for Line Kentai (£10.99 per month to £17.99), can
		117			119
1		escalating?	1		you tell us what aspects have caused the cost of Line
2	Α.	It does acknowledge that, yes.	2		Rental to rise so sharply this year given that inflation
3	Q.	This strategy document observes that these increases	3		has been virtually flat?"
4		were well above the increases in rail fares and energy	4		Kina Kara responds, do you see that, Mr Bunt $$
5		prices?	5	Α.	I do.
6	Α.	Yes, that is right.	6	Q.	— at page {F/323/1}:
7	Q.	They were six times RPI?	7		"[Here is] a comment you can attribute to a BT
8	Α.	That is what it says. I cannot recall the RPI bit.	8		[Spokesman]:
9		Secondly, it says these climbing prices have generated	9		"We are sensitive to the economic timings and we
10		significant net profits for BT?	10		realise no—one welcomes a price rise. We are investing
11	Α.	You mean in the first sentence? Yes, okay.	11		heavily in order to offer some of the best value bundles
12		Lastly, these net profits can be used to fuel	12		of lines, superfast broadband and TV for new and
13	٦.	investments. We see there the same term, "oxygen for	13		existing customers. We have looked after the most
14		investment"?	14		vulnerable customers by keeping BT Basic the same price
15	Α	It says that. It says other things as well, like it	15		of just £5.10 a month and adding the option of
16		also hurts our business, and it points to concerns	16		a broadband bundle for just £9.95, which is the cheapest
17		around value for money perception and things like that,	17		in the UK."
18		but those things that you raised are definitely there.	18		"Specifically, at BT, a line and Infinity is now 23
19	0	We will have a look at some of this. If we stay with	19		per cent cheaper than five years ago. We are also
20	Q.	this document and go down to the left—hand side box,	20		giving value back with a 'double your data' offer for
21		headed "Deliverables", on this page. You see there the	21		broadband customers a broadband"
			22		
22		second box under "Deliverables":	22		Mr Jackson replies on page 1:

23

24

25

specifically ."

"Hi Kina. Yes but I asked what factors were

impacting the price rise on the phone Line Rental

23

24

25

"Price changes that don't stand out."

You see there  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{BT}}$  is contemplating a smaller price

change compared to the  $15/16\ \mathrm{price}\ \mathrm{change},\ \mathrm{aimed}\ \mathrm{to}$ 

1		Miss Kara then forwards this email to you and says:	1		been a focus on the vast majority of customers who buy $% \left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\} $
2		"Do I need to say that the investments we are making	2		dual/triple play and getting the pricing right for those
3		affect all our rises?"	3		customers [for the bundle customers]. And solus has
4		We just saw your evidence on the investments.	4		been a secondary consideration, rather than primary
5		You say this. You say:	5		focus?"
6		"That would be my approach."	6		Mr Bunt, what Mr Murray is saying here is the story
7		"His original question assumes there is a cost based	7		that we can develop for Ofcom is that essentially BT has
8		cause for increasing Line Rental which there isn't."	8		not been paying much attention to standalone prices.
9		"We can't answer in that way, so I would keep it	9		That is right, is it not?
10		vague."	10	A.	I do not think he is saying it is a story we can develop
11		Mr Bunt, so you are acknowledging here, internally	11		for Ofcom. I think he is using the word "story"
12		to your colleague, that Line Rental price increases are	12		I would probably say the word "narrative" is more
13		not caused by cost increases?	13		appropriate here $$ as a description of what we were
14	Α.	Not direct cost increases. Per the graph you showed	14		doing when we executed our price changes and
15		before, with the price of WLR	15	Q.	So to use your word, the "narrative" is that —
16	Q.	There is a disconnect. But you do not want to say that	16	Α.	"Description" would be a good word, yes.
17		to the journalist, so you tell her to "keep it vague"?	17		The "description" if you prefer?
18	Α.	I do not think you can give a direct attribution of cost	18		Yes.
19		to it . No, "We can't answer in that way", as I say, so	19		Is that solus customers have been left behind, they are
20		we talk more broadly —— maybe "broadly" would be	20	•	not the primary focus? So I think the —— yes, I think
21		a better term rather than "vague" —— about our other	21		the implication of the "Trickiest Q" below is that they
22		investments.	22		are the primary focus of pricing, and we are looking to
23	O	Keep it broad, talking about bundles.	23		rebut that. So you are focusing your price increases on
24	٩.	Let us have a look at another email exchange, it is	24		Line Rental and call charges and, as we discussed, they
25		{F/826/1}.	25		affect the whole base. That is not targeting solus
23		121	23		123
		121			125
1		Now, Mr Bunt, this is an email from James Tickel.	1		customers, which is the $$ part of the subject of the
2		If we could go down to page $\{F/826/3\}$ of this document.	2		meeting.
3		It is from Mr Tickel to Stuart Murray and Mark Shurmer.	3	Q.	Mr Bunt, I appreciate your evidence is everything
4		Stuart Murray is at this time director of regulatory	4		applied to all the voice customers, but Mr Murray here
5		affairs for BT Consumer, and Mark Shurmer is BT's group	5		says "solus has been a secondary consideration". Solus
6		director of regulatory affairs at this time. We see	6		is standalone fixed voice?
7		there the subject is "Current version"	7	A.	It is, yes. You are asking me to sort of interpret what
8		Now, these are emails regarding slides for a meeting	8		someone else is saying here, and I am doing my best to
9		between John Petter, CEO of BT Consumer, with	9		do that.
10		Sharon White, who was head of Ofcom.	10	Q.	I appreciate you are doing your best.
11		Now, I do not have Mr Murray here in these	11		Mr Murray recognises that arguing that solus has
12		proceedings so I am going to ask you about these emails.	12		been a secondary consideration rather than a primary
13	Α.	Okay.	13		focus is not a very attractive story?
14	Q.	I know you are not on the email chain.	14	Α.	I do not think it says that. I think it says
15		Now, Mr Tickel poses what he describes as the	15		He says:
16		"Trickiest Q", the trickiest question:	16		"Whatever the answer, it's unlikely to be attractive
17		"Why have you/others been focusing on price	17		"
18		increases on Line Rental and calls charges?"	18		Does that not mean any answer is unlikely to be
19		Mr Murray responds:	19		unattractive?
20		"Whatever the answer, it's unlikely to be	20	Α.	Yes, that is what he means there. Then I think he then
21		attractive"	21		puts his best foot forward as to how he would describe
22		He then goes on to —— he offers a story. He says	22		what is going on to Ofcom, and that is what he is doing
					and the second second

23

24

25

Q. That is right, that is his best foot forward.

We see him raising the same concerns a few months  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

23

24

25

"Story is probably not that there is a focus on  $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots \right\}$ 

increasing line charges, rather [the story is] there has

later . Let us go to  $\{F/472/1\}$ . This is an email thread 1 1 over that period, but other costs in the business are 2 from 13 July 2016. Just to situate this document, we do 2 increasing. 3 not need to turn it up, but you say at paragraph 117 of 3 Q. Then let us go up to Mr Murray's response at the top of 4 your second statement that Stuart Murray had a meeting 4 page 1,  $\{F/472/1\}$ : with Ofcom in early June 2016. You did not attend that "James, I get your point about prices falling 5 5 6 one? 6 towards efficient costs, but ... the problem here is 7 7 that Jonathan [from Ofcom] would say, looking at costs Q. There was then a follow—up meeting on the 20th June 2016 from a solus lines and calls made over those lines 8 8 9 which you did attend? 9 perspective only, that we are making a significant 10 10 A. I think so. return. Whilst we can argue that the revenue and profit 11  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  You are welcome to refresh your memory, Mr Bunt. That 11 made on calls has reduced as (i) call volumes have 12 is at 117 of your witness statement, your second 12 reduced, and (ii) more customers take - to a greater or 13 statement. (Pause) 13 lesser extent - inclusive call packages, the high 14 A. Yes, it definitely says that, yes. 14 profitability that Jonathan points to, does persist? 15 Q. You say at paragraph 127, that is  $\{D/2/37\}$ , that 15 I'm not sure how we get round this? I don't see an 16 following these meetings, BT made an offer to freeze 16 obvious argument to justify (in a way that would satisfy 17 17 the price of Line Rental for two years. That was the Jonathan) why Line Rental has increased at the rate that 18 offer on the table? 18 it has (leaving aside the justification this year 19 A. That is right. That is right. 19 created by the change in care level)?" Q. So if we come back to  $\{F/472/3\}$ , you see that Mr Murray 2.0 20 Mr Bunt, Mr Murray is here saying that it is the 2.1 attaches two draft letters to Ofcom setting out these 21 rate at which Line Rental has increased that cannot be proposals: 22 22 justified, is he not? 2.3 "... attached are two draft letters for Ofcom 2.3 A. He is saying that when you take a lens and apply it just 2.4 2.4 setting out our proposals." to solus customers, so you look at this price that was You say that Jonathan Oxley -- sorry, Mr Murray says 2.5 charged to all customers through the lens of 127 Jonathan Oxley is still of the mind that BT is making 1 1 a particular group to whom it was charged, then it is 2 excessive returns on solus voice. 2 harder to see a commensurate cost there. I think that 3 A. Sorry, where are we? 3 there are lots of the investments we were making at the Q. The very bottom of page 3: time that benefited the truly solus base and the SFV 5 "On solus voice, Lindsey seemed much more 5 base, for example, onshoring call centres, for example, sympathetic than Jonathan, with Jonathan still of the 6 the example that Stuart raises here of care level 6 7 7 mind that we're making excessive concerns and appearing increases. So there is a relationship between that 8 8 somewhat less than impressed with our offer." price increasing and investments in products and 9 9 services for those customers. Do you see that there? 10 A. I do see that. 10 Q. Mr Bunt, Mr Murray here, let us stick with the email, 11 Q. Then let us go up to page  $\{F/472/2\}$ . You see there an 11 Mr Murray says there is no obvious argument that would 12 email from Mr Tickel, his name appears right at the 12 satisfy Ofcom on the "rate" at which Line Rental has bottom of page 1, 14 July 2016, to Meg Blight, 13 13 increased. That is right, is it not? 14 Stuart Murray, Dee Cheek in the addressees. 14 A. He says that, yes. 15 It savs: 15 Q. BT's strategy of maximising value from these 16 "Is there anything we can add in here about cost 16 price-insensitive customers is not a good story for 17 pressures and why we and other players have been looking 17 Ofcom, is it, Mr Bunt? 18 to increase certain charges? The strength of points in 18 A. I think Stuart has not got the whole story here, and 19 the letter is undermined by [the] fact that in 19 I am not on the email to respond, but -- and I do not 2.0 competitive markets with informed and engaged customers, 2.0 know if there was a response from the likes of Meg and

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

his view there.

A. No, that is -- what I said was the WLR costs are falling

not a justification for the price increases?

prices would be expected to converge towards efficient

We have already had your evidence that the costs are

128

Laura who remained in the voice propositions team at

that point, but -- so I think the story can and should

be broadened out, but that is how he is characterising

Q. Let us move on, Mr Bunt. Your evidence is that the

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

costs.

1 reason why BT priced SFV services at a significant 2 mark-up to costs was to encourage the take-up of 2 3 bundles? 3 4 A Yes 4 5 Q. That is right, is it not? 5 A. That is my evidence. 6 6 Q. If we just go to your first statement,  $\{D/1/8\}$ , 7 paragraph 33. You begin by saying here: 8 8 9 "I agree with what is said in paragraphs 66 to 68 of 9 10 10 the Response about the competitive factors that 11 encouraged providers to incentivise the take-up of 11 12 12 bundles including broadband." 13 Let us just bring up the response. That is at 13 14  $\{K/3/25\}$ , starting at paragraph — perhaps we could blow 14 15 up the second, the lower half of the page. 15 16 16 Paragraph 67 begins: 17 "There was also supply—side factors supporting the 17 18 adoption of pricing strategies that favoured bundles. 18 19 BT's competitors in the provision of fixed voice 19 2.0 services during the Claim Period including large third 20 2.1 parties (such as Sky and TalkTalk) which provide fixed 21 22 voice and broadband services by paying for wholesale 22 2.3 23 access to BT's network, largely in the form of local 2.4 2.4 loop unbundling ... For these providers, the cost of wholesale unbundling is common to the provision of 2.5 129 1 downstream services provide over fixed lines. There was 1

downstream services provide over fixed lines. There we therefore a strong incentive to sell customers fixed voice and broadband: it increased the total revenue generated over each line and allowed the provider to spread the recovery of common costs across a broader range of services. (The same incentive ... applied to Virgin Media ...)"

So what is being said here is that BT competed with Sky and TalkTalk. There was a strong incentive to sell customers both fixed voice and broadband to spread recovery of common costs across a greater number of services?

13 A. Mm-hm.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.5

Q. Then you see the conclusion at paragraph 68:

"It therefore was economically rational for such providers to incentivise the take—up of bundles by setting Line Rental prices with a relatively significant mark—up above costs compared with the incremental broadband (or other services') prices — a pricing structure that makes bundles attractive compared to standalone services."

So that is a statement you are agreeing with in your evidence positively?

24 A. Yes.

Q. Let us then turn back to your witness statement, again

130

this is your first witness statement, back to paragraph 33 on page  $\{D/1/8\}$ .

Just to note the heading in your statement is:
"BT's use of pricing and marketing strategies
aligned with the Government's digital inclusion
strategy."

So here you are giving evidence on BT's pricing strategies .

Then at 33, we saw you agree with these paragraphs in the response. You say:

"In my role within Voice Pricing, one of the key drivers to BT's pricing strategy was to move customers away from voice only services to broadband and bundles. In fact, during my whole period at BT, the overall strategy has been to get customers online using BT's broadband packages, which we achieved in a number of ways ... marketing generous broadband bundle offers to new customers; ... cross—selling of broadband packages to existing landline only customers; and ... launching targeted products such as BT Basic plus broadband ..."

So in other words, here you are focusing on low prices, good offers to people to encourage them to take up a broadband bundle?

A. Yes, as well as that of kind of, yes, creating the balance that Line Rental and broadband — sorry,

131

the price of Line Rental and the price of an entry level bundle are close together, so it is a small jump for customers to make to take broadband.

Q. So this is your evidence here, but you also adopt the
 point in the response that BT price Line Rental had
 a relatively significant mark—up to costs compared to
 broadband, and that was to incentivise — you put the
 SFV up, a high mark—up, to encourage people to buy

9 bundles?

A. Yes, that is right.
 Q. So in other words, you are saying that BT's aim was to
 make Line Rental expensive enough that standalone
 customers would be discouraged by the high prices and

that would move them to a bundle?

A. I think I would flip that to say it is about making the
 jump to broadband small enough to ensure it did not
 create a disincentive.

Q. Well, in the response what you say is a relatively
 significant mark—up to costs compared to broadband in
 order to incentivise the purchase of bundles?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So it is a kind of nudge theory, a nudge through high 23 pricing?

A. I am not good on nudge theory. But the point of likea small jump was definitely part of it. If that is

1 a nudge, then, yes. grateful if you could send over the points you made" -2 Q. At paragraph 34 you add that this pricing was consistent 2 sorry, that is the one. You might want to just blow 3 with the Government's digital inclusion strategy? 3 that up, please, for the Tribunal. 4 A. Yes, the approach I think to move as many customers to 4 "Be grateful if you could send over the points you 5 broadband as possible was consistent with 5 made at the end of yesterday's session, regarding state the Government's digital inclusion strategy, yes. of play back in 2015 when we had the initial review with 6 6 7 Q. So it is a sort of altruistic pricing mechanism to get 7 Ofcom ..." 8 8 Voice Only Customers on to digital, the digital world, "General landscape, competition, key players in the get them online? 9 9 market .. 10 10 A. I think what we are demonstrating is that that balance, "Hopefully we can reach general agreement that we 11 that kind of emphasis of moving customers towards 11 can provide a version of these facts on background 12 12 digital inclusion, and removing barriers to adopting should the story break -- I'll put it on paper alongside 13 digital services, and there are other examples in there 13 statement and QA for review." 14 14 like BT Basic broadband, which is clearly doing that, The story that might break, Mr Bunt, that is this 15 was part of our intent, yes, and that was aligned with 15 Class Action, is it not? A Lassume it is It has to be either this Class Action 16 the Government's strategy. 16 17 17 or the 2021 review that I have commented about, ves. Q. Mr Bunt, I have shown you a number of internal documents 18 today and they record a number of reasons why BT 18 Q. Let us go up to page  $\{F/704/3\}$  of this document which increased the prices of SFV services. We have seen the 19 19 should help. There is an email there from Tom Engel, 2.0 reasons recorded were to "drive revenue growth" from 20 who is director of external communications, on the same 2.1 price-insensitive customers, using your language? 2.1 2.2 A. To drive revenue growth, yes. I understand, yes. 2.2 A. Yes 2.3 2.3 Q. To maximise value from this legacy base of customers? Q. He says: 2.4 2.4 "The background points look good and I am not the A Mm-hm Q. You recall that? 2.5 man to critique them (so thank you Jonny!)." 133 135 1 A. Mm-hm. 1 That is a reference to you? 2 Q. Also to generate capital for investments. Not one of 2. A. That is me. 3 the documents I have shown you today says that BT was 3 Q. "I do still wonder if there is a scope to snarl a bit pricing high to standalone customers in order to tip (on background) about fatcat law firms drumming up 5 them into bundles; not one of the documents I have shown 5 vexation cases that divert resource away from building 6 6 the connectivity the UK needs, but I accept that may be you say that? 7 7 A. I do not agree with that. I think the email you showed waxing too lyrical." me, an exchange between myself and Arti Babbar, does 8 8 I think the reference to fatcat law firms is 9 9 speak to that point, and also you selected a set of a reference to my team. That is probably one for the 10 documents rather than showing, you know, all the 10 11 documents that are written on it. So yes, in that 11 If we go down to your email which is a reply to 12 selection, apart from the one that  $\boldsymbol{I}$  referred to, that 12 Ms Graf. That is on page  $\{F/704/5\}$  of this thread. You 13 is the case 13 set out some of your thoughts on BT's defences to this litigation . You refer to HPS and Home Phone Saver and 14 Q. Let us have a look at an email from you to Chloe Graf. 14 15 15 It is at  $\{F/704/7\}$ . We see an email -- can we go to the BT Basic and also the Post Office. 16 previous page, please, and you see there the sender is 16 Then you say this, and that is on page  $\{F/704/6\}$ : 17 Chloe Graf? {F/704/6} 17 "We also showed Ofcom the below back in 2016 and 18 18 this is important too as it shows the decline in this A. Yes.

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

"I'm updating media strategy for review today. Be

It is marked "high importance". She says:

Q. She was head of External Communications?

Q. This is 18 December 2020. The email is called

"Background briefing points on Carmen".

"Good morning Jonny.

136

group over time. The point here is that our landline

only base was declining fast (25% a year) driven by

taking up broadband. One of the arguments for not

bereavement but also by competition and these customers

reducing prices sooner was that this made sure the jump

from landline only to broadband services was only £5 to

£10. The discount now makes that much greater and a big

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

A. Mm-hm.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

L	jump from landline only to broadband means there is
2	a risk that older users are stranded and find it harder
3	to take up digital services."

Just pausing there. That is the argument you just made to me now. That is precisely what you are telling the Tribunal the problem is. You raise the SFV price to minimise the jump?

- 8 A. Mm-hm.
- 9 Q. Then you say this:

"This is a nuanced argument to run because we did eventually drop the price but the idea of waiting until as many customers had moved over to broadband \*might\* be credible."

So, Mr Bunt, this argument that the reason you put up SFV prices was to reduce the jump for Voice Only Customers from their SFV service price to the bundle price, you describe that as a nuanced argument?

- 18 A. It is I think for a journalist trying to write a simple story on this it is very complicated.
- Q. A tricky argument. You say it "might be credible" andyou emphasise the word "might" with stars?
- 22 A. Yes, with stars, yes.
- Q. You do not sound terribly convinced about the credibility of this argument, do you. Mr Bunt?
- 25 A. I think it is not -- you know, I wished -- the use of

137

- 1 the stars are not particularly helpful there, I agree 2 with that. I think what I am trying to say, if I may 3 clarify, is any nuanced argument like this when you are dealing with a journalist looking to write a simple 5 story and you are talking on background, as the intent 6 of this is, I think needs to be clear and accessible and 7 simple. As we know, there is a lot of complexity in 8 this topic and issue and I think this point is complex 9 and that is what I am talking to. I am not casting 10 doubt on the factual basis of it. It is true.
- Q. When you say the stars are not very helpful, do you meannot helpful to your evidence in this case, Mr Bunt?
- 13 A. Yes, essentially that is what I am saying, yes.
- Q. Mr Bunt, this is another story, is it not? Mr Murray
   wanted a story for Ofcom. This was a story that you put
   forward for Ofcom, is it not?
- 17 A. This was a description of what we were doing in the18 business at the time and this equally is a description.
- Q. Mr Bunt, let us turn up your second statement, page 32,
   paragraph 110. {D/2/32}. Mr Bunt, here you are dealing
   with the Ofcom review. 2017. You say that:

"Ofcom's desire to review the market for SFV services all started with a speech by Sharon White ..."

You say at the end of that paragraph that Ofcom's intention to monitor Line Rental prices began with their

1 2016 annual plan?

- 2 A. Yes
- Q. Let us turn that up. That is at {C/340.1/1}. I had
   better show you the front page. Sorry, this is not the
   annual plan. This is 2014. Ofcom document on the "Cost
- 6 and value of communications services in the UK".
- 7 A. Yes
- 8 Q. So this is in fact two years before the annual plan in 9 2016?
- 10 A Yes

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q. If we could turn to page {C/340.1/3} of this document
 and if we could zoom in on the first two paragraphs,
 please:

"It is now ten years since Ofcom began regulating communications services in the UK. Over that period the prices of core telecoms services — broadband, landlines and mobile — have fallen to levels which compare well with anywhere in the world."

"This document is a review of the development of services and their cost over that period. It sets the UK communications sector in its national and international context. It also considers the cost of core communications services for UK consumers".

24 If we could please go down to page  $\{C/340.1/11\}$ . If 25 we could zoom in:

139

1 "Some standalone Line Rental charges have risen over 2 recent years but  $\dots$ "

Do you see that, Mr Bunt, the heading?

4 A. I do.

 $5\,$   $\,$  Q. If you could go to the bottom paragraph it says this:

"Despite this range of choice and the overall positive trend in fixed voice costs, some retail prices for Line Rental have increased in recent years. This is related to the fact that landlines generally tend to be sold as a bundle with other products — historically with voice calls, more recently with broadband. Market competition has tended to focus on the headline price of the bundle, which has generally fallen. Consequently consumers buying a landline service without broadband may not before fully from the effects of competition. Furthermore, some providers (TalkTalk and Virgin Media) have recently ceased their standalone landline service."

It ends with this:

"Ofcom will continue to monitor this situation carefully ."

Then if we could just go over the page, please,  $\{C/340.1/12\}$ , you see there a graph showing the trend in standalone rental costs. You can see BT's line in blue at the top there. You see wholesale Line Rental going down. Do you see that?

138

140

2.2

23

2.4

- 1 2 Q. By January 2014 Ofcom had confirmed its intention to 2 3 monitor standalone Line Rental prices, had it not? 3 4 A It had 4 Q. It was monitoring these prices very closely? 5 5 6 A. If you say so, yes. 6 7 Q. That is Ofcom's language, not mine? 7 8 8 A. Okay, fine. 9 Q. That is what the graph shows here? 9 1.0 10 A. Okay, it shows the prices, yes. 11 Q. Let us go to  $\{F/229/1\}$ . This is 5 March 2014. So this 11 12 12 is during your tenure as senior voice propositions 13 manager. This is the Project Window pricing update? 13 A. I do not think I have this one, do I? 14 14 15 Q. No, I am afraid this is on the EPE. 15 A. I have lots of other Window updates but not this one. 16 16 17 17 18 Q. Let us go down to page 4 on Fixed Phone Saver. 18 19 19  $\{F/229/4\}$ . Do you see there, Mr Bunt, the second bullet 20 2.0 21 A. Yes. 2.1 Q. So this is on the product Fixed Phone Saver back in 2.2 22 2.3 2.3 2.4 "Rationale", there is "PR Defence", and then the 2.4 25 second rationale is: 2.5 141 1 "Regulatory: Strong defence against Ofcom argument 1 2 that solus voice non-switchers are being penalised." 2 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. So by March 2014, the date of this document, BT was
- 5 aware that Ofcom was monitoring Line Rental prices very 6 7 A. Yes.  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  You were developing propositions as a defence to that? 8
- 9 A. Yes. Although it was also -- I mean, it is really 10 important to say this proposition was primarily created, 11 and it is the third bullet in the rationale, to deal 12 with solus voice churn -- actually, this will be a slide 13 written by Kelly Liu, but I assume that is what she 14 means by this slide.
- 15 Q. Mr Bunt, my question was: it is right to say that by 16 2014, BT was consciously developing propositions in the 17 knowledge that it needed a strong defence against Ofcom 18 argument that solus voice non-switchers are being 19 penalised. That is right, is it not?
- 2.0 A. I fully understand the point you are making. I think 21 the only point I would take issue with is that it was 2.2 felt that we needed it at this point. It was felt it 2.3 was helpful, contributory. Certainly it was not 2.4 negated. It is definitely mentioned here, I am not

arguing with that at all . I do not think we felt the 25

142

kind of level of urgency and acute focus of Ofcom that we did after Sharon White's speech in 2015 at this stage. It would have been a lower key issue for us.

Q. Let us have a look at paragraph 75 of your second statement, Mr Bunt. That is  $\{D/2/21\}$ . Sorry, it is paragraph — yes. Sorry, I am on the wrong page.

You say about halfway down the paragraph: "... I recall that at the end of January 2014, Ofcom published the results of an investigation into the cost and value of UK communication services. This consultation included an assessment of Line Rental prices across the UK, primarily in the context of

bundles and broadband. We wanted to address some of the issues raised in the investigation (such as the affordability of Line Rental and broadband) by

developing more propositions aimed at customers receiving certain government benefits."

So it is your evidence. Mr Bunt, that you were developing propositions to address Ofcom's concerns in 2014?

A. Sort of. The proposition that I then talk about us developing is one for low cost broadband.

MR KREISBERGER: Sir, is that a good moment to pause?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. We will take our break now.

143

(A short break) (3.30 pm)MS KREISBERGER: Mr Bunt, let us stay with the topic of Ofcom. I would like to show you BT's submission in 2017 5 in response to Ofcom's provisional conclusions. But 6 first, let us have a look at your witness statement, the 7 second statement at paragraph 113  $\{D/2/32\}$ . This is under the heading "[Your] Role in the 2017 Review". You 8 9 10

"Once I returned to the Voice Division in December 2016, I became involved again in the negotiations with Ofcom about the 2017 Review, and worked on the matter until an agreement was reached with Ofcom in October 2017. During this period, I assisted with the preparation of our presentations for and responses to Ofcom. I also worked with Finance to compile statistics that underlined the competitive nature of the wider voice market, the potential impact of price rental reductions above certain amounts and characteristics of voice customer groups in terms of their willingness to switch."

Now, Ofcom published its provisional conclusions on 28 February 2017. BT submitted a response on 19 May 2017. Mr Bunt, you helped prepare that response?

25 A. Contributed to, yes.

144

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

5

6

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Q. That is at  $\{C/5/4\}$ , please. I think we need to go to 1 2 the next page to see paragraph 12.  $\{C/5/5\}$ 3 So this is BT's submission and it says this: 4 "Going forward, the ASA's intervention (which 5 prevents broadband providers from advertising the price of broadband and Line Rental separately) is likely to 6 7 change these dynamics by reducing incentives to raise 8 the Line Rental price. Firms may, therefore, consider 9 Line Rental reduction as a further means of competing 10 for voice—only customers alongside product innovation 11 and discounts (although dual play providers may still 12 balance this with promoting further uptake of bundles by 13 keeping implicit broadband prices low)." Then if we go forward to page  $\{C/5/16\}$ , 14 15

paragraph 58, on the same theme:

"Following the ASA rule changes, Line Rental pricing cannot be de-coupled from the incremental broadband price; if Line Rental prices are reduced, this does not jeopardise competitiveness by requiring an offsetting increase in the incremental broadband prices ... Firms may, therefore, consider Line Rental reductions as a further means of competing for voice-only customers alongside product innovation and discounts ...

Then you have the same points about dual play providers, keeping broadband prices low.

145

1 Then you say this:

2 "[At] section 4 of this response and Oxera report 3 ... which considers that these obligations alone may address the concerns that Ofcom has raised."

So Mr Bunt, you told Ofcom that firms may start competing for Voice Only Customers by cutting the price of Line Rental in response to the ASA ruling?

8 A. BT did, yes, and I contributed to this.

9  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  That is the paragraph I have just shown you.

10

11 Q. What is stressed here is that this "alone" would address 12 Ofcom's concerns about high Line Rental prices. Last 13 sentence.

A. "May address", yes. 14

Q. "Alone". 15

> If we go back to the Line Rental price increases, that is at  $\{IR-A/12/1\}$ , we can see that after the commitments, that is -- Table 2 is on page 10,  $\{IR-A/12/10\}$ , BT increased the price of Line Rental, as I say, after the commitments. You see that in the column for "(for SPCs)"?

> > 146

2.2 A. Mm-hm.

23 Q. Because of course the commitments were introduced for 2.4

25 A. Yes 1 Q. In September 2018, in March 2020, in March 2021 --

2 A. Yes

3 Q. -- in March 2022 and in March 2023, yes?

4 A Yes

7

Q. Over that period, BT increased the Line Rental price 5

from £18.99 to £26.35? 6

A. That is correct. 8 Q. That is a 39% increase.

9 So your assurance to Ofcom in 2017 that the ASA  $\,$ 10 ruling alone would deal with the problem, that proved 11 not to be true?

12 A. I do not —— I think —— I am not sure exactly what the 13 term "counterfactual" means, but I do not think you are 14 using it correctly here.

15 What I mean by that, let me be clear, sorry, we are 16 writing a response to Ofcom in a world where there has 17 not been a £7 decrease in the price of Line Rental for 18 VOCs, where we can and cannot identify SPCs and VOCs 19 separately. It is in a completely different context to 20 the one in which we then start -- we then raise 21 the price for SPCs.

22 I think the context in which you are writing that is 23 ahead of the change. Then a £7 price-down commitment is 2.4 made, and then you see this pricing behaviour. You 2.5 cannot compare the two.

147

1  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  So is your evidence, Mr Bunt, that without the

2 commitments we would have seen tumbling Line Rental 3

prices to Voice Only Customers?

A. I cannot know what would have happened in the future.

5 I think the argument we make in that Ofcom submission is 6 credible and we say "may" cause this. The rationale for

7 it is also credible prior to a reduction in the price of

8 Voice Only Customers, at which point the market dynamics 9 are completely different.

10 Q. You do not mention any of this in your witness

11 statement, do you, Mr Bunt?

12 A. No

14

2.4

THE CHAIRMAN: Can I just ask about that, because you have 13

asked a couple of questions, saying it is not mentioned

15 in his witness statement. Are you putting to him

16 anything about that, like it should be in his witness

statement, or it is misleading, or something like that? 17

18 MS KREISBERGER: I am, sir, because Mr Bunt's evidence, as 19

I understand it now to the Tribunal, is that in the 2.0 absence of the commitments, BT would have voluntarily

21 charged different prices to voice only and Split

2.2 Purchase Customers.

23 That is not what it says in the Ofcom submissions and

not what I have said either. We said -- I think we

148

2.5 said  $\,--\,$  if you could go back to it, we can read it, but

_					
1	_	I cannot see it on the screen right now.	1		Again, the wording is a little difficult .
2	Q.	Yes, that is fair. Let us go back to page — sorry, it	2		Yes, I agree.
3		is {C/5}	3		Pretty oblique. But let us break it down.
4	MI	R BEARD: It is at page {Day5/148:3} in the transcript. Do	4		I did not write it.
5		you actually want Mr Bunt's evidence:	5	Q.	What it seems to be saying, your submission seems to be
6		"I cannot know what would have happened in the	6		saying that the requirement to give the overall bundle
7		future. I think the argument we make in that Ofcom	7		price on adverts means that you no longer had an
8		submission is credible and we say 'may' cause this."	8		incentive, shall we say, it does not "jeopardise
9		I do not understand. Ms Kreisberger cannot put	9		competitiveness", your words, by putting down the
10		summaries of the witness's evidence which are not	10		incremental broadband price.
11		accurate.	11		The incremental broadband price is simply what you
12	TH	HE CHAIRMAN: No, all right. She is going to back to ask	12		are attributing in the bundle to broadband.
13		a supplemental $$	13		What you are saying then, let us get to the
14	MS	S KREISBERGER: Let us go back to it. $\{C/5/16\}$ .	14		conclusion for Line Rental:
15	A.	(inaudible).	15		"Firms may, therefore, consider Line Rental
16	Q.	Let us take this in stages. Could we blow up	16		reductions as a further means of competing for
17		paragraph 58:	17		voice-only"
18		"Following the ASA rule changes, Line Rental pricing	18		Mr Bunt, I am going to summarise it for you so it is
19		cannot be de-coupled from the incremental broadband	19		very clear.
20		price; if Line Rental prices are reduced, this does not	20	A.	Okay.
21		jeopardise competitiveness by requiring an offsetting	21	Q.	The submission here is that the requirement to advertise
22		increase in the incremental broadband prices (which was	22		the full bundle price means that firms will consider
23		formerly the focus of competitive activity ). Firms may,	23		putting down their Line Rental price, and the reason for
24		therefore, consider Line Rental reductions as a further	24		that is because it is no longer the case that you can
25		means of competing for voice—only customers"	25		advertise your bundle on the basis of £2.50 for
		149			151
1		Mr Bunt, let us pause there and let us make sure the	1		broadband, let us say, or even free broadband. The
2		position is clear.	2		practice before the ASA ruling was to advertise a
3		The submission to Ofcom was that, because you cannot	3		broadband price only, and you are saying here: given
4		advertise Line Rental prices without also advertising	4		that you cannot do that anymore, you cannot just put
5		the incremental broadband price. From the ASA ruling,	5		a broadband price, a part of the bundle price, this
6		you had to advertise $$	6		might mean firms may therefore consider lower Line
7	A.	I think it is the other way round.	7		Rental prices.
8	Q.	Let us put it like this: from the ASA ruling, you had to	8		What you are saying, and again I am trying to
9		advertise the bundle price?	9		translate this slightly difficult wording, what you are
10	A.	Yes.	10		saying here is that those Line Rental prices, those
11	Q.	That is what you mean here in slightly tortuous wording:	11		lower Line Rental prices, will be offered to standalone
12		" Line Rental pricing cannot be de—coupled from	12		Line Rental customers?
13		the incremental broadband price"	13	Α.	Can I respond? Okay. I think what this is saying is
14		What you mean there is BT and other providers from	14		I agree with your summary of the first part. The
15		that point on had to advertise the overall bundle price?	15		phenomenon of no longer —— of being able to just
16	Α.	That is what that means, yes.	16		advertise a cheap broadband price but still have
17	Q.	That is the not de-coupling	17		a higher bundle price because of the price in Line
18		Yes.	18		Rental, that is changing with this, and therefore it is
19		So from the ASA ruling.	19		creating the market conditions where, as we say in BT's
20	٦.	The submission that BT is making to the Ofcom on the	20		submission:
21		basis of having to advertise the overall bundle price is	21		"Firms may"
					FITHIS HIAV
		,			-
22 23		that: " this does not jeopardise competitiveness by	22 23		So it is an option that will become available. " may consider"

24

25

A rebalancing or to readdress the price of Line

Rental, and that would then allow them to pursue more  $% \left\{ 1,2,...,4,...\right\}$ 

24

25

requiring an offsetting increase in the incremental

broadband prices  $\dots$  "

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- 1 competitive goals in the voice only market, which at the 2 moment they have to, to pursue that bundle pricing 3 approach, they have to sacrifice. So that is possible, 4 I agree it is being said there.
  - I think the question you asked me was that if this -- if the Ofcom intervention had not happened, would BT have put down the price of its Line Rental? What we are saying here is the firms might do it. I do not know what BT would have done in that situation. We are positing here that they could do it.
- 11 Q. I want to unpack that a little further.
  - The first point is that the positive submission was made that these obligations alone may address Ofcom's concerns. That is right, is it not?
- 15
- Q. So it is being suggested to Ofcom that they may not have 16 17 to intervene and impose price caps because the ASA 18 ruling would do the job for it?
- 19 A. Yes, I think they might want to consider allowing the 20 market to correct without the need to intervene, which 2.1 they would generally try to do, yes.
- 2.2 Q. That is the submission.
- 2.3 Now, this argument is premised on your very clear 2.4 position that BT was charging the same prices for Line 25 Rental in bundles and standalone Line Rental?

- 1 A. Yes, I think that is a factor here, yes.
- Q. Before the commitments, before Ofcom threatened price 3 caps, BT charged the same prices to split purchasers and
- Voice Only Customers?
- 5 A. That is right
- Q. Following the logic of your submission here, Mr Bunt, 6 7 the logic of your submission is that BT may consider --
- 8 "may, therefore, consider", using the language here --
- 9 Line Rental reductions for split purchasers. When you 10 put this submission in, you were charging the same Line
- 11 Rental whether someone bought broadband or not. That is
- 12 right, is it not?
- 13 A Yes
- 14 Q. So on this logic, as you say, split purchasers would 15 also get a lower Line Rental increase if Voice Only 16 Customers did?
- A. That would be part of the trade-off we would have to 17 18 evaluate, yes.
- 19 Q. Yes. I just showed you in Table 2 that BT increased 2.0 the prices after this date to split purchasers of 21 standalone Line Rental five times by 39%?
- 2.2 A. Mm-hm.
- 23 Q. So this predicted Line Rental reduction on standalone 2.4 voice was not the approach which BT took after the
- 25 commitment. Just --

the market conditions and were behind the reasoning for

A. That is true, but that is quite a reductive summary of

it, because lots of other things happened that affected

3 4 our subsequent pricing.

- 5 Q. Mr Bunt, you do not address in your evidence, and this
- is the question that the chairman asked me, you do not 6 7
- address in your evidence that BT submitted to Ofcom in 8 2017 that the ASA ruling would -- that firms may
- 9 consider, in the light of that ruling, lower price --
- 10 lower prices for Line Rental?
- 11 A. Okay, that is possibly the case. I will take your word 12 for it.
- 13 Q. Nor do you explain why it is that that is not what
- 14 happened to standalone Line Rental prices for split
- 15 purchasers?
- 16 A. Can you say that question again, sorry?
- 17 Q. Of course. So in your evidence you do not mention the
- 18 fact that BT made this submission to Ofcom, the effect
- 19 of which was: you do not need to put price caps on us
- because we have now got the ASA ruling, we will lower 20 21 our prices voluntarily. You do not mention that in your
- 2.2 evidence?
- 2.3 A. Okay. May I have a moment just to check? (Pause)
- 2.4 Yes, I do not think I mention it in my evidence.
- 2.5 I think that is right, yes.

155

- 1  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  So you do not explain, for instance, why it is that,
- following the ASA ruling, we have seen multiple price 2
- 3 increases despite that submission. You do not explain
- that in your evidence?
- 5 A. No, I do not.
- 6 Q. Mr Bunt, I took you to a document which referred
- 7 specifically to Split Purchase Customers. We can go
- 8 back there,  $\{F/833/1\}$ . That is from just a few months
- 9 later, July 2017. It is page  $\{F/833/6\}$  of this
- 10 document.
- 11 A. Yes, I remember this.
- 12 Q. Sorry, page 9  $\{F/833/9\}$ , at the bullet points at the
- 13 bottom of the page, the penultimate bullet point, we saw 14
- 15 "We should recognise declining markets and price
- 16 accordingly to maximise value (e.g. split purchase 17
- 18 That is what happened to split purchase prices, is 19 it not?
- 2.0 A. Yes. This document is written in a very different
- 21 context. This document, as you showed on the previous
- 2.2 page, I think it was slide 4, kind of assumes that we
- 23 were making a commitment to reduce voice only customer
- 2.4 pricing, be able to identify them, and lots of other
- 2.5 things. In our response to Ofcom, we do not pre-judge

- 1 the outcome of their investigation into standalone 2 narrowband(?) prices, and therefore we are dealing with
- 3 a completely different context when we are making those 4 comments

18

19

20

- 5 Q. You do not pre-judge the outcome, but you did submit to Ofcom that the ASA ruling would bring down standalone 6
- Line Rental prices --A. (Overspeaking) Based on the assumption that there was no 8
- intervention on -- in voice only pricing. But there was 10 and there is, and that is our assumption that you can
- 11 see earlier in these slides as well. So they are
- 12 dealing with -- there are -- the two statements are not 13 comparable because they come in completely different
- 14 contexts. That is what I am saying. 15 Q. Before we move from the ASA ruling in 2016, could you
- 16 turn up your first witness statement, Mr Bunt, at 17 paragraph 8  $\{D/1/2\}$ . That is page 2 of the statement.
  - Mr Bunt, you say this. You say you moved to your position as head of broadband and bundles acquisition strategy in the light of the ASA ruling.
- 21 A. In the run-up to, not in the light of.
- 2.2 Q. You say this:
- " ... during the period when the [ASA] changed the 2.3 2.4 rules governing broadband advertising to allow combined 2.5 landline/broadband bundles to be offered at different

- 1 prices to unbundled packages."
- Mr Bunt, that is not what happened, is it? What 2 3 actually happened is that the ASA prohibited the practice of not advertising the full bundle price?
- 5 A. You are right, I have not expressed that very well.
- That is absolutely right. 6
- 7 Q. There was never a prohibition on pricing bundles
- 8 independently? 9 A. That is correct. I have not worded that very well. You
- 10 are right. What I mean is they prohibited advertising 11 just the independent broadband price without the Line
- 12 Rental being of equal prominence. You are absolutely 13 right.
- Q. This needs correcting? 14
- 15 A. That is right.
- 16 Q. Mr Bunt, I would like to move to a different topic now 17
- 18 You say in your second statement that after you 19 became head of pricing in April 2015, you wanted to 2.0 monitor churn rates more closely and more methodically 21 than before. That is at paragraph 23 of your second 2.2 statement.  $\{D/2/6\}$
- 23
- 24 Q. You say you wanted to examine the "seasonal trends" --

158

2.5 A. Yes 1  $Q. \ \ --$  and "immediate spikes" to understand the reasons why 2 customers were switching.

3 Let us have a look at that. If we could go to 4  $\{F/270/1\}$ , please. You have a hard copy of this one.

- 5
- Q. Now, if we could go down. You see there 6
  - "BT Consumer: Q2 FY 14/15 Operational Review"?
- 8

7

- 9 Q. So this is from 30 September 2014. That is in rather 10 small writing.
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. So it is a little before you stepped up the scrutiny of 13 churn that you mention in your --
- 14 A. It is
- 15 Q. -- statement. That was April 2015.
- 16 Now, if we could go down, please, to page  $\{F/270/52\}$
- 17 in this document. At the top left there, you see the
- 18 heading "Looking Forward - Voice - Window". You will
- 19 recall that Window was the December 2014 price rise?
- 20 A. Yes
- 2.1 Q. That was £1 on Line Rental.
- 2.2 Then the shaded heading there says:
- 23 "Window delivery is Green to date with a successful
- 2.4 low key announcement, comparatively low customer and
- 2.5 channel impact and an effective give strategy. We have

159

- clear line of sight to full completion on 1st December." 1
  - Then under the left-hand side heading, "Progress to date", at the bottom there, halfway down, it says at the second bullet point:
    - "2 [thousand] calls from 1.5 [million]
- 6 notifications, well below PTC forecast.
  - PTC is Propensity to Call?
- 8 A. It is

2

3

5

7

- 9  $Q.\$ So this is saying that of the  $1.5\$ million customers
- 10 notified about the price rise, only 2,000 of them called
- 11 in to BT, correct?
- 12 A. So it is saying as of the 23rd of the 9th that is true,
- 13 and there is a lag on call responses. Some customers of
- that 1.5 million would have received their notification, 14 15 say, on 22 September, so it would take them time to call
- 16 us. But that I assume is the data, as was the case.
- 17 Q. So the price rise was in December 2014?
- 18 A. The notifications are happening all the time, yes.
- 19 Q. On 23 September, 2,000 people called in of 1.5 million.
- 2.0 That is 0.13%
- 21 A. Yes. Probably another helpful point of context, just
- 2.2 for people to understand, is that will probably be,
- 23 based on my recollection, customers who called the
- 2.4 specific numbers that we put in our price change
- 2.5 columns. There will be plenty of other calls that are

Day 5

1 impossible to allocate to the price change that will 1 2 have just come in via our general call centre numbers. 2 Q. Now, Mr Bunt, in your evidence on churn rates you do not 3 But it is certainly true that -- I am sorry, again, 3 refer to this data in this document, do you? 4 I cannot remember exactly what data I am using to pull 4 A No this together, but I would assume that we are saying 5 5 Q. You had another look at churn a couple of months later 2,000 customers have called the numbers we put in on 12 December 2014. That is at  $\{F/284/1\}$ . You have 6 6 7 the price change columns, rather than finding another 7 a hard copy of this one. 8 number to call BT on at that point. 8 A. Thank you. 9 Q. That is the data you are citing  $\,--\,$ 9 Q. You see the front page there "Pricing Plan", 15/16, "In 10 Strictest Confidence"? 10 A. (Overspeaking) The data we have there, absolutely. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, it says 1.5 million customers. 11 A. Yes 12 12 A. We have written -- at this stage we have sent Q. This is a slide deck addressing the next annual price 13 1.5 million notifications. 13 increase. If we go to the next page,  $\{F/284/2\}$ , please, THE CHAIRMAN: But if that was -- that is for voice 14 under the heading "Agreed": 14 15 customers? 15 "Update from previous meeting. A That is all customers 16 "Agreed: 16 THE CHAIRMAN: All customers. So bundles and everything 17 17 "Approval for 1 November change, 18 else 18 "Approval for base price change structure (£1 on LR 19 A. That is correct. 19 and 6.49% across the board). THE CHAIRMAN: Obviously that is not everybody. That is 20 "Approval for re-use of Window gives." 20 2.1 what you have notified up to that --21 So just to situate the document again, by the end of 2.2 A. So far, yes. 22 2014 you had settled on a £1 Line Rental increase for 2.3 THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I wanted to clarify. Thank you. 23 2015, at that stage planned for 1 November; is that 2.4 MS KREISBERGER: Sir, I am grateful. That was my next 2.4 right? 25 point. 25 Α. Yes 161 163 Of the 2,000 who called in, only a proportion of Q. Mr Bunt, let us go to  $\{F/284/11\}.$ 1 1 2 those would have been SFV customers? 2. A. Yes 3 A. Certainly 3 Q. Now, here BT is testing the possibility of a £2 increase Q. So we are potentially talking about a few hundred SFV in Line Rental. You see that on the right-hand side, customers calling BT in response to this --5 5 the bullet points: A. Yes, probably from --6 "PR risk: £2 [Line Rental] increase headline." 6 7 7 Q. -- price change? So in other words, you are questioning whether a £2 8 8 A. Probably from a few hundred thousand calls, yes, per Line Rental increase might be the appropriate price 9 9 notifications or maybe 100,000 notified. It is hard to price --10 know what proportion of the 1.5 million would have been 10 A. It says in the heading "Not Recommended". 11 11 Q. Correct, and we are going to get to that, Mr Bunt. 12 Q. So something less than 2,000 would have been SFVs who 12 This is just Line Rental. It says "Not Recommended". So BT rejected the prospect, the 13 called in? 13 14 A. Something less than 1.5 million would have been 14 possibility, of a £2 increase, and it sets out its 15 15 reasons for rejecting that below, and it says this: notified . ves. 16 Q. You say there in your fourth bullet point: 16 "PR risk: £2 [Line Rental price] increase headline. 17 17  $\hbox{$^{\prime\prime}$ Commercial risk: sensitive}\,. \quad \hbox{Higher than expected}$ "No material increase in customers ceasing directly 18 18 re-contracts diminish benefit." 19 19 Then it does some maths here: A. Yes. 2.0 2.0 Q. That is hardly a surprising conclusion, is it, given the "A benefit of customers who do nothing [equals] 21 21 small percentages we have just discussed?

> 162 164

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Just pausing there. You are forecasting. Had you

put up Line Rental increases by £2, you forecasted that

you would benefit to the tune of £12 million from

customers who accept the price rise, they do nothing.

2.2

23

2.4

25

A. That is right.

customers?

Q. That reference to "No material increase in customers

ceasing directly due to price", that includes SFV

1 That is right? 1 A. So, yes, exactly. But all I am saying is the -- in the 2 A. Mm-hm business case I would assume that that £3.9 million is 3 Q. Then you look at: 3 not the delta between the £18.99 price point and the 4 "Loss from customers who re-contract at lower [Line 4 £17.49, but the £17.99 price point in our kind of base 5 Rental price] ...' 5 plan at the top of the page, so that is what we are 6 You forecast that to be £3.9 million. 6 doing our comparison against. 7 Then you say: 7 MS KREISBERGER: That is products like Line Rental Saver 8 8 "Loss from churn [equals] £1.5m." that you offer at a lower price? 9 A. Yes 9 A. No, no. Sorry, I have confused matters, sorry. 10 10 Q. Now, you assess the additional revenue from customers Q. Yes, the re-contract at £17.49 --11 who would do nothing, in the face of a £2 price rise to 11 A. I am just explaining how the business case would have 12 12 their monthly Line Rental bill, we have just seen to be worked there. 13 £12 million. So customers who do nothing in the face of 13 Q. So even if we take the re-contract customers into 14 a price rise, they do not churn by definition? 14 account, the losses are estimated to be £5.4 million and 15 15 the upside £12 million? Q BT estimated the lost revenue from those who would churn 16 A Yes 16 to be only 1.5 million? 17 Q. Let us have a look at the slide deck from a few months 17 18 A. Yes. May I make a couple of comments for context. 18 later . 15 January 2015. That is  $\{F/286/1\}$ . I think you have to use the EPE for this one, Mr Bunt. 19 I think I mentioned already today about this idea of 19 20 kind of direct and indirect churn. This certainly would 20 2.1 be referring to direct churn, so customers who we see --2.1 Q. As I say, this is a few months later, and the  $15/16\,$ 22 who will see this price change and immediately act, 22 pricing is the Laika increase. On page  $\{F/286/2\}$  you rather than customers, they may all kind of contribute 2.3 2.3 2.4 to a later reaction as their bill gradually grows. 2.4 "Approval for 1 November change. I think it is also important to mention that for 2.5 ... (£1 on [Line Rental] and 6.49% across the 165 167 1 many of the customers we were assuming they will take 1 board)." 2 the product at £17.49, because the proposition is not 2 Then if we go down, please, to page  $\{F/286/6\}$ , 3 just to increase it by £2, it is to increase it by £2 3 "Future of Pricing": but offer customers who re-contract a price of £17.49, "Is our current approach to pricing hurting the 5 or effectively a 50p increase. So we also encourage 5 business? activity from customers which we would see there. 6 "Recent price changes demonstrate: 6 7 So I think I would characterise both the loss from "Churn driven by price change is low (2.5k GC9 8 8 ceases in Window - measured separately for the first churn and the loss from customers who re-contract at 9 9 lower Line Rental as an active response on behalf of time this year).' 10 customers, not just those who churn. 10 So this seems to signal the new methodical approach 11 Q. Mr Bunt, those who re-contract are staying with BT, 11 that you refer to in your statement for monitoring 12 aren't they? 12 A. I am not sure. The slide you showed me previously with 13 A Yes 13 the 2.000 calls and the 0.5 HDT, that 2.5 -- 2.500 is Q. You are forecasting a loss of £3.9 million, but you do 14 14 15 15 the -- where that number ended up, the 0.5 ended up. So not lose those customers? 16 A. No, the loss is versus what they would have paid if they 16 they are comparable. I do not think it necessarily has had -- I think it would be they do nothing, i.e. -- or the new methodical approach to churn, yes. 17 17 18 they do nothing being an increase in the price of Line 18 Q. You are recording churn here as --19 Rental to £17.99. I assume that is how they  $\dots$ 19 A. (Overspeaking) Yes --THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, the loss from customers who 2.0 2.0 Q. -- 2.5 thousand --21 21 re—contract at the lower —— the 18—month contract price. A. -- we are recording when a customer specifically tells

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

166

THE CHAIRMAN: That is what the £3.9 million is, as opposed

the discounted thing --

to if they did nothing?

168

us they are leaving because of the price change, and we

have a specific reason code that an adviser is logging

for that. It does not record all price change churn,

but customers who do that are in the 2,500.

2.2

23

24

- 1 Q. Now, General Condition 9, that is a reference to the
- 2 requirement to notify customers of price changes and
- 3 waive early termination charges for those customers if
- 4 they call in to cancel their services within 30 days of
- 5 notification . That is right, is it not?
- 6 A. I am using it as a shorthand for that, absolutely.
- 7 Q. So that is what you are referring to. So there is the 30—day period.
- 9 So here you are saying that the effect of the Window 10 price increase, with its £1 increase to Line Rental, the 11 effect on churn was low?
- 12 A. Yes, the effect of the £1 on Line Rental and the 6.5% on 13 all services that apply to the whole base, the effect
- 14 was low.
- $15\,$   $\,$  Q. The effect of the Window's increases was low, and only
- 2,500 customers called BT to cancel their service as
- 17 a result of the price change?
- 18 A. Yes. They may have churned in other ways, but that is 19 the number who called and cancelled. I agree with that.
- 20 Q. So that is 2,500 customers out of BT's voice base of 21 around 9 million. You just pointed out that is all —
- 22 A. That is right. That is right, yes.
- $23\,$   $\,$  Q. So only a proportion of those 2,500 customers would have
- 24 been SFV customers, that is right --
- 25 A. That is right.

- $1\,$   $\,$  Q. Sorry, Mr Bunt, sometimes your answer does not get
- $2 \qquad \hbox{ picked up for the transcriber}\,.$
- 3 A. I am sorry. That is right.
- $4\,$  Q. So again, we might be talking about a few hundred SFV
- 5 customers churning in response to the price increase?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. The conclusion here is that price changes are
- 8 sustainable. That is right, is it not?
- 9 A. That is true, although we have skipped the next two 10 bullets that talk about the elastic effect of price
- changes on calls, which is demonstrated in the chart on the right—hand side as well.
- 13 Q. I was coming to that, Mr Bunt.
- 14 A. Good, excellent.
- 15 Q. Let us have a look at that.
  - Now, that chart -- so as you say, the observation
- 17 there is that:
- $^{"}$  Elasticity Observed most with paid call usage on
- 19 UEW and UW plans, otherwise not significant (see 20 chart)."
- 21 "UEW", again, refers to unlimited evening and 22 weekend calls?
- 23 A. Yes

16

- $24\,$   $\,$  Q. "UW" refers to unlimited weekend calls?
- 25 A. Yes

170

- $1\,$   $\,$  Q. Then the graph, carefully, and perhaps we could just
- $2\,$   $\,$  zoom in there, it plots out call usage over time, and it
- 3 is showing that these customers make fewer calls
- 4 following a price change?
- $5\,$   $\,$  A. Yes, particularly following a price change bill, yes.
- 6 Q. You see a downwards spike?
- 7 A. Yes

9

- $8\,$   $\,$  Q. Now, you see the reduction in call usage by these two
  - categories of customers around the time of a price
- 10 increase, UW and UEW customers?
- 11 A. Sorry, just to be clear, it is not usage, it is ARPU, I
- 12 think. It is hard to see because we are so zoomed in,
- $13\,$  but on the left hand side. So you cannot just look at
- it as usage, you have pricing increasing over this timeas well
- $16\,$   $\,$  Q. You are looking at paid call usage and you are using
- 17 ARPU as your metric?
- 18 A. I am looking at paid call ARPU.
- 19 Q. Now, this is not churn, this is usage. That is the
- 20 point I am putting to you.
- 21 A. Absolutely right, yes.
- $22\,$   $\,$  Q. So you are carefully studying the impact of price on
- 23 customer usage?
- 24 A. Customer behaviour in general, yes.
- 25 Q. Other than this call usage effect elasticity is not

171

- $1 \hspace{1cm} {\sf significant} \; . \; \; {\sf That} \; {\sf is} \; {\sf right}, \; {\sf is} \; \; {\sf it} \; {\sf not}?$
- 2 A. We see -- this is the best evidence of an immediate
- 3 customer response to a price change in this, yes,
- 4 I agree, otherwise it is hard to determine, as we have
- 5 spoken about before, the indirect and direct response
- 6 the customers make, but those are the data points that
- 7 we have that are presented in those bullets .
- $8\,$   $\,$  Q. It does not say it is hard to determine here. It says
- 9 elasticity observed most with paid call usage on these
- 10 two plans otherwise not significant (see chart).
- 11 A. That is what that says. To elaborate, it may be helpful
- 12 in proceedings. I am explaining that from our
- perspective we understood that pricing was not as simple
- as whether a customer responded to the prompt in their
- price change notification and ceased immediately. There
- 16 were lots of actions that would have caused for
- 17 customers and it may have had consequences six months
- 18 later when they evaluated the value of their service.
- 19 It is not just an in—the—moment decision for customers.
  20 Q. Let us have another look at your conclusion in the
- 20 Q. Let us have another look at your conclusion in th
- 21 slide
- 22 "Based on this we can conclude that price changes
- are sustainable with increasing subtlety.
- 24 Mr Bunt, BT is satisfying itself here that churn is 25 not an obstacle to price rises. That is right?

172

not an obstacle to price rises . That is right?

- A. I think that is true.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment.
- 3 MS KREISBERGER: As long as they are subtle.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Just a second. (Pause). Yes.
- MS KREISBERGER: That includes the SFV price rises and it 5
- includes the £1 increase on Line Rental? 6
- 7 A. For all customers, yes.
- 8 Q. We know that the next price change, which was Laika,
- 9 replicated this one, Window?
- 10 A. It is very similar, yes.
- 11 Q. Now, Mr Bunt, you may be aware that Mr Beard, your
- 12 leading counsel, made the submission to this Tribunal
  - that this slide shows that BT was worried about churn.
- 14 That is not right, is it?
- 15 A. I think this shows that BT are not -- this shows that --
- 16 my feeling when I was writing this was that we wanted to
- 17 look at different and alternative ways of doing this
- 18 because we were not satisfied with the way that we were
- 19 executing it and there were imperfections definitely.
- 2.0 I agree that the 2.5K number is low and that would
- 2.1 have given us reasons for commercial confidence. But
- 22 overall, as you see in the rest of the slide, this is us
- 2.3 contemplating other structures and approaches that we 2.4 might look at, Project Thunder is an example, to do
- things differently on the basis that we do not think
  - 173
- 1 this is necessarily the best way of executing our
- ${\sf revenue} \ -- \ {\sf revenue} \ {\sf growth} \ {\sf objectives}.$ 2
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what is not the best way of --
- A. The price change that we did, we had just done in 14/15
- 5 and that we would go on to do in 15/16.
- 6 MS KREISBERGER: But you did do a price change.
- 7 A. We did do it, absolutely, but we are contemplating 8 options, evaluating what is the best way of doing it
- 9 now.
- 10 Q. Let us turn to  $\{F/287/1\}$ . This is just on the EPE.
- 11 This slide deck is from just a few days later. It is
- 12 19 January 2015. I think we may have the date from the
- 13 metadata but you can take it that is the correct date on
- 14 Opus.
- 15 A. Could you repeat the date, sorry?
- 16 Q. 19 January 2015.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. So just a few days later.
- 19 A. Yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  The heading is "Executive summary" and it says this: 2.0
- 21 The evidence to date shows that the December 2014 2.2 price increases have not had a fundamental detrimental
- 23 effect on our churn rates.'
- 2.4 That is another reference to the Windows pricing?

174

2.5 A. I am sorry, I am lost where the fundamental is.

- 1 Q. The heading, "Executive summary".
- 2 A. The heading.
- 3 "The evidence to date..."
- 4 Can you see that in the grey brown box?
- 5 A. I have got it, absolutely.
- Q. So December 2014. That is Window? 6
- 7
- Q. So that is again £1 on Line Rental? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Then it says this, if you go to bullet point above
- 11 recommendation:
- 12 'Overall, the churn impact to date around
- 13
  - the December 2014 price increases has been minimal."
- 14 Yes. However many customers have not yet received their 15 first bill since the price change.
- 16 Q. It says you will run the churn analysis again to see
- 17 what the position is after Q4. That is right?
- 18 A. Yes. absolutely.
- 19 Q. So you point out that this is a sort of interim finding?
- 20
- 2.1 Q. So let us have a look then at Q4.  $\{F/853/1\}$ . Now, this
- 2.2 is an email from you, Mr Bunt, to Jeremy Benson who is
- 2.3 a member of the regulatory team?
- 2.4 A He is
- 2.5 Q. On 12 May 2015. So 12 May 2015. This is after Q4. In

175

- 1 fact, it is the middle of Q1 2015?
- 2. A. It is, yes.
- 3 Q. Q4, which we saw referred to on the last slide, that
- is January to March 2015.
- 5 On page  $\{F/853/2\}$  you see that you attach a first
- draft of the annual price change paper. We have already 6
- 7 looked at that one.
- A. Okay. 8
- 9 You see that on page  $\{F/853/2\}$ . Then on page  $\{F/853/1\}$
- 10 Mr Benson responds on 4 June. We see that halfway down,
- 11 11.34. I will just make sure I have got that right. So
- 12 page 1 you see:
- "Are you able to supply a refreshed  $\operatorname{\sf Pricing}\nolimits\,\operatorname{\sf Paper}\nolimits$ 13
- 14 please, as I understand certain aspects may have changed
- 15
- 16 Then you reply:
- 17 "Hi Jeremy -- attached is an updated pricing paper."
- 18 Sorry, it is one of those emails which is why you
- 19 need to follow it through. You see your email there at
- 2.0 9.24 on 11 June?
- 21 A. Yes
- 22 Q. You say:
- 23 "I've added some comments in line on yours below."
- 2.4 So we need to go back down to page 2 and we see
- 25 there the additions.  $\{F/853/2\}$ .

1 "The impact of churn rates of these increases would 2 be helpful."

3 So that is the question to you. You respond -- and 4 that is in the yellow highlight; can you see that?

A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6 Q. You say:

> "Direct price change churn was measured at 2000 customer (first year measuring) in 14-15. We anticipate this would broadly repeat (further to this, the case does not include churn, this is because a price change is treated in our annual volumes build as a recurring event like Xmas -- so the volumes flow through from

So your expected level of churn observed in the 14/15 price change, you expected the level of churn observed in the 14/15 price change to broadly repeat in the 15/16 price change. In other words, you expected around 2,000 customers to churn?

18 19 A. Directly churn. I use direct in the first sentence. 20 Again, I just want to point out that difference between 2.1 direct and indirect price churn. Again, as you 22 rephrased at one point, 2,500 customers calling us in 2.3 response to the section of a notification explaining 2.4 their right to cease without charge and exercising that right. It would not include customers who simply switch

177

- 1 by going to talk to another provider, customers who are 2 out of contract who switch and any other churn behaviour 3 that happened later on. It is just referring to people who did that, but, yes.
- Q. You do not refer to any of these other categories in 5 6 this email, do you, Mr Bunt?
- 7 A. I do not, I do not.
- 8 Q. The question you are asked is:
- 9 "Impact on churn rates of these increases would be 10 helpful."
- 11 Your response is:

12

13

- "Direct price change churn was measured at 2000 customer ...'
- 14 A. That is the only number that I have at that point. I do 15 not have a view of the number of spikes in the number of 16 customers who would have left who are out of contract 17 already. I am not able to isolate that data. Whereas 18 I can isolate the data on the customers who have 19 directly responded to the price notification . So for 2.0 consistency and comparability year-on-year, and 21 obviously so we cannot compare it to anything, we need 2.2 a kind of static measure which is the best that we have 23
- 24 Q. That is another point you do not make in this email, is 25 it not, Mr Bunt? You just answer the question?

178

- 1 A. I am definitely just trying to answer Jeremy's question 2 and move on with my day. Yes, that is definitely true.
- 3 Q. So moving on with your day involved answering his
- 4 question by telling him that you have measured direct
- 5 price churn at 2,000 customers. That is your response
- in the email; is that right? 6 A. Yes, absolutely, of course.
- 8 Q. Mr Benson also drew your attention to a query from
- 9 Mike Renner, Mike Renner is a member of the regulatory 10 team?
- 11 A. Regulatory Finance I think.
- 12 Q. At the time. That is on page  $\{F/853/1\}$  of this 13 document. The question is:
- "Do you know if there is a PR justification for the 14 15 6.49% rate used for the Laika price increases?"
- 16 So now we are talking about non-line rental price 17 increases calls?
- 18 A. Yes, from a PR perspective, yes.
- 19 Q. Your response to this is  $\,--\,$  at the top of the email 20 chain you say this:
- 2.1 "I'm afraid my answer is rather esoteric on this 22 matter -- our pricing scale decisions are not based on 23 complex elasticity modelling but rather on finding 2.4 a sweet spot between budgetary needs and PR risk.'
- 25 Mr Bunt, by elasticity modelling you are referring

179

- 1 to models which estimate the number of people that will 2. switch away when the price goes up?
- 3 A. Yes, and to be clear, that that is not present in the
- analysis, I agree.  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  You are saying that BT did not undertake that sort of 5
- 6 elasticity modelling when deciding how much to raise 7
- prices?
- 8 A. Certainly not complex modelling like that, no, no.
- 9 Q. So instead BT's decision on prices were based on finding 10 this sweet spot between PR on the one hand, PR risk and 11 budgetary needs?
- 12 A. This is not a very fulsome answer. There are a few more 13 factors that we think about and the reference to PR is
- 14 clearly in response to the question which is about PR,
- 15 but, ves. that is what I say here.
- 16 Q. So this is another email that does not quite encapsulate
- 17 a more fulsome answer that you are giving to the
- 18 Tribunal now?
- 19 A. Yes, absolutely
- Q. Let us go forward to July, the following year. If we 2.0
- 21 could turn up  $\{F/478/1\}$ . You do have a hard copy of
- 2.2 this one.
- 23
- 24 You see there the date, 29 July 2016. So this is a few
- 2.5 days after the 16/17 price change?

```
1
     A. Yes.
                                                                                1
                                                                                        to discuss the case or the evidence in the meantime.
 2
     Q. If we go to page \{F/478/2\}. You see there the first
                                                                                2
                                                                                           Right, so 10.30. Thank you.
 3
         paragraph says:
                                                                                3
                                                                                    (4.29 pm)
 4
             "We need to align on a number of key points ahead of
                                                                                4
                                                                                        (The hearing adjourned until Tuesday, 6 February at
         our team kick off this week ...
 5
                                                                                5
                                                                                                           10.30 am)
             "Insight from 16/17 and the key take outs.
 6
                                                                                6
 7
             "Overall scale of price change and key principles.
                                                                                7
 8
             "Agree give territories ..."
                                                                                8
 9
             Then if you could go down to the fourth bullet point
                                                                               9
10
         up from the bottom:
                                                                              10
11
             "We have seen greater churn than in previous years
                                                                              11
12
                                                                              12
         (7k) — however this is dwarfed in comparison to the
13
         additional revenue driven by the higher % increases. It
                                                                              13
14
         is not clear how much this churn was driven by us or
                                                                              14
15
         competitors (free fibre). But for 17/18 we should be
                                                                              15
16
         careful not to over-tip the balance by repeating all of
                                                                              16
17
         the higher % increases from 16/17."
                                                                              17
18
             So you are recording here, Mr Bunt, let us just
                                                                              18
19
         unpack that, that there has been some churn in response
                                                                              19
20
         to higher prices. You say 7K, so 7,000 customers called
                                                                              20
2.1
         in to cancel their contract?
                                                                              21
2.2
    A. Mm-hm.
                                                                              22
     Q. So that is about 5,000 more than in the Window pricing
2.3
                                                                              23
24
                                                                              2.4
         risk .
25
             Now, some of that churn would have been SFV
                                  181
                                                                                                                 183
 1
         customers?
                                                                                1
                                                                                                             INDEX
     A. Sure.
 2.
                                                                                2.
                                                                                    Housekeeping
                                                                                                       ......1
 3
     Q. Not all of it?
                                                                                3
                                                                                    MR JONATHAN BUNT (affirmed) ......9
     A. Exactly.
                                                                                    Examination-in-chief by MR BEARD .....9
     Q. It is not clear, you say, whether that churn was driven
                                                                                    Examination-in-chief by MS KREISBERGER ......17
 6
         by you. What you mean is it is not clear whether it is
                                                                                6
 7
                                                                                7
         your high prices which drove the customers away, whether
 8
                                                                                8
         your price increases drove them away?
 9
                                                                               9
     A. Or other competitive activity in the market at the time.
10
     Q. So other competitive activity. You refer to free fibre.
                                                                              10
11
         What you mean is broadband deals, whether your customers
                                                                              11
12
         have been lured away by competitor bundles?
                                                                              12
13
     A. I think so. It is probably worth mentioning, I am not
                                                                              13
         sure I wrote this. It might say -- the metadata might
                                                                              14
14
15
                                                                              15
         say otherwise but I am already doing the other role
16
         I think at this point. But I believe that is what it is
                                                                              16
17
                                                                              17
18
     Q. Any losses to BT from customer churn are dwarfed by the
                                                                              18
19
         additional revenue from the price increases?
                                                                              19
2.0
                                                                              2.0
     A. Yes.
21
     MS KREISBERGER: Now, sir, if that is a convenient moment to
                                                                              21
22
         stop, or I could do one more. I am in your hands.
                                                                              22
23
     THE CHAIRMAN: Let us draw stumps now. Right. So we will
                                                                              23
24
         adjourn until tomorrow morning, 10.30.
                                                                              2.4
2.5
             You have already been told about your obligation not
                                                                              2.5
```