This Transcript has not been proof read or corrected. It is a working tool for the Tribunal for use in preparing its judgment. It will be placed on the Tribunal Website for readers to see how matters were conducted at the public hearing of these proceedings and is not to be relied on or cited in the context of any other proceedings. The Tribunal's judgment in this matter will be the final and definitive record.

IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No: 1381/7/7/21

Salisbury Square House 8 Salisbury Square London EC4Y 8AP

Monday 29th January - Friday 22nd March 2024

Before: The Honourable Mr Justice Waksman

Eamonn Doran

Derek Ridyard

(Sitting as a Tribunal in England and Wales)

# BETWEEN:

Justin Le Patourel

**Class Representative** 

v

(1) BT Group PLC **Respondent** (2) British Telecommunications PLC

A P P E A R AN C E S

Ronit Kreisberger KC, Derek Spitz, Michael Armitage, Jack Williams and Matthew Barry (On behalf of Justin Le Patourel)

Daniel Beard KC, Sarah Love, Daisy Mackersie, Natalie Nguyen and Ali Al-Karim (On behalf of BT Group PLC and British Telecommunications PLC)

Jennifer MacLeod (On behalf of the Competition & Markets Authority)

1	Wednesday, 7 February 2024
2	(10.30 am)
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning. Some of you are joining us
4	live stream on our website, so I must start with the
5	customary warning: an official recording is being made
6	and an authorised transcript will be produced, but it is
7	strictly prohibited for anyone else to make an
8	unauthorised recording, whether audio or visual, of the
9	proceedings, and breach of that provision is punishable
10	as a contempt of court.
11	Yes, Mr Beard.
12	MR JONATHAN BUNT (continued)
13	Re-examination by MR BEARD (continued)
14	MR BEARD: Good morning.
15	Good morning, Mr Bunt.
16	A. Morning.
17	Q. I am just going to go back to a few more of the
18	documents that Ms Kreisberger went to, just to provide
19	a little bit more context in relation to them.
20	So if we could go to $\{F/469/1\}$ . This is a Financial
21	Factbook, and you were taken to slide $\{F/469/13\},$ if you
22	remember that?
23	A. Yes, I remember that.
24	Q. If we could just go back to slide $\{F/469/10\}.$ So if you
25	just read the headline there?

1

1	А	"Voice	line	"
-	л.	VOICC	mic	

- 2 Sorry ...
- 3 Q. Sorry, read to yourself. Apologies.
- A. In my head. I should have learnt after two days.
   (Pause)
- 6~ Q. If we could just focus on the top couple of boxes on the 7~ left —hand side.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So could you just explain what we are seeing in relation
  10 to the "Voice Active Lines" box through into "BT brand"
  11 there, Mr Bunt?
- 12
   A. So we are seeing a year-on-year reduction in actuals and

   13
   in budget in the volume of customers we have. We see
- $14 \qquad \mbox{ over the course of the year that the base for line }$
- 15  $\qquad$  customers, that includes all customers with line only,
- 16
   and also broadband customers and triple pay customers,

   17
   has declined by roughly 14% year-on-year.
- 18 Q. Are these numbers all in -- I do not know if you ... Are
   19 these numbers in thousands?
- 20 A. Yes, these numbers are in thousands.
- $21 \quad \mbox{Q}. \ \mbox{So the opening base at the top is the best part of}$
- 22 9.5 million?
- 23 A. Yes.
- $24 \qquad {\sf Q}. \ \ {\sf Then \ what \ is \ the \ next \ row \ down?}$
- 25~ A. That is the number of new lines we acquired during the

2

2 Q. Then the next line down? 3 A. Is the number of losses, so 1.3, 1.4 million lines lost. Q. Right. Then closing base. Then, as you say, the bottom 4 5 is the churn rate? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. So can we then go to slide  $\{F/469/14\}$ . If we could just 8 see the top box here. This is "Voice Deep Dive", "Voice 9 KPIs summary". So here we are seeing I think the same 10 numbers we saw in the "Acquisitions" row --11 A. Very similar, yes. 12 Q. Over on the right-hand side, it says: "Annual acquisitions broadly stable, with peaks 13 14 driven by major sport content launches". 15 What is that indicating? 16 A. That is saying we add more line customers when -- for 17 example, when we launched Champions League coverage on 18 BT Sport, because people would join us for broadband and 19 we would get a new line out of them. 20 Q. Then when it says "Net Line Losses", and it says: 21 "Improving net losses position driven by improved 22 churn trend." 23 What is that saying? A. If you look at the third line down of the table, that 2.4 25 shows our losses over the period. Acquisition is 3 1 falling flat , I suppose, whereas our churn has improved 2 year-on-year, so that is what is meant, that the net 3 line losses position has improved over that time. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 Let us go to another document that Ms Kreisberger 6 took you to.  $\{F/180/1\}$ . This was a 2012 board strategy 7 paper. I will not take you through all of it, but what 8 do board strategy papers do? Are they specifically 9 focused or --10 A. I would have thought this is -- it is before my time, 11 but I would have thought this is Gavin Patterson, who 12 was then the CEO of BT Retail, taking a paper to the 13 board to sort of explain our strategy for the Consumer 14 business at the time. 15 Q. Right. She went to slide  $\{F/180/17\},$  so if we just see 16 that just to remind you: 17 "We will maximise value from our legacy fixed Voice 18 business and build new personal communications offers.' 19 Down on the bottom left-hand side you will see 20 a box. Could you just -- do you know what these 21 references are? Are you able to explain them?

vear, so 1 million or so lines acquired.

- 22 A. Yes, I understand what is being said here, yes.
- 23 Q. Can you just explain for the Tribunal what you
- 24 understand?
- 25 A. Sure. So what this is saying is that the overall fixed

focused on:

- 1 Voice market is in decline, so the market for lines, but 2 particularly for calls that will refer to, and that we
- particularly for calls that will refer to, and that we
   are managing that decline through price rises, and
- 3 are managing that decline through price rises, and 4 moving as many customers as possible to unlimited pla
- moving as many customers as possible to unlimited plans,
   something I mentioned earlier in my evidence. If we
- 6 move a customer on to an unlimited plan, they can use
- 7 the phone more without incurring extra charges; that
- 8 means they get peace of mind and retain their engagement
- 9 in the product. But we are also using price rises to
- 10 manage that margin as usage declines.
- 11 Then we explain the kind of evidence of that
- 12 strategy is that now 38% of our base is on those
- 13 Unlimited Anytime plans.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I just ask a quick question on 15 that.
- 16 "38% ... are on Unlimited Anytime Plans, which17 drives increased usage."
- But if they are on the Unlimited Anytime plan, they
  are not paying per call.
- $20\,$   $\,$  A. No, we do not get any more money for that, but they just
- 21 engage more with the product, and it retains engagement,
- 22 makes it less likely for them to sort of switch over to
- 23 just using a mobile, or something like that, so
- 24 retaining engagement is important for us.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I see. Thank you.

# 5

MR BEARD: In the box above those columns it says: 1 2 "We are driving unlimited packages to defend ARPU 3 and increase usage." 4 Can you summarise what that means, then? 5 A. So this is us trying to move as many customers as 6 possible away from our cheaper packages, that include no 7 or fewer calls , over to our Unlimited Anytime plan so 8 that customers -- so that we can retain customer ARPU, 9 so prices are not -- sorry, on those lower inclusive 10 packages customers are more sensitive to prices, they 11 see more fluctuations in their bill depending on how 12 many calls they make, so we are trying to move them on 13 to those kind of more consistent plans where it will be, 14 you know, a typical amount each month, and that we think 15 will increase their usage and their engagement with the 16 product as well. 17 Q. Can we just tip over into slide  $\{F/180/18\}.$  I just 18 wanted to ask you, it is headed: 19 "We will reinforce broadband superiority and embed 20 loyalty at the core of the marketing machine." 21 Then 3, just looking across, it says: 2.2 " Industrialise and Scale Loyalty at Heart of 23 Business." 24 Then the bottom box, could you just explain what 25 those references are in the bottom box?

6

1	Α.	So this is us looking to improve our churn rates and the
2		likelihood of our customers to leave, so make them more
3		loyal , make them stay longer, both through $\dots$ I guess
4		"retention best practice" here is meaning an improved
5		approach to how we retain customers, but I cannot be
6		specific as to what that involved.
7		"Life cycle management" will certainly be thinking
8		about customers, where they are in their life cycle,
9		meaning how long they have left in their contract,
10		whether they are reaching a point in their contract
11		where they can churn without being held to term charges,
12		so they are more likely to churn, and making sure, as
13		they approach that point, that we are offering them
14		compelling deals to get them to re-contract.
15		Then "ARPU growth through [broadband and] Voice
16		upsell" will refer to looking to increase revenue from
17		customers by moving them through the ladder of our
18		products to more inclusive calling plans, to faster
19		speed broadband products, and to moving Voice customers
20		on to broadband.
21	Q.	Thank you.
22		Could we go to $\{F/833/1\}$ . This is a July 2017
23		document, "Price Change 18/19: Strategic Review".
24		Ms Kreisberger took you to slide $\{F/833/9\}$ in this. She

# 7

1		"We should recognise declining markets and price
2		accordingly to maximise value ([for example] split
3		purchase Solus)."
4		Do you have that?
5	Α.	Sorry, I was
6	Q.	Apologies, you probably do have that in hard copy. I do
7		not know what is in your hard copy bundle, I am sorry.
8	Α.	That is fine.
9	Q.	Could you just go two bullets up, sorry, three bullets:
10		"Pricing strategy should always make upselling to BT
11		bundles and upspinning rational as this is always
12		profitable for BT."
13		Can you just explain what that means?
14	Α.	So in the way that we price both our Voice and broadband
15		products we should make sure that the ladder does not
16		have too big a jump for customers, so that it always
17		makes sense for customers to both move to bundles on
18		fast $$ from bundles to more expensive bundles with
19		faster product, but also to move up from Voice to
20		broadband, and that because those moves are always
21		profitable for us, our position is that that is
22		a margin-accretive move in all cases.
23	Q.	Could we go to $\{F/351/1\}.$ This is Consumer Voice
24		Strategy $15/16$ onwards and it is a draft from
25		19 March 2015. Ms Kreisberger took you to slide 3 in

1		this if you remember. $\{F/351/3\}$ ?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Could I just go to the top left – hand side, "Context".
4		It says:
5		"Pricing remains significantly net profit accretive,
6		generates oxygen for investment but also hurts our
7		business as Line Rental price climbs.
8		"It is difficult to isolate churn driven by rising
9		prices :
10		"However, GC9 churn was 2K for $14/15$ whilst
11		day/evening boundary change drove 27K churn.
12		"Indirect impact is indicated by falling VFM
13		perception and by coverage like the BBC's 'Why are
14		people are charged for landline rental?'"
15		Could you just explain what that bullet $$ those
16		bullets are about, please?
17	Α.	Sure. So GC9 churn at 2K we have spoken about already,
18		but that is customers responding to the notification
19		indicating they can churn a result for price change.
20		The day/evening boundary was a data point $$ I wrote
21		this slide $$ it was a data $$ the day/evening boundary
22		change happened before my time. It was a data point we
23		kind of knew in the business. I cannot sort of source
24		it , but it is one everyone is $$
25	TH	IE CHAIRMAN: Can you just slow down a little bit.

1	Α.	Absolutely,	sorry.
		5,	,

2	THE CHAIRMAN: Just go back to what you just said a minute
3	ago on this point.
4	A. So first of all , the GC9 churn at 2,000, that was $$ we
5	have discussed a couple of times, that was the $$
6	a record of the number of customers who left us as
7	a result of the price change, calling us and responding
8	to that.
9	The second point is the day/evening boundary change.
10	So this happened $$ I think it was in 2011, but it was
11	a price change before my involvement. In that price
12	change we move from previously classing evening calls as
13	taking place from 6 pm to 6 am, we moved that to 7 pm to
14	7 am. What that meant was whilst the customer still had
15	12 hours of the day classed as evening, they made more
16	calls between 6 pm and 7 pm than they did between 6 am
17	and 7 am, for obvious reasons, and so that was gross
18	margin-generating for us because it meant more calls
19	were chargeable. Customers on free evening call
20	packages were now paying for those calls between 6 and
21	7.
22	That received a lot of bad press, to my
23	understanding. It was very critical, you know,
24	headlines like : "BT are changing the times of day and
25	when the evening happens" and things like that So it

25 when the evening happens", and things like that. So it

10

1	was held as an example of a poorly executed price change
2	and it drove significant churn, that 27,000 I refer to
3	there.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, the 27,000? Oh, yes, I see, yes.
5	A. Then the second bullet is looking at other less direct
6	examples of how price increases drive a customer
7	response. The first is VFM perception, which is value
8	for money perception. So this is data we got from
9	customers in surveys, including our net promotor score
10	surveys, but in lots of surveys we had we would get
11	a sense of how customers considered value from their $BT$
12	service, that was in decline, and Line Rental price
13	rises were attributed as a key cause of that.
14	The final reference to the coverage, like the BBC's
15	"Why are people charged for landline rental?", refers to
16	an article that was not atypical at all at the time that
17	the BBC had published with that exact question, doing
18	vox pops of people asking them: "do you know what Line
19	Rental is? Why are you paying for Line Rental?" Those
20	kind of questions. There were consumers who were not
21	sure. I would assume that the vast, vast majority of
22	those consumers were broadband customers so they were,
23	as we referred to previously, buying broadband plus Line
24	Rental, seeing that kind of $\texttt{\pounds5}/\texttt{\pounds10}$ price, associating
25	that as their product, and they did not have a clear

# 11

perspective as to why they had Line Rental.
MR BEARD: Can we go back to slide $\{F/351/2\}$ , please. So
this is the second slide in the deck, "Consumer Voice
Strategy". You will see three boxes $$ four boxes
alongside "Optimise Voice P&L to enable Investing for
Growth", and then it is "Revenue Optimisation", "Calling
Plans", "Lines", "Calling Features".
Then you have got "Apply Customer First", and then
you have "Product Development" and "Positive Brand".
Just in relation to that, I am not going to ask you
to go through all of it, but just the third box down
from the top lines:
"Reduce solus churn to 16% within 2 years to
maximise revenue and upsell opportunity."
Could you explain what that is talking about?
A. Yes. At this point in time solus churn is approximately
20%, maybe slightly higher than that. So each year $20\%$
of our SFV customers were leaving. That is obviously
a significant problem for the business, and for the
Voice business specifically . So this part of the
strategy is concerned with addressing that issue, and we
detail these $$ we summarise three actions we intend to,
or interventions we intend to make to address that.
Q. If we go on to slide $\{F/351/4\},$ so skipping forward two,
this is headed "Calling Plans", which I think correlates

1		with one of the boxes $$	1	
2	Α.	Yes.	2	Α.
3	Q.	I am not going to ask you to go through it all, but	3	
4		could you just explain, on the right there is a heading	4	
5		that says "Strategy (drives incremental 1.2m GM in $15/16$	5	
6		and £10.8m over 3 years)". What does that heading mean?	6	Q
7	Α.	It means that the plan that we have to increase the	7	
8		uptake of paid calling plans and inclusive calling plans	8	
9		is forecast in our business case to improve our gross	9	
10		margin by $1.2$ million in $15/16$ , and in total, in	10	
11		aggregate over three years, by nearly 11 million.	11	Α.
12	Q.	Okay, thank you. I am not going to work through all of	12	Q
13		the details of that strategy now, but if we could just	13	
14		go to slide $\{F/351/5\}$ . This is a slide on "Lines", so	14	
15		that correlates to I think the third box that you had	15	Α.
16		explained on the second slide. It says "Context" $$	16	
17		sorry, it says:	17	
18		"Reduce solus churn to 16% by 2017 maximising	18	
19		revenue and upsell opportunity. Underpinned by	19	
20		multi-channel re-contracting and movement of base to	20	
21		lower churning products. Enabled by low operational	21	
22		impact marketing capability."	22	
23		Then "Context":	23	
24		"Solus Voice lines have seen a steady decline over	24	
25		recent years due to three main factors:	25	
		13		
1		General consolidation of services in the marketplace	1	
2		in bundles.	2	
3		"Competitor losses (30% of solus churn) driven by	3	
4		solus competitor attacks.	4	
5		High levels of bereavement ( $21\%$ of solus churn) on	5	
6		solus base."	6	
7		So I just wanted to specifically ask you about the	7	
8		second of those.	8	
9	Α.	Yes.	9	
10	Q.	Could you just explain what the "competitor losses $\dots$	10	Q
11		driven by solus competitor attacks" is referring to?	11	
12	Α.	Yes, that will be predominantly focused on the activity	12	
13		of the Post Office, who were the main aggressor, so to	13	
14		speak, in the fight for solus lines, and this is the	14	
15		marketing and customer acquisition behaviour that the	15	
16		Post Office were using that I spoke about yesterday:	16	
17		competitive pricing and using, amongst other things, the	17	Α.
18		bill $-paying$ opportunity where they had access to the	18	
19		customer to promote their products.	19	
20	Q.	Yesterday Ms Kreisberger challenged your evidence at	20	
21		paragraph 72 of your second statement where you said	21	
22		that bereavement was not the main reason for churn in	22	
23		this customer base. You said it was far from the only	23	
24		factor and Ms Kreisberger rapidly moved on.	24	

25	What were the other	factors that you	were referring
20	what were the other	fuctors that you	were reterring

# to?

1		10!
2	Α.	It is predominantly captured in these bullets, so
3		competitor losses and consolidation of line and
4		broadband were the main other reasons that people took
5		their $$ that we lost SFV lines.
6	Q.	Could we just go back to $$ thank you very much for
7		flicking on to 72, but could we just go back to
8		$\{F/351/6\}$ , please. This is the "Calling Features", so
9		this correlates with that fourth box I think on the
10		first page?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	"Strategy" here, can you just explain very briefly what
13		the key features are in this strategy explanation here.
14		I will give you a second to read it . (Pause).
15	Α.	Yes. We are $$ so, just to be clear, calling features
16		are things that are additional to the basic calls and
17		line service, so the examples here like 1571 is
18		a voicemail service, or caller display is the capability
19		for a number to display on the digital display on your
20		phone when you receive an inbound call.
21		We are trying to get our calling features here to
22		play two roles: one, to drive revenue in charging, so
23		inclusion in the price change is an example of that, and
24		we need volume for that, so that is why we would have
25		special offers to attract more customers to take 1571.
		15
		15
1		But also to generate extra value for customers, either
2		by including them in propositions, so we included
3		calling features for free in, for example, Home Phone
4		Saver or Line Rental Plus, or by requiring customers to
5		re-contract to get features at cheaper prices, so, for
6		example, caller display, as referred to in the second

customers re-contracted for a year they would get it for free. Q. Thank you. Let us just go on to slide  $\{F/351/7\}$ briefly . This one is headed "Product Development", which, I am not going to take you back to it, but I think correlates with the fifth box we saw on the front page. Broadly speaking, what is product development considering here in terms of consumer Voice strategy?

set of bullets, that is a chargeable product, but if

- us, and to improve their customer satisfaction, and to
- avoid having to give waivers or kind of payments for
- dissatisfaction to customers where products were 25
  - unstable or not working well.

A. I am just going to read it for a moment. (Pause). So this is discussing how we use the development budget available to us to make our existing products and new products more attractive to customers, to make them

work better, so to reduce the need for customers to call

1	Q.	Okay. Then finally let us go on, to complete this, page
2		$\{F/351/8\}.$ So this I think correlates with the sixth
3		element. This is "Consumer Voice Strategy – Positive
4		Brand". I think we picked up issues on PR and branding
5		yesterday?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	But you see the "Strategy" on the right—hand side?
8	Α.	Yes. So I think this relates very clearly to the kind
9		of duty we felt at BT, as I mentioned in my evidence, to
10		make a kind of societal contribution, so we detail four
11		approaches which they think do that. First of all ,
12		improving our offering for vulnerable customers.
13		Secondly, improving our products again to protect
14		vulnerable customers from nuisance calls or provide
15		support to family of vulnerable customers through power
16		of attorney and our work with Dementia Friends.
17		For us to segment that group of vulnerable customers
18		more $$ in a more granular way, so that we can actually
19		sort of apply treatments to them and talk about them
20		more cogently, rather than just talking about this
21		amorphous group of vulnerable customers.
22		Lastly, develop propositions that help those
23		customers, and other customers actually, to get online
24		and overcome some of the barriers we saw within that
25		base to taking broadband.

1 Q. Thank you.

-	۹.	Thank year
2		I am going to go to a different document, $\{F/229/1\}.$
3		This is one of numerous versions of the Project Window
4		slides . Ms Kreisberger took you to a selection of them,
5		not always entirely clear when they were, or, more
6		exactly, suggesting that they were documents that you
7		had seen before that were being put again when they were
8		different versions. But here we are dealing with the
9		version she took you to from March 2014, and she took
10		you to slide 4, just so you can see that. $\{F/229/4\}$
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	She put to you that this was evidence of BT developing
13		a proposition in Fixed Phone Saver as a defence to
14		Ofcom's indication in January 2014 that it was
15		monitoring Line Rental prices very closely. You may not
16		recall that?
17	Α.	I do recall that, yes.
18	Q.	What I want to do is just $$ I am going to come back to
19		a couple of slides in this document, but I want to take
20		you to a different version of the Robin/Window slide
21		decks. So {F/199/1}. This is from 10 December 2013, so
22		this is before the Ofcom document that Ms Kreisberger
23		referred you to.
24		Could we go to slide $\{F/199/2\}.$ You see the fourth
25		bullet point. Could you just explain what is being

1	o
Ŧ	o

1		referred to in the second indent there, please?
2	٨	Yes. So "Fixed Price Solus proposition", which is how
∠ 3	А.	• •
-		we refer $$ at the time we had not named Home Phone
4		Saver, so that is referring to that product. It will
5		help "neutralise", which means avoid churn I think,
6		nothing more sinister than that, the key affected
7		customer segment, and that is specifically older
8		Voice Only Customers.
9		So this is designed to address the fact that we are
10		seeing significant churn in that segment and we are
11		looking to improve our performance there and bring it
12		more to a neutral level .
13	Q.	Thank you. Whilst we are here, can we just go to slide
14		${F/199/6}$ . This is "Fixed Price Solus [Proposition]"
15		which is being referred to there. There is "Insight" on
16		the top left—hand side. Could you just explain what is
17		being referred to there?
18	Α.	So this is referring to that competitive activity,
19		particularly from the Post Office, where we are seeing
20		a high proportion of our solus customers leaving.
21		I think the numbers here are at 19% solus churn, 60% of
22		which heads to the Post Office. I know those numbers
23		change slightly in other versions of the documents but
24		that is broadly correct. So we are losing, they are
25		saying here, 100,000 customers to the Post Office each
		19

1		year, and that is driven by our PSTN price rises, that
2		they have a significant impact on this group, and that
3		price rises are pushing them up.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		Can we go back to $\{F/229/1\}$ , please, and pick up
6		slide $\{F/229/28\}$ . This was the version Ms Kreisberger
7		took you to. She did not take you to this slide. It
8		says "Flows data on 'true' solus". What is "'true'
9		solus"?
10	Α.	True solus, it will be VOCs, but obviously this is an
11		estimate of who they are.
12	Q.	Then at the bottom, it is sort of squashed in:
13		"And we know that there is a problem as 23% of solus
14		churn is 'true solus' churners."
15		Could we just blow that bit up at the bottom with
16		the diagram there so we can all see it . Thank you.
17		What is this diagram showing?
18	Α.	So this analysis is, again, attempting to discern which
19		of our Voice churners are VOCs and SPCs. It is saying
20		that 23% of them are VOCs. Then breaking down the
21		destination those VOCs moved to when they churned in the
22		three months between February and April 2012 to the
23		various providers, and it is showing there that $59\%$ of
24		those 23% are moving to the Post Office.
25	Q.	Thank you. Can we just go over to the next slide.

February 7, 2024

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, before --
- 2 MR BEARD: I am sorry.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: The 23% there, that is Voice Only.
- 4 A. Yes.

7

- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Then the 59%?
- 6 A. Is a subset of that 23% and they are going to the
  - Post Office. So that full column there shows the --
- 8  $\;$  THE CHAIRMAN: So this whole diagram is only about what you
- 9 call true solus.
- 10 A. That is right.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, 59% are going to the Post Office.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 14 MR BEARD: On the right-hand side -- I do not know if you 15 wrote this?
- 16 A. It would have been probably myself or Kelly Liu.
- 17 Probably Kelly.
- 18- Q. Picking up the third bullet , what does that mean?
- 19~ A. Again, our insight had demonstrated to us that this was
- $2\,0\,$  a cost-conscious base, a base that were cognisant and
- 21  $\qquad$  bothered by our price changes, and so we are saying here
- 22 that the way to appeal to these customers and to address
- 23 this churn problem would be with price-led deals, they
- 24  $$\hfill\ensuremath{\mathsf{are}}\xspace$  are attractive to these customers, and/or their churn is
- 25 being driven by price-led deals from the Post Office.

# 21

1 Q. Can we just go over to slide  $\{F/229/29\}.$  This slide 2 appears in lots of decks, I think. But during the 3 course of cross-examination, at one point you had a very 4 brief exchange with Ms Kreisberger about haves and 5 have nots? A. Yes. 6 7 Q. On this slide we see on the left – hand side the haves. 8 and on the right-hand side the have nots? 9 A. Yes 10 Q. Could you just explain what the difference between haves 11 and have nots in this sort of BT terminology is? 12 A. It is internal language, but we are referring to haves 13 as being those customers who have our best products, or 14 are further up our product ladder, so they have faster 15 speed broadband or have more products like TV and Sport. 16 and then have nots are the converse, so customers with 17 fewer lower value products, so we have landline only, 18 PSTN solus. Naked broadband, which I believe would 19 refer to solus broadband, so broadband from us with no 20 line or possibly a separate line from us. Copper 21 broadband, so kind of our slowest broadband speeds and 2.2 TV, and copper and YouView Essential, which would have 23 been our kind of lowest TV product in our ladder there. 24 Q. Okay. You picked up PSTN solus as being landline. So 25 is that the same as SFV?

22

- 1 A. That is SFV here. It is not specifically -- $\mathsf{Q}.$  No, no, that is fine . I was just clarifying . 2 3 Can you just explain that column, particularly the 4 bits below the segmented approach? A. Below, okay. 5 Q. Yes. 6 A. So first of all , we detail -- in that column of "PSTN 7 Solus" we detail the churn rates and the volumes in that 8 9 base. Then we look at the offers we would want to 10 present to those customers. This is -- as well as the 11 gives that kind of apply to all customers, we would also 12 have additional offers for those groups. We are saving 13 the headline offer we want to present to PSTN solus 14 customers is to highlight the sport we have got coming 15 in our second season of BT Sport and show how that is 16 free with broadband so to incentivise broadband or 17 possibly, and this is to be confirmed, offer it to them 18 as free with PSTN -- sorry, just with -- it says with 19 PSTN, but we mean just with SFV services. 2.0 Then we are also looking at other deals that we 21 might offer for them. So the shallow deals, upgrading 22 them to broadband or to Infinity, which is fibre 23 broadband, and offering that with TV, as well as 24 targeting UAC. Targeting UAC means looking at specific
- 24 targeting UAC. Targeting UAC means looking at specific 25 prices for our Anytime call products depending on the

#### 23

- 1 customer's usage, as is explained with the asterisk at 2 the bottom. 3 Then finally in that base if we saw high churners, 4 so amongst those customers if we thought according to their data they would be likely to churn, then we would 5 6 offer them -- it says here "copper/fibre boost". I do 7 not know what the price would be, but I assume it would 8 very low or free copper or fibre broadband. Our  $\pounds 20$ 9 price freeze product, which is referring to Home Phone 10 Saver. 11 Q. Can I just clarify one thing. You talked about the 12 churn rates in the coloured boxes. Can you just explain what IC and OOC is? 13 A. Yes. IC is in contract and OOC is out of contract. 14 15 Q. Thank you. 16 A couple of quick other points, not on this 17 document. Yesterday you confirmed in relation to the 18 submission to Ofcom that in relation to that 19 paragraph 168  $\{C/5/45\}$ , you said you had not seen any of 20 the Oxera material --21 A. No. 2.2 -- that was referred to in relation to paragraph 168(c). Q. 23 Did you write that paragraph?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Could we just go to document  $\{F/318/1\}$ , please. This

- 1 was the document, it is an exchange of emails, the top
- 2 one is from you, dated July 2015, and Ms Kreisberger
- 3 emphasised the third point where you were talking about
- 4 those approaches, including:
  - "Changing our notification approach from confusing
- 6 and obfuscated (deliberately) to showing customers
- 7 a table in their letter with exactly what's happening to
- 8 their specific products."
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10~ Q. Could you just explain what you had in mind as the
- 11 notification approach and what happened there?
- 12 A. The change that we are making?
- 13 Q. Yes.

25

- 14 A. Yes. So we improved the granularity of our notification
- $15\,$  so that each customer would receive a document that had
- 16 a table within it that showed the products and services
- they were taking and the increase in the prices of thoseproducts.
- 19 Q. So that was being put forward in 2015?
- 20A. Proposed in 2015, and we introduced it for the 16/1721price change.
- Q. Thank you. In relation to that, up until that point youhad been providing notifications, you gave evidence
- $24 \qquad \qquad$  yesterday, and you said yesterday that you showed all of
  - your notifications to Ofcom before you sent them out?

25

- 1 A. That is right. So we would -- before we did a price 2 change we would go and meet Ofcom and tell them we were 3 going to do a price change. We would take copies of our 4 notifications along to those meetings, and we would also 5 send copies to Ofcom's call centre. They have a small call centre to handle complaints from customers so they 6 7 were aware of what we were sending to our customers and 8 they would be equipped to handle any complaints should 9 our customers make them. 10 Q. To the best of your knowledge, were any of those 11 previous notifications changed or criticised by Ofcom? 12 A. I do not know about criticised, but they were never 13 considered non-compliant, whereas other CPs who -14 communication providers, sorry, who were doing price 15 changes at the time did at times have to do redo 16 notifications because they were not considered 17 compliant. 18 Q. Let me go to a couple of final documents.  $\{F/479/1\}$ . 19 So this is "Price Change 1718", so it is a document from 20 2016. Ms Kreisberger took you to slide 5 when she was 21 asking you about churn vesterday I think. If we could 2.2 just blow that up.  $\{F/479/5\}$ . You have explained 23 already I think what those churn numbers are? 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Could you just explain the second heading there, "PTC"?
  - 26

1 A. PTC stands for propensity to call, so like the 2 proportion of customers who will call us. 3 Q. Right. 4 A. Do you want me to elaborate on the section below?  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{No},\;\mathsf{I}$  think that is fine . I just wanted to clarify 5 6 that Could we go back to slide  $\{F/479/2\}$ , please. Could 7 8 you just explain -- sorry, I think you were taken to the 9 first heading. No, maybe you were not actually. But: 10  $^{\prime\prime}16/17$  strategy of more gives and more pricing has 11 been successful.' 12 Then the second heading, could you just explain what 13 that second heading is? 14 A. "MTP"? 15 Q. Yes. Well, the whole --A. Yes, so MTP, medium term plan, assumes we take 16 17 152 million in revenue, so less revenue than the 18 previous year, but also reduces the give budget as 19 a result, so it increases our overall gross margin take. 20 So probably to elaborate, if I may, a bit on that? 21 Q. Please. 2.2 A. That means, you know, we would look at the overall gross 2.3 margin contribution of the price change as the revenue, 24 additional revenue to net the cost of the gives that we 25 were providing each time, so in 16/17 we spent more on 27

27

1		the gives than in any of the price changes we are
2		looking at, particularly Care Level 2. So even though
3		the revenue from the $16/17$ price change was higher
4		because of the cost of the gives, the gross margin
5		contribution was lower than 17/18.
6	0	Could you just go to the fourth of those headings:
7	ч.	"There are other pressures that challenge our
, 8		ability to increase prices."
9		Could you just explain what that is?
10	٨	This is just detailing some of the pressures that we see
11	л.	in the market. So when considering price changes, we
12		look at competitor activity on Line Rental if that is
13		a concern for us. We talked about the effect that
14		pricing up Voice usage, or Voice calls, rather, had on
15		usage.
16		Also something here called "paid/free mix" of the
17		number of calls being made at chargeable times rather
18		than in inclusive packages. So the more we put prices
19		up there, the more we saw usage decline. The more we
20		saw the usage that was happening, happening for free
21		rather than paid, and both of those were commercially
22		destructive .
23		"Aggressively " so we are seeing a lot of
24		aggressive pricing in the broadband market, so again
25		that is a concern for us when we consider price changes

6

7

1

- 1 to our line and broadband products.
- Then "Regulatory pressure on solus", which is
- 3 a reference to Ofcom's investigation of landline only
- 4 customers.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
  - Could we just go to slide  $\{F/479/7\}$  quickly. Could
  - you just explain what we are seeing in the table. Let
- 8 us just stay on the left-hand side for the moment.
- 9 A. (Pause). Okay, yes, so this is the revenue contribution
- 10 in-year of each price change and each aspect of it to
- 11 the P&L, then netted out with the gives to give the
- 12 total gross margin contribution of the price change.
- 13 Again, I am pretty sure that is an in-year number.
- 14 Q. Thank you. I am not going to go through  $\dots$
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Just pause there for one moment.
- 16 MR BEARD: I apologise. We will be coming back to these 17 tables in due course, I am sure.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 19 MR BEARD: I think I took you to them in opening, but ...
- 20 (Pause)

25

- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.
- 22 MR BEARD: Just so we understand it, on the right-hand side
- 23 you see -- I am just going to take this as an example --
- 24 "1516 actual", and you have a series of numbers. Then,
  - below that, "Customer groups", "1516 actual", solus,

## 29

- 1 dual, triple, triple plus Sport, which I think you said yesterday is sometimes called quad play. 2 A. Yes. 3 4 Q. So is it right, because this is what the maths looks 5 like, that for solus customers you are effectively accumulating the £1.45 increases when you total up the 6 7 increase for the solus customer group? A. That is right, yes. 8 9 Q. Is that what applies for each category of customer that 10 you are dealing with in that customer group? 11 A. I think so, yes, yes. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: The £0.45 for Voice ... 13 A. That would be calls, calling plans and features. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 15 MR BEARD: So for broadband, for example, where we see 16 £2.27, that is the sum of £1, 45p and 82p? 17 A. That is right. 18 Q. That was slide 7.
- 19 Do you recall -- I think this was 2017, this
- 20 document, but you do you recall what the price change
- 21 actually was in relation to Line Rental in January 2018?
- A. We did not change the price of Line Rental in 2018.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 Ms Kreisberger took you to paragraph 23 of your
- 25 second statement where you talked about -- where you

30

2 methodically. Can we just call that up at  $\{D/2/6\}$ . 3 I am just reminding you of the evidence you were taken 4 to 5 A. Yes 6 Q. Then she went to some documents concerning what you have 7 referred to as GC9 churn? 8 A. Yes 9 Q. It was put to you, I think, that measuring GC9 churn or 10 ceases was the new methodical approach to churn, and you 11 said you did not think that method necessarily was the 12 new methodical approach. Do you recall that? 13 A. Yes. I do. Q. Can I ask you to just read paragraphs 25 and 26 of your 14 15 statement, which is further down that page. (Pause) Footnoted there is document {F/393/1}. I am just 16 17 going to go to that document. This is actually 18 a spreadsheet you refer to. I think this is only 19 a snapshot, I imagine it is a much bigger spreadsheet, but starting at the top it says "Weekly cessations", and 2.0 21 then it has "Week End Dates", and on the left-hand side 22 "Solus Voice". Could you just explain what the solus 23 Voice and the next three rows are? 24 Solus Voice would be SFV, and then the next three rows Α 25 will be dual play, so bundle customers, customers with

explained how you wanted to monitor churn rates more

#### 31

- 1 broadband and with and without sport, so I think it is
- 2 looking at, yes, those broadband customers with sport
- 3 and without sport. It is hard to tell, sorry.
- 4 Q. Thanks.
- 5 A. Then other similar categories going down.
- 8 each of the broad rows in those three row breakdowns?
- 9~ A. So you are seeing the total number of cessations, the
- 10 number of ceases we saw from that cohort in the week.
- We are looking at the mean population during that week,
   so the size of the base. Then an annualised churn rate.
- 13 That is not for example, if we take column B/C, that
- 14 is not saying that 15,000 is 21% of nearly 4 million.
- 15 Clearly it is not. But it is looking at that
- 16 annualised, and then looking at that as a percentage of
- 17 the overall base.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, I see. Just a moment. (Pause). Yes.
- 19 MR BEARD: Was this the new methodology for monitoring20 churn?
- A. This, and actually the next tab along which goes intomuch more detail.
- 23 Q. Okay, can we just go to that. Thank you.
- A. So this tab breaks out that line we just looked at into,
- 25 for all of those solus Voice customers, the reasons for

- $1 \qquad \ \ \,$  their churn, which are listed down column B. Then the
  - proportion of ceases in each week that were allocated to
- 3 each of those churn categories.
- 4 Q. I think "Bereavement" is the second row?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Then if we go down, the next big figure is in the row"LLU losses". Could you just explain what that is?
- 8 A. Yes. So LLU stands for Local Loop Unbundling, and it
- 9 was -- I do not know how to refer to it, I am not great
- 10  $\,$  on the technical elements here, but a way in which the
- 11 likes of TalkTalk and Sky were providing their services.
- 12 So certainly losses to those competitors would and could
- 13 have been classed in that group.
- 14 Q. If we could just scroll down a little further. In thepenultimate row it says "WLR losses".
- 16 A. Yes.

25

- 17 Q. Could you just explain what those are?
- 18  $\,$  A. So that would be losses where the customer moves to
- 19 another WLR provider, for example, the Post Office.
- 20 WLR, sorry, is Wholesale Line Rental, which is the
- 21 product we were buying wholesale to provide the landline 22 service.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Really it just means a simple landline.
- 24 A. It does mean that in this context. But you could also
  - be a broadband customer -- it would not be in this

#### 33

- $1 \qquad$  spreadsheet, because this is just SFV customers, but we
- 2 would have broadband customers who were classed as WLR
- 3 losses too if they went to the Post Office or another,
- 4 again, provider who bought wholesale Line Rental.
- 5 MR BEARD: So this is effectively categorising the 6 competitors that people are shifting to.
- 7 A. That is right. You will see cable losses in there, for
- 8 example, which is moved to Virgin Media predominantly,
- 9 because they are a cable provider.
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 MR DORAN: This is still taking a weekly loss and then 12 annualising it?
- 13  $\,$  A. No, these percentages are looking at the churn figure
- 14 for that week, and then --
- 15 MR DORAN: The proportion of that.
- 16 A. That is the population, and then allocating over ...
- 17 MR BEARD: I do not have any further questions for the
- 18 witness in relation to the documents he has been shown.
- 19 I understand the Tribunal does have some questions.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 21  $\,$  MR BEARD: So, Mr Bunt, if you could wait there for the
- 22 Tribunal's questions.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Just give us one moment, please. (Pause).
- 24 Questions by THE TRIBUNAL
- 25  $\;$  THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We are going to start with some

34

1	questions from Mr Ridyard.
2	MR RIDYARD: I have a couple of questions on gross margins
3	and targets, and then some questions after that which
4	will be on the incentive to trade consumers up from
5	Voice Only to bundles.
6	On the gross margin targets, it has been mentioned
7	at various points in your evidence, but can you just
8	remind us what the structure of those gross margin
9	targets are? Did you have a separate gross margin
10	target for Voice $$ or, rather, did BT Consumer have
11	a separate gross margin target for Voice and for
12	broadband?
13	A. Yes, BT Consumer would have been given an overall gross
14	margin target broken down by the various components of
15	the Consumer P&L, so there would have been a specific
16	Voice GM target, yes.
17	MR RIDYARD: Would there be a specific gross margin target
18	for SFV customers?
19	A. No. There would have been gross margin targets for the
20	elements in the Voice P&L, so there would have been for
21	Line Rental, for call revenue, for calling plan revenue,
22	for calling features, and in each case that would have
23	been a representation of the full $$
24	MR RIDYARD: Everyone who took those products. Okay.
25	Can you give us an impression of what was happening
	35
	00

1	to your gross margin targets over the years that were
2	relevant to this claim? Was it going up and up, or
3	A. I would have to look at some documents to recall in
4	detail, but I think it was sort of trying to be kept
5	flat, is my general impression, certainly on calls and
6	call rates and I think on Line Rental as well. So the
7	objective was to try and still achieve a kind of
8	circa $$ and this is very, very rough $$ so 1.5 billion
9	gross margin return on the Voice P&L, so trying to
10	maintain those margin levels on calls and on lines as
11	the base declined.
12	MR RIDYARD: Yes, okay. Thanks.
13	I am going to switch to the question of encouraging
14	consumers to trade up from Voice Only to bundles. You
15	said actually again this morning a couple of times that
16	it was always beneficial, profitable, for you to get
17	people to make that switch, but can you just take me
18	through that a bit.
19	Let us say I am a Voice Only Customer and you are
20	getting $\pounds$ 300 a year out of me for my annual bills. What
21	do you gain and what does it cost you to get me to trade
22	up to a bundle?
23	A. Fine. So I am not fluent on the input costs of ADSL,
24	copper broadband, but they were relatively low. So
25	taking our entry level broadband product, unless you

- 1 were taking it for free, if you were taking it for a few
- 2 quid or more, and we were selling that for up to I think
- 3 about £10, that would have been a margin-accretive
- 4 product for us.
- MR RIDYARD: Take it slowly. So there would be an extra 5 cost to you, then. You are talking about the costs 6 7 here. ves?
- A. Well, both. So we would incur an extra cost from 8
- 9 Openreach for each additional broadband provision, but
- 10 we would also, in almost all cases, get additional
- 11 revenue too, because we would charge for that product.
- 12 If we did offer it for free, often it would be offered
- 13 for free on a time-limited discount. So we might offer
- a customer 12 months' free broadband, as an example. 14 15
- For those 12 months that would be offered at a loss. We 16 would be paying Openreach for service and not getting
- 17 any revenue. Thereafter, the customer would move to
- 18 a chargeable rate and that would be more profitable.
- 19 Then as you go through the tiers of broadband, so faster
- 2.0 speeds, and better technology in the case of fibre,
- 21 again our pricing was laddered such that we were making
- 22 margin on each of those products, and actually, to the
- 23 best of my recollection, growing margin as we went
- 24 through.
- 25 MR RIDYARD: Let us say -- take it gently -- I am just

1 trading up to the basic broadband. So can you give me 2 an indication of what extra, how much extra you are 3 getting out of me in terms of revenue? 4 A. Probably, in terms of revenue, an estimate, because it 5 was not my P&L, probably  $\pounds 7/8$ , something like that so  $\pounds 5$ 6 to  $\pounds10$  would be the entry level price. MR RIDYARD: Per month? 7 A. Per month. So based on your annualised -- I do not 8 9 know, more like another £100 a year, or something of 10 that order. Then in margin terms, again, I cannot recall in detail what ADSL copper broadband was costing 11 12 us, but I think it was very low, like £1, that kind of 13 rate, so it would have been similar in terms of margin 14 as well, obviously minus £12, or whatever, something 15 like that. 16 MR RIDYARD: Is that the only cost that matters to --17 A. No, there are other costs we would incur, certainly. So 18 we would have additional costs to serve those customers. 19 There would be a connection cost for the broadband. 2.0 There would be what we call a CPE cost: I actually do 21 not know what CPE stands for, but it means the hub, the 2.2 broadband hub we send the customer. There would be --23 Openreach would in almost all cases need to visit the 24 premise or visit the street to connect it, so that truck 25 roll would cost us money as well. So it is possible

38

- 1 that even within that first year we would incur other 2 costs that might neutralise that revenue upside, but 3 certainly, over time, each sort of incremental month, 4 where the customer is now paying just for rent, or maybe 5 slightly more likely to call us with fault issues, there may be some other costs we would incur there, but 6 7 certainly not commensurate to the level of revenue we 8 would be getting. 9 MR RIDYARD: You say "certainly", but how much did you think 10 or take into account these other -- put the set-up costs 11 to one side, but the other --12 Α Sure. These SG&A costs and things like that? 13 MR RIDYARD: Yes. A. Because of the way the P&Ls were constructed, not 14 15 a great deal in the -- say in the Voice propositions 16 team, or probably even in the broadband propositions 17 team, although I did not work in that team, apart from
- 18 that brief period in 2016, we would look pretty closely
- 19 at the gross elements of margin for us but not those
- 20 other impacts. There was a separate P&L for sales and
- 21 service, for example, that would look at the cost of
- 22 running the call centre, and their budget at the start
- 23 of the year was negative, but because we were not going
- 24 to make money from our call centre that is a cost in the
  - business, so it was kind of incrementally apportioned in

39

1 that way.

25

- MR RIDYARD: Okay. Let me just briefly look at my notes. 2
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: While you do that, on that very question, on 4 SG&A, for example, you said you incrementally
- 5 proportioned it
- 6 A. Did I say that? Sorry.
- THE CHAIRMAN: You said: 7
- 8 "... so it was kind of incrementally apportioned in 9 that way.'
- 10 The cost of running the call centre was negative,
- 11 because you were not going to make any money from it,
- 12 "so it was kind of incrementally apportioned in that
- 13 way.'
- A. Well, sort of in order to answer the question, that was 14
- 15 what I was doing. We did not do that, to be clear. So
- 16 the broadband team looked at the gross elements of -- so
- 17 input costs from Openreach, connection costs, etc., they 18 would not look at marketing costs or SG&A costs, those
- 19 types of things. In order to kind of answer the
- 2.0 question as I thought I was asked, I was trying to
- 21 incrementally apportion it. But. no. those P&Ls were
- 2.2 kind of separate increments.
- 23
  - MR RIDYARD: Does that make sense, though? Because if I do
- 2.4 trade up to the broadband, I am more likely to have
- 25 problems and then hassle the call centre people with my

- 1 problems.
- 2~ A. That is definitely true, so there would -- you know,
- 3 I do not know in detail how the sales and service P&L
- $4 \qquad$  would have calculated likely costs, and I assume there
- 5 would be a relationship between volumes by product and
- 6 propensity to call, but I do not know in detail how they 7 bill that. I am afraid.
- 8 MR RIDYARD: Because you seem to take it as a sort of an act
- $9 \qquad \mbox{ of faith}\,. \ \mbox{ You seem very clear that in your mind that it }$
- $10\,$  is always beneficial to you to get people to trade up,
- 11 but I just wondered whether, taking these costs into
- 12 account, that might not actually be the case if you do 13 the maths?
- 13 the maths?
- 14 A. I understand the question. Certainly that was the kind 15 of rhetoric internally, so people who were across those
- of rhetoric internally, so people who were across thoseP&Ls were of that view. So Finance, our more senior
- 17 team on -- for example, our commercial MD would
- 18 certainly be driving broadband uptake. It was
- 19 absolutely the internal philosophy.
- 20 I personally, as someone working almost entirely on
- 21 the Voice P&L, was not across that, but absolutely that
- 22 was the internal assumption/rhetoric at the time. But
- 23 you are right. I am not across the detail on it.
- 24 MR RIDYARD: Then what about the risk that the act of me
- 25 looking to change my account might suddenly get me

- 1 interested in telecoms and I might start calling up  $\mathsf{Sky}$ or TalkTalk as well. Is there a sort of poke the bear 2 3 problem here? 4 A. So possibly, to be direct. But I think the maths in the 5 business was that engaging customers with offers acting 6 first and compelling them to engage would be more 7 effective. But it is true that we did not -- we used 8 that, particularly outside of a price change where we 9 have to write to everybody, in the kind of BAU run of 10 things during the year we would target our retention 11 efforts at customers we thought more likely to churn. 12 So perhaps they were more likely to already be 13 undertaking that kind of search you referred to, 14 therefore less to lose in that regard, and also more to 15 gain from us, because there is a higher risk that they 16 will act if we do not. 17 MR RIDYARD: Yes, okay. 18 Then I think my last question on this was: after 19 2018 and the commitments, at that point as a Voice Only 2.0 Customer I am less profitable to you. 21 A. Absolutely. 2.2 MR RIDYARD: Therefore, on the face of it, you should be 23 even more keen to get me to sign to a bundle. So did 24 you increase -- is there evidence that you increased
- 25 your efforts , or how did you react to that increasing
  - 42

- 1 gap between the Voice Only and the bundle price --
- 2 A. I understand.
- 3 MR RIDYARD: -- and the profits --
- 4~ A. First of all , when the commitments were impending, so
- $5 \hspace{1.5cm} before they had come in, which I think is almost a sweet <math display="inline">% \left( {{{\left[ {{{K_{1}}} \right]}}} \right)$
- $\boldsymbol{6}$  spot for this point, we definitely increased our efforts
- 7 to those customers to try and encourage them to take
- $8 \qquad \ \ \, \mbox{broadband}.$  After that point, I would have to check for
- 9 the detail, but I would certainly imagine you would
- 10 expect those campaigns would become less effective,
- 11 because the cost for the customer has improved by £7,
- 12 and therefore less efficient , and given the contention 13 on marketing spend, and our desire to write to lots of
- on marketing spend, and our desire to write to lots ofdifferent customers and achieve lots of aims, my view
- 15 would be that we would be less likely to get priority
- 15 would be that we would be less likely to get priority 16 for those reasons, you know, as we looked to prioritise
- 17 how we spend our marketing budget, but I do not know in
- 18 detail how campaigns were formed.
- 19 MR RIDYARD: Thank you. I think that was all I had.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 21 Let me just begin by taking up some of the points
- 22 you have just been dealing with now. You have got
- 23 a distinct revenue target for Voice --
- 24 A. Yes.

2.4

25

25 THE CHAIRMAN: -- within the Consumer division.

# 43

1	A. That is right.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Were you then saying just earlier on that you
3	would actually have a revenue target for Line Rental and
4	individual elements?
5	A. Yes. So the overall revenue target, which was about
6	2.5 billion , again, roughly the size of the P&L,
7	1.5 billion gross margin, was then broken out into
8	a series of lines. One of those lines was Line Rental.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: You have to distinguish the revenue targets
10	that were coming from sort of up there, and your own
11	MTP, your medium term planning, because did that not
12	involve a budget as well?
13	A. They are the same in the sense that we go through
14	a medium term planning process into which we would input
15	or work with teams to create inputs of volume and ARPU.
16	That would roll up into an overall budget that would be
17	agreed. So then, when I am referring to those targets,
18	they are from MTP.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Does that mean the Finance division, or
20	whichever it was above you, as it were, which is setting
21	the targets, would actually be setting a target for Line
22	Rental revenue distinctly?
23	The reason I ask is because it seemed to me, from

44

what you were saying when we were looking at individual

documents and your witness statement, and indeed

- 1 Mr Cackett's witness statement, that Voice would have 2 a revenue target and it would have to work out how to 3 meet that target, and there are lots of different ways 4 in which that could be done. You focused on the 5 contribution to that target that Line Rental increases would make. I will ask you about that in a minute. 6 7 I understand that. But I thought you have obviously got your existing 8 9 Line Rental income, you decide whether or not you are 10 going to increase it, but I did not appreciate that 11 there was also a Line Rental revenue target. 12 A. I understand the point now, sorry. So I actually do not 13 know whether each line will be counted as a target or 14 if, ultimately, Finance will be satisfied as long as we 15 hit the bottom line. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but from your awareness ---16 A. From my perspective, we would go into the year knowing 17 18 that in order to meet that big number at the bottom. 19 there were various components in our P&L that would 2.0 attribute different amounts, and we had forecast, in 21 order to build that budget, at a -- in those lines. So what will our volume of lines be? What will our ARPU 22 from lines be? What will that equate to, and so on, 23 24
- line by line. So we built it bottom-up, submitted thatto Finance, had challenge on it in the kind of standard

- budgeting way. Then we would get back absolutely that
   bottom number, but also a kind of structure whereby we
   are aiming to hit it, but it would certainly have been
   fine. If we hit the bottom number and we are slightly
- fine. If we hit the bottom number and we are slightly
   down on Line Rental revenue and slightly up on calling
- down on Line Rental revenue and slightly up on callingplan revenue, the ultimate objective is to hit the
- 7 bottom line
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Dealing with that in a little more
- 9 detail, we have got your Annex 2 to your witness
- $10 \qquad \mbox{ statement which you have probably got in hard copy }$
- 11 there.
- 12 A. I do
- THE CHAIRMAN: I want to ask you some questions about that.
   We know that from 2014 up to, let us just take
   Voice Only for a moment. up to 2017. it was
- 16 a year-on-year £1 increase as far as Line Rental is
- 10 a year-on-year 21 increase as far as time rentar is 17 concerned.
- 18 A. Until 2016, yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Until 2016 yes. {D/2/47}. This is something
   I think you reflected later on, when you said you
- 21 thought it was becoming something of a drug to which
- 22 people were being addicted.
- 23 A. I do refer to that, yes.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Was there actually much thought given over
- 25 that period, given your observations about it, as to
  - 46

- 1 whether it should be increased? Because the impression 2 that appears to be created, it is almost a given there 3 is going to be a  $\pounds 1$  a year increase, then you see how 4 much that contributes, taking into account revenue from 5 the increase, but taking into account the churn that would be produced as a result of it. Then you have lots 6 7 of other things to fiddle around with. Is that a fair 8 characterisation? 9 A. If I could elaborate a bit on it? 10 THE CHAIRMAN. Yes 11 A. So first of all, we would set our medium term plan based 12 on what we did the previous year. So when you begin the 13 process, the default assumption is what have you done 14 previously? I think you do see in the documents lots of 15 conversations about other options, both higher and lower, on Line Rental. Options to increase it by a year 16 17 as much as  $\pounds 2$  in some cases, or  $\pounds 1.30$  | think in the 18 16/17 price change, and also conversations about locking 19 customers in for longer in exchange for lower or fixed 20 Line Rental prices. 21 But the default where we started from was that 22 build, and then we would question against that, rather 23 than starting from a blank sheet of paper. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Was there a similar default, I mean
  - 25 obviously in the sense that you are going to see what

#### 47

1 you did the year below, was there a default which was 2 quite as firm for call charges, for example? 3 A. The percentage number, so the 6.49% which becomes 6.94%, 4 for example, in that year, that starts with an assumption of 6.49% and we change it. So, yes, similar. 5 6 What did you do last year? We roll that forward, and 7 then we would evaluate that, critique that, change it in 8 many cases, but not in all cases, during the development 9 of the price change strategy. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. In that context, and we do not need 11 to go back to the document, the one where you were 12 saying people were getting too addicted to this, the 13 language does not matter. So you were not 14 recommending -- your own view at the time was not to 15 recommend another £1 increase? 16 A. I think in our team we saw our role as challenging the 17 orthodoxy on it and being that critical Voice. So, yes, 18 that is what we are -- we are trying to provoke that 19 conversation, yes. 2.0 THE CHAIRMAN: You are the ones  $--\ensuremath{\mathsf{you}}$  are more than just 21 a critical Voice, are vou not --2.2 A. Yes. true. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: -- because you are not just there as 24 a bystander making useful comments, you have the task of 25 trying to achieve the revenue that is being set.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: We know that the  $\pounds1$  increase did occur.
- 3 A. Absolutely
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just take me through what happened
- there. That is your perception? 5
- A. Yes. 6
- THE CHAIRMAN: Were you a Voice crying in the wilderness or 7 8 am I being too simplistic?
- 9 A. I think actually what we saw was a kind of collective
- 10  $\mathsf{hand}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{wringing}\mathsf{,}$  so not just within my team but actually
- 11 with the senior members of the CLT to whom we were
- 12 presenting this, but also an absence of a better plan.
- 13 So I think if we had a really -- a great idea to drive
- 14 significant revenue margin growth with controlled
- 15 impact, a better way of doing it, and I think we were
- 16 keen to find one but we did not have a better idea.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I follow that. But just take me through, if
- 18 you can remember, what is the process here. Because you
- 19 have expressed a view, and you say you were not alone in
- 20 what you were saying, from your part of the Consumer
- 21 division, so then what happens? Because someone is
- 22 going to have a discussion about that.
- 23 A. Definitely

1

- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Where would that be?
  - A. You see the kind of volume of papers on these that we

49

- are taking to senior leaders, so we are -- not always, 2 by the way, to the CEO, sometimes just to the MD of 3 commercial. But we are having a series of meetings, and 4 we start in that first meeting with what does the MTP 5 say? Then what are our kind of more strategic concerns against that? So we are having that debate, that 6 7 conversation. We have got a target over here, this is the kind of default on how to meet it, we have these 8 concerns. Then we are evaluating other options to 9 10 achieve it differently . 11 We are not going to documents, as you said. But, 12 for example, there are reams of pages of ideas that we 13 bring to those meetings to generate revenue through 14 price changes. None of them are as big in scale, but 15 there are lots of small ideas that, added up, can 16 contribute a reasonable amount and perhaps allow us to 17 increase Line Rental by a smaller amount, as an example.
- 18 So we would have those debates with senior figures, 19 go away and have a look at the plan again, and generally 2.0 my experience of that, to be -- candidly, is at the end
- 21 of that process a couple of the grown-ups would have
- 2.2 a conversation, come to a view, and tell us what we were
- 23 going to do. But we would keep sort of bringing ideas,
- 24 and often be encouraged to bring more ideas. We would
- 25 have those conversations. But ultimately then everyone
  - 50

- 1 would leave the room, the grown-ups would discuss, and
- 2 then would come to a view.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: In fact what the grown-ups did in these
  - discussions, obviously, if you were making a different
  - suggestion, is saying: no, the £1 Line Rental stays.
- A. Yes 6
- THE CHAIRMAN: The increase stays. 7
- A. 100%. 8

4

5

25

25

- 9 MR DORAN: Sorry, can I just ...
- 10 Was there a discussion about a reduction in Line
- 11 Rental? Because we touched upon this at the end of
- 12 vesterday, and you talked about the difficulty in
- 13 differentiating across the Voice --
- A. Yes. So if you are talking about specifically for SFV 14
- 15 customers or VOCs, absolutely not, because essentially
- 16 the default was we cannot, we only have one price for
- 17 it . So we looked at it from that perspective.
- 18 MR DORAN: In IT terms, you mean -- sorry, there is a subset
- 19 which you could identify, which are those who are Split
- 20 Purchase Customers ---
- 21 A. (Overspeaking - inaudible)
- MR DORAN: -- but have got broadband --22
- 23 A. (Overspeaking - inaudible)
- 24 MR DORAN: -- you can identify them as a subset?
  - A. Yes, I think you could.

#### 51

1 MR DORAN: Those were perhaps most likely to switch, I do 2 not know, you will tell me, because they were with you 3 but conscious about broadband and potentially open to 4 offers elsewhere? A. Okay, so those who had -- just to be clear, do you mean 5 those who like had broadband from Plusnet and a line 6 7 from us. or both? 8 MR DORAN: You would be able to identify both sets, 9 whether -10 A. We would. So a couple of points I think to clarify . 11 First of all, the IT default was that we could not 12 differentiate so we would have had to have intervened to 13 do that. Ultimately we were able to make that 14 intervention. MR DORAN: Ultimately you did or when required? 15 16 A. We did. When we are looking at it, it is not only whether it is worth doing but also the kind of 17 18 additional intervention we have to make to get there, so 19 it was not really considered. 2.0 Then on the particular point of the likelihood to 21 switch of those groups I think that split supply, so 2.2 those customers who are taking broadband from us and 23 a line from us separately, are quite a strange group, 2.4 right, there are some strange behaviours there. There

52

are a lot of ex-employees there who previously have

2

- 1 a landline paid for and then get a free employee
- 2 broadband product. So there is a slightly different
- 3 behaviour set there. But it is definitely possible
- 4 because those customers were paying above average dual
- 5 play prices when you added up the two components. They
- 6 would be encouraged to go to the market.
- 7 MR DORAN: They were the functional bundle.
- 8~ A. Yes. I do not know in detail whether we wrote to them
- 9 as a specific cohort. We would have been able to 10 identify them absolutely. Whether we wrote to that
- 10 identify them, absolutely. Whether we wrote to that 11 cohort specifically to try and move them on to dual play
- 12 bundles rather than risk those competitive losses I do
- 13 not know specifically on that. That would have been
- 14 probably a broadband team decision.
- But more generally, yes, we could have identifiedthem. They are a slightly strange group.
- 17 As for the ones with Plusnet, probably paying a more
- 18 competitive price because Plusnet broadband only is very
- 19 affordable and we have got both ends of the game. So
- 20 the kind of most likely place they are going is either
- 21 to consolidate with us or to consolidate with Plusnet so
- 22 less of an incentive to engage them.
- 23 MR DORAN: Sorry, are you finished? I have one more
- 24 question.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I know, so if you want to ask your question

### 53

- and then I will come back and we will take a break after 1 that question. Of course. 2 3 MR DORAN: In a sense that slide that we were taken to which 4 is  $\{F/284/6\}$ . It might be just helpful. It is 5 something you have seen. It is this reference to the 6 drug etc. 7 A Yes  $\mathsf{MR}$  DORAN: In a sense, you had recognised that this 8 9 potentially helped. The words here are "significant 10 headroom for competitors", which I take to mean it does 11 not put competitive pressure on competitors. 12 A. Yes, I see what you mean; yes, I understand what you are 13 saying. MR DORAN: So it is a curious, a slightly curious way to 14 15 compete. 16 A. It is . I understand the question. 17 MR DORAN: If I put it no higher than that. 18 A. So we are competing incredibly hard I think in all areas 19 here but what is happening here is we are under that 2.0 pressure to hit the MTP. We are looking at mechanisms 21 that allow us to do that and retain competitive pricing. 2.2 This is happening in a world where an advert for 23 broadband says £5 plus Line Rental in small letters with 24 the price of Line Rental, and so the strength of our 25 competitiveness on broadband is not proportionately
  - 54

3 the price, for us maintaining a really keen broadband 4 price. 5 In terms of our base in these same decks we are talking about the effectiveness of products like Home 6 7 Phone Saver and dealing with the SFV base and the churn 8 that we are seeing there which is a key concern. So we 9 are trying to address this in different ways. 10 MR DORAN: So the Home Phone Saver is a reduced margin but 11 it retained those who might otherwise --12 Α Yes. it was very effective. MR DORAN: It was £9 or £10 off and £4 or £5 down was the 13 balance or something from that slide. We can find the 14 15 slide somewhere. 16 A. I understand exactly what you mean, yes. Where we kept 17 a customer who would otherwise have switched it was £10 ARPU upside. 18 MR DORAN: About a fiver down. 19 20 A. Where we kind of cannibalised customers who would not 21 otherwise have left, cost us a bit, exactly. So where 22 we are targeting that at customers we think are likely 23 to churn, that is a very effective product for us. 24 MR DORAN: So actually what you are describing is a bit of 25 a tightrope along which you have to walk --55 1 A. Absolutely. MR DORAN: -- in, whatever we say about Voice Only, in a 2 3 ferociously competitive bundle market --4 A. Absolutely. MR DORAN: Okay, thank you. 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: We will take a break. 7 (11.51 am) 8 (A short break) 9 (12.05 pm) 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Bunt, I want to ask you some questions now 11 on your Annex 2, and I am hoping we will not have to go

decreased versus the kind of increase in the price

change. So it is a smarter way for us to increase

- 12 back to some of the underlying documents we were looking
- 13 at {D/2/47}.
- 14 First, if we can go to January 2017 where you have
- 15 got:
  - 16 "Line Rental frozen.
  - 17 "£2.50 fibre ... "
  - 18 So that was the price increase on fibre broadband?
  - 19 A. Yes
  - 20 THE CHAIRMAN: But is this an example -- first of all, this
  - 21 is "Line Rental frozen". This is before the commitments
  - had been put in place?
  - 23 A. That is right.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just remind me why on this occasion
- 25 there was not a price increase?

1	A. So I should point out I am in a different role during
2	the planning of this.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Is this something which is more the
4	province of Ms Blight? I do not think she was there $$
5	A. No.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: $$ because I think she had gone by 2016.
7	A. That is right. I am sure we are conscious of the
8	ongoing review, though. We have already had
9	conversations with Ofcom at this point about a Line
10	Rental price freeze, and even a price down before this
11	price change comes in, so I am sure that is in our
12	minds.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: That was my thought, that by this stage you
14	had already got Ofcom making noises and things like
15	that
16	A. Absolutely. We would not be proceeding $$
17	THE CHAIRMAN: You could not do that because of that.
18	Thank you. That is helpful.
19	That would be the same, presumably, for your
20	following $$ the November 2017, January 2018?
21	A. I expect so. Because when we are planning it, even
22	though technically by the time we have executed it we
23	have already reached an agreement $$
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.
25	A. $$ while we are planning it, that would have been
	57

# uncertain, yes.

1

2	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
3	Then going into 2018 of co

- Then going into 2018 of course the commitments are 4 now in place, as far as Voice Only is concerned.
- 5 A. That is right
- THE CHAIRMAN: There is an increase of  $\pounds 1$  in Line Rental and 6 7 £2.50 on broadband, but I think was it not the case this
- 8 was where you showed us a document where, although you
- 9 would think that you were adding  $\pounds 1$  and  $\pounds 2.50$  to make
- 10 £3.50, in fact although there was a £1 Line Rental, in
- 11 effect the broadband bit is going to come down to £1.50, 12 so it is a £2.50 total.
- 13 A. Yes, and that is because, as a result of the ASA, we
- have changed the configuration of our products. They 14
- 15 look different on the customer's bill. They no longer
- 16 have the two assets; if they are a broadband customer
- 17 they have a single asset that has gone up by  $\pounds 2.50$ .
- 18 That asset is comprised of Line Rental and broadband.
- 19 The Line Rental component has gone up by  $\pounds 1$ , as we saw
- 2.0 in the Tariff Guide, and therefore the broadband
- 21 component by the remainder, yes.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: The real difference between the Line Rental
- 23 element on Voice Only, well, including, let us say, the
- 24 Split Purchase Customers as well -- split purchase as
- 25 well, and the bundles, is that if you increase the Line

58

- 1 Rental on the Voice Only charges, that is going to
- 2 filter straight through to the price payable, the
- 3 overall price payable.
- 4 A. For Voice, for SFVs?
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, SEVs, 5
- A. Yes. 6
- THE CHAIRMAN: It is not necessarily the case for the 7
- 8 bundles because you can always do something with the 9 broadband increase or increment.
- 10 A. So in the September 2018 price change, that is
- 11 completely correct. Prior to that, it was not the case,
- 12 because there were those two assets on the bill. So if
- 13 you put up the Line Rental price in 2016, the customer
- 14 will see that -- the bundle customer will see that on
- 15 their bill very clearly going up, the £17.99 to £18.99.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. But I meant as a matter of economics. 16
- 17 A. Right
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: You have got an extra bit, a significant bit
- 19 to play around with in terms of the bundle --
- 20 A. (Overspeaking) In terms of overall impact, yes.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: You can alter the margin --
- 22 A. (Overspeaking) You can freeze it.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. 23
- 24 A. There was variety in 2016, for example, because
- 25 customers saw more and less, yes.

#### 59

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Regardless of what the customers saw, again,
- 2 if you simply look at what your overall revenue is from
- 3 a bundle, and how you compete, you have got another
- 4 significant element to play with which is the broadband
- 5 charge
- 6 A. Definitely .
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: I mean, to some extent it almost seems that
- you say, well, if you increase the Line Rental across 8
- 9 the board, that is what your systems would make it do.
- 10 You could not change the Line Rental increases from one
- 11 to the other
- 12 A. Until the ASA changes came in, yes, I could not -- none
- 13 of the price changes up until July 2016, and actually
- 14 even actually beyond, but certainly until the
- 15 September 2018 one, could we or did we differentiate who
- 16 got the Line Rental price, everyone got it, and we would
- 17 have had to intervene in order to change that.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: That is what I meant. Because of the way the
- 19 system operated --
- 2.0 A. (Overspeaking) The default is --
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: The technical -- exactly. Right, thank you.
- 2.2 What then happens going forwards, looking at
- 23 January 2019, all prices were frozen?
- 24 A. Yes
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you explain what that was?

1	A. Definitely . So we had a change in leadership
2	in September 2017, and I acknowledge there is still
3	a price change after that, but they are still finding
4	their feet, getting on top of things, and they determine
5	that the rates of churn driven by pricing, and this is
6	not exclusive to SFV customers but across the board, are
7	too high. That leadership, Mark and his team, many of
8	whom have come from a mobile context, so Mark Allera was
9	the CEO of EE, and then the CEO of EE and BT's Consumer
10	divisions . In mobile, index-linked pricing is
11	commonplace, so $$ and had been since about 2014.
12	So the way they did their revenue increases via
13	price changes was that they were written into customers'
14	contracts. So you are aware at the time you sign up
15	that your price will increase on a certain date, by
16	actually in those days by RPI, we moved to CPI, and
17	therefore you do not see the same churn event that we
18	were seeing in price changes. Yes, there is the actual
19	churn that we see, but there is also all the customers
20	to call to churn who we change their mind with
21	a competitive deal, things like that, which requires
22	resource. You see all the competitor activity at the
23	same time in the market. There is much less of that in
24	the mobile space when executing price changes.
25	So they wanted to move us to the CPI model, you will

1	see, in April 2020, and to do that you need to change
2	customers' terms, and to make that change, without
3	having to give customers the right to cease to make the
4	change, you change your front book terms. So your go
5	forward terms for anyone transacting, either new
6	customers joining or existing customers re-contracting,
7	those terms change. So you need to give yourself a bit
8	of time to get a significant proportion of your base
9	onto those terms.
10	That is why, both to reduce the churn, and to allow
11	the CPI mechanic to embed in those customers' terms, we
12	did not change prices in 2019. That was a significant
13	investment because the MTP would have assumed that price
14	change.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, because at that stage would you still
16	have been presented with revenue targets for that
17	year ——
18	A. Absolutely.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: $$ which, on the face of it, you would not be
20	able to meet unless the revenue targets themselves were
21	dropped.
22	A. Yes.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: You may not be able to $$
24	A I have no detail on what happened to the targets but

- 24 A. I have no detail on what happened to the targets, but 25 definitely that was the result.
  - 62

- THE CHAIRMAN: This was all a part of a change of regime and
- 2 a different way of thinking about going forward with the
- 3 A. Yes. 4

1

- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 5
- Now, in paragraph -- I am turning on to something 6
- 7 different . In paragraph 26 of your witness statement
- 8 you refer to a spreadsheet, and we saw an example of
  - that earlier on today from Mr Beard's question --
- 10 A. Yes.

9

11	THE CHAIRMAN: $$ which is allocating different sectors of
12	churn and things like that. In paragraph 26 you say you
13	did not think that was a very reliable document because,
14	again, you are looking at $$ the only direct thing you
15	are getting is the customers who ring up and say "I see
16	you are changing your price. That allows me to exit
17	without a termination charge", and you say there were
18	very many more who did not go through that.
19	A. I think that is not entirely accurate. If I can
20	elaborate a bit . Some of the inputs from them $$ to
21	that would have come without a customer needing to
22	interact with us. So you could switch provider without
23	talking to us. Things like the WLR and LLU losses that

- 24 we spoke about, we would just know that the line had
- 25 gone to another WLR or we would know that the line had

# 63

1	gone to a LLU, so we would be able to populate some of
2	that data without the adviser information. But
3	certainly something like bereavement or cable losses or
4	things like that, we would not know unless we had seen
5	the customer churn. So there is a limitation on the
6	data.
7	I would probably just add it is probably quite
8	comparable week to week, because it is the same
9	limitation all the time, it is not particularly
10	changing. It is certainly imperfect, but I think it is
11	a pretty good indicator.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: What you said in paragraph 26 was $$
13	A. " we did not use it all the time"
14	THE CHAIRMAN: You said:
15	" we did not use it all the time because it was
16	not entirely accurate."
17	All I was going to ask is, if that is the case, was
18	there some other form of assessment that you used
19	yourselves to supplement it or to replace it? Can you
20	just explain what that is?
21	A. Sure. I think this is the best we had but we did have
22	other sources, an example being the flows data that has
23	been referred to a couple of times in the documents.
24	That was the one that showed 23% of churners going to
25	the Post Office $$ sorry, 23% of churners were

- 1 Voice Only and 57% of them were going to the
- 2 Post Office. We looked at it earlier this morning. So
- 3 there were other sources of information, but this is by
- 4 far the most granular and the most likely -- and
- 5 probably the most -- provided the most assistance as
- 6 a result, but there was an understanding -- in general,
- 7 you know, there are imperfections in most data and you
- 8 have to account for those when making decisions based on 9 them.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Thank you.
- 11 I wanted to ask you about PR. Paragraph 47 of your
- 12 witness statement, please  $\{D/2/14\}$ . You say here that
- 13 there were price rise ceilings --
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: -- that prevented you from increasing an 16 individual product's price by more than a certain 17 percentage. We know, for example, that the £1 I think
- 18 was under that percentage.
- 19 A. Yes. it was.

20	THE CHAIRMAN: The $\pounds1$ increase for Line Rental. Right.
21	Then I wanted to ask you about this sentence:
22	"I understood that the reason for these price
23	ceilings was because the CLT wanted to avoid the press
24	reacting negatively to our price changes, in turn

- 25 driving customers either to complain or to leave BT
  - 65
- altogether." 1
- 2 Now, you touched on this yesterday in some questions 3 from Mr Beard about how important was the PR, but it 4 looks from that as if you are saying that press reaction 5 or negative reaction, but which would include the basic details of what these price increases were, was a very 6 7 important driver for those customers affected to start 8 thinking about their position. 9 I am saving that just to be clear, because you said 10 vou: 11 ... wanted to avoid the negative press reaction, in 12 turn driving customers to ... A. Yes, I think that is right. I think it is -- I am not 13 a behavioural economics expert but I think that the 14 15 experience of receiving your price change notification 16 and then receiving your bill , and your first bill or 17 second bill after a price change, if that is your 18 discrete kind of price change experience, then there is 19 going to be a level of price responsiveness and impact 2.0 from customers which is X, whatever that is. I think 21 that gets amplified if you also see press on it or 2.2 amplified further if you see press on it and other 23 people see press on it and talk to you about it. 24 All of those things will amplify the feeling from 25 a customer's perspective. So there is the impact of the
  - 66

2 the customer's bill and then also if they are getting 3 other stimuli to churn like seeing that indicated in 4 other sources THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. That is what I was getting at. I am 5 not saying this in any pejorative way, but at least to 6 7 some extent with this particular group the level of 8 engagement with the notion of a price increase could be 9 increased quite a bit by the fact that they are now 10 reading about it in the newspapers. At least that is 11 what this seems to suggest. 12 A. I think that is true across the whole base. I would not 13 say that is exclusive to SFV by any means. In fact, 14 probably our customers -- this is a generalisation as 15 well, but our customers that are most likely to 16 scrutinise their notifications in detail are associated 17 with the SFV cohort and particularly the truly solus 18 cohort 19 You can see the focus groups and the data we have 2.0 looked at that kind of speaks to that. But, yes, it is 21 definitely true, that, as I say, you may -- the way 22 customers respond to different stimuli depends but it 23 certainly helps if you see it in more places, and yet we 24 still voluntarily announced all of our price increases 25 at that period when there was no requirement to do so.

notification , the impact of the actual price changing on

67

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: You talk about the fact there would be some 2 particular journalists or particular papers perhaps 3 where you would be giving them the advanced ... 4 A. Yes THE CHAIRMAN: Would those papers when they are writing 5 6 about it, be writing about it after you have already 7 done your customer individual notification? 8 A. No, not at all. So we would send that briefing to those 9 iournalists on day X and start notifying a couple of 10 days later and begin that kind of two/three month window 11 of -12 THE CHAIRMAN: So depending what papers they read, the 13 customers if they were reading the relevant bits, the 14 first time they are going to find out about the price 15 increase is through the press. 16 A. It is possible, absolutely, yes. If they read the 17 relevant bits that is all I am saying. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: If they read the relevant bits. 19 A. The chances are it is getting written about before they 20 receive their notification about it. But again that 21 still has the effect of --2.2 THE CHAIRMAN: No, I am not making a particular -- I am not 23 making another comparison with the notification but --24
  - A. Yes. that is true
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: -- it is generally the first time they are

- 1 going to hear about it. If they read the paper.
- 2 A. If they read that paper and the story will come back.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: So just go through the papers that we would
- 4 typically --
- 5 A. I would have to have a look at the list but I remember
- because I used to follow them all on Twitter. 6
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, you must exactly.
- A. Rachel Rickard Straus I think who was, I want to say, 8
- 9 the Mail. Maybe she was at Money Saving Expert at that
- 10 point. Miles Brignall of the Guardian. There is a list
- 11 somewhere in here so we can have -- it was most major
- 12 papers. We were not seeking to --
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: I was going to say you are hardly likely to 14 put it forward as an exclusive
- 15 A. Agree. Also the coverage this got, while the time I was 16 looking after price changes and by the way previous
- 17 price changes including Pegasus got greater coverage.
- 18 We were talking about one article on page 10 of the
- 19 paper, that type of thing. We are not talking about big 20 front page spreads.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sure, but it is tabloids and broadsheets
- 2.2 very generally speaking.
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- 24 MR BEARD: The document is  $\{F/851/1\}$ .
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

- 1 A. If a journalist does not get it from us on day 1,
- 2 because we were writing to the PA as well as part of it, 3 they are getting it on the wires, they are getting it that way.
- 4
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Of course, thank you. Just give me one
- moment, please. (Pause). Can I just ask you a question 6
- 7 about your third witness statement.
- A. Of course. 8
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just go to paragraph 50(b),  $\{D/3/14\}$ .
- 10 Sorry, I need to go to the document that you were -
- 11 yes, I need to go to the document that you refer to in
- 12 paragraph 50(b) which I think is  $\{F/246/4\}$ . I think all
- 13 I wanted to ask you at the very bottom you have got the
- estimated benefit from these various changes. That is 14
- 15 just on the face of it, as it were, the incremental
- 16 benefit of doing those increases.
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: It is not the total revenue for Voice.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: It is just the value of those price
- 21 increases
- 2.2 A. This includes broadband and TV in it as well.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. I follow that.
- 24 A. That is just, you know, it is kind of the P times Q, the
- 25 amount of increasing the price times the number of
  - 70

- 1 people who are going to pay for the performance. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. But does that take into account churn?
- 3 A. No. It takes into account costs from the gives, which
- 4 you can see at the bottom in red, but no, it would not
- take into account churn. I think I mention in my second 5
- statement the way that we forecast churn would be the 6
- 7 kind of annual volume profile that would move through
- the year and there would be surges in churn like 8 9 according to different seasonal events including price
- 10 changes.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Just one moment, please. (Pause).
- 12 I think I just have one more question. Could we just
- 13 look at document  $\{F/199/1\}$ . You were taken to this –
- 14 counsel might be able to help me, but I think this was
- 15 something you were taken to actually in re-examination
- 16 vesterday.
- 17 MR BEARD: Yes.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: I just wanted to see, there was a reference
- 19 to insight, 100,000 customers going to Post Office which
- 20 you took him to
- 21 MR BEARD: Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Can you just find me that page, Mr Beard. 22
- MR BEARD: Yes, certainly. Just give me one second. 23
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: It is just before we finished, it was only
- 25 about five minutes.

#### 71

- 1 MR BEARD: It is slide  $\{F/199/6\}$ . 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 3 MR BEARD: You may give me the answer that this was simply 4 a question of revenue targets, but here you have got 19%a year leaving BT, 60% of which are heading to the 5 6 Post Office, so that is a relatively important number. 7 A. Definitely THE CHAIRMAN: 100,000. But was there any consideration 8 9 there for the purpose of that price rise by saying, well 10 no, we will not increase or was it again that is just 11 the default? 12 A. So first of all, it is the default and if we want to put 13 it up for broadband customers we have to put it up for SEV customers. But also we felt that, instead, the 14 15 fixed price solus prop which becomes Home Phone Saver. 16 this slide that we are looking at is about the 17 instruction of Home Phone Saver that would help us 18 mitigate that problem so enable us to put it up while 19 also tackling churn risk customers. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Right, thank you very much. 21 That concludes our questions. Now, I will start 2.2 with Ms Kreisberger. If counsel have anything they wish
- 23 to ask arising out of it?
- 24 MS KREISBERGER: No. we do not.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Beard? 25

1	MR BEARD: No.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Bunt, you are finished. Your evidence is
3	finished . Thank you very much indeed.
4	(The witness withdrew)
5	MR BEARD: So the next witness we are calling is Ms Blight.
6	If she can come forward, please.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
8	MS MARGARET BLIGHT (affirmed)
9	Examination—in—chief by MR BEARD
10	MR BEARD: Ms Blight, good morning. I do not know what is
11	in that document, that folder, other than the fact that
12	there is a copy of your witness statement in there
13	I believe.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Just so we have got it electronically as well, can we
16	just go to $\{D/4/1\}$ . Ms Blight, you should now see on
17	your screen the first page of your witness statement as
18	well as having it in hard copy.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Could we go to page $\{D/4/19\}$ which should be the same on
21	hard copy. Is that your signature, Ms Blight?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Is this your witness statement?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Apart from what I understand are two small corrections,
	73

1		is this true to the best of your knowledge and belief?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	So just dealing very briefly with those small
4		corrections, paragraph 1, I understand there is
5		a clarification because it is something that was
6		accurate at time but you want to clarify?
7	Α.	Yes. So since this, I have left BT and I currently have
8		a different role.
9	Q.	Thank you. Paragraph 50, $\{D/4/13\}$ . I understand you
10		wanted to make a small clarification in relation to
11		I think it is the first sentence?
12	Α.	Yes. So I said:
13		"A further customer give I was involved in creating
14		was Right Plan."
15		The reality is that it was created prior to me being
16		involved and then I was managing it and evolving it
17		rather than creating, so I just change it to managing.
18	Q.	I am grateful. Now, Ms Blight, I do not have any
19		questions for you. I think Mr Armitage has questions
20		for you on the part of the Class Representative and the
21		Tribunal may in due course as well have some questions
22		for you.
23		Cross—examination by MR ARMITAGE
24	MF	R ARMITAGE: Good afternoon, Ms Blight, I hope you are

- 2 CARMITAGE: Good afternoon, Ms Blight, I hope you are 25 feeling better after last week.
  - 74

1	Α.	Thank you, just a bit sniffly .
2	Q.	So just to explain further the hard copy bundle that you
3		have in front of you. Documents to which I refer will
4		come up on the EPE screen electronically so you can also
5		see them quickly there. We have sought to provide hard
6		copies of things like slide decks, longer documents to
7		which you can refer if you wish so, by all means, just
8		indicate if you would like to do that. I will try to
9		indicate the documents you ought to have in your bundle.
10		They are organised in numerical order by reference to
11		the Opus system. I cannot promise absolutely every
12		slide deck is in there and we will just have to make do
13		but I hope you have most of it.
14		Could I start with some questions about the extent
15		of your involvement in BT's pricing decisions during the
16		period covered by this claim. I think you first joined
17		BT in July 2012, yes?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	But before November 2015 you were working in roles that
20		focused on broadband pricing, correct?
21	Α.	First broadband pricing and then there was about a year
22		where I was Head of Strategy for Commercial which
23		included the whole area, but predominantly I was
24		actually part of the EE acquisition.
25	Q.	Then you became General Manager for BT Consumer's Voice
		75
1		division in November 2015?
2	Α.	I think that is about right or late October,
3		early November, about then. I think there was a phased
4		moving team.

- $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Yes, we do not need to turn it up at this stage. You 5 6 say at paragraph 7 of your witness statement it
- was November 2015? 7
- A. I think that is about right. 8
- 9  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  It is at that point that you first became involved in
- 10 pricing for BT's Voice products, yes?
- 11 A. For Voice, yes.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  For Voice, yes, exactly. Then in terms of the overall 12
- 13 timing you say in your statement that you went on
- 14 long-term leave in the autumn of 2016?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. I take it you do not recall the precise dates? 16
- 17 A. It was phased over a time because I unfortunately got
- 18 very  $\ \mbox{ill}$  , so I was in and out of it and then left.
- 19 Q. Something like September or October?
- 20 A. Around then, yes.
- 21 Q. 2016?
- A. I think October mainly, but, yes. 22
- 23  ${\sf Q}. \ \mbox{You returned from leave into a different role that was }$

- 24 outside the Voice division in September 2017?
- 25 A. Yes.

2 BT's Voice pricing? 3 A. No 4 Q. So in total you were involved in Voice pricing for 5 a period of less than a year, just less than a year, so November 2015 to September or October 2016, yes? 6 7 A. For specifically Voice, yes. Q. Then during that period of slightly less than a year you 8 9 spent significant time dealing with the Ofcom 10 investigation, did you not, Ms Blight? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Can I just ask you to turn up paragraph 65 of your 13 statement. 14 A. Sure. 15 Q. Just to clarify a point about those timings. That is 16  $\{D/4/16\}$ . You say here that: 17 "Ofcom first raised their concerns with BT's Voice 18 pricing in early 2016." 19 I am going to be coming back to that point later on. 2.0 Then you say you worked mainly on the 2017 review 21 until December 2016 and so "had to delegate a lot of my 22 work outside of the review to the rest of my team from

Q. You have not since then had a role that was involved in

- 23 summer 2016."24 So it is really just a point of clarification . You
- 25 say there you were working on the 2017 review

### 77

- 1 until December 2016?
- A. Yes, I think what that refers to is that while I was inand out of work quite ill I was still supporting,
- 4 answering questions for consistency. So I guess what is
- 5 more accurate is that I was leading it until September
- $6 \qquad \ \ \, \text{and then there was some hangover and then of course}$
- 7 Jonny came in -- sorry, Mr Bunt came in to take over.
- 8 Q. Thank you. Then where you say you had to delegate a lot
  9 of your work outside of the review from summer 2016, can
  10 I take it your principal focus from summer 2016 onwards
  11 until you went on leave was on the Ofcom review process,
  12 ves?
- 12 yes?
  13 A. I think it took a large -- I am not sure if it was the
- 14 majority of my time. I just took a large chunk of my
- 15 time out so it impacted my day-to-day work and my
- 16 ability to take on other pieces of work and other
- 17 initiatives that I thought were important.
- 18 Q. Yes. So when you talk about delegating a lot of your
   19 work outside of the review, you mean your work on
   20 day-to-day of BT's Voice pricing?
- 21 A. Yes, other aspects of Voice, yes, because it was product 22 as well.
- 23 Q. Obviously in relation to your time as GM at Voice, we
- are talking about a period that is getting on for sevenor eight years ago?

78

- 1 A. Yes.
- $2\,$   $\,$  Q. You would accept that your recollections of that period  $\,$
- 3 are not going to be perfect?
- 4 A. I am only human, so, yes.
  - Q. You are only human. Are not we all? Obviously
- $6 \qquad \ \ \, preparing \ \ you \ \ statement, \ Ms \ Blight, \ \ you \ have \ been$
- 7 referred by your solicitors to documents. You list
- $8 \qquad \ \ I \ think \ 53 \ such documents in the annex to your witness$
- 9 statement, yes?
- 10 A. Yes.

5

- 11~ Q. Now, in your statement you focus mainly on the price
- 12 increase that came into force in July 2016, yes?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. It was announced in April 2016?
- 15 A. That sounds about right, yes.
- 16 Q. Among other things, that involved a  $\pounds 1$  increase on Line
- 17 Rental, yes?
- 18 A. Yes

2.4

- 19  $\,$  Q. Now, you call that the 2016/2017 price change in your
- 20 statement. Unlike some other BT price changes it did
- 21 not have a code name I do not think?
- 22 A. No, it did not have a code name.
- 23 Q. I did not mean it to sound suspicious but a nickname,
- 24 yes, so it tends to be referred to as the 2016/2017
- 25  $$\rm price\ change,\ albeit\ it\ was\ introduced\ in\ July\ 2016\ and$

79

- 1 that was the only price change that occurred during your 2 time as GM at Voice. That is right, is it not? 3 A. I think we did some changes to an international calling 4 plan out of cycle and I obviously was leading on the 5 planning for the 17/18 price change so a lot of documents that are the planning of the 17/18, it was me 6 7 that was leading that activity .  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Yes, subject to the point about delegating a lot of your 8 9 work from summer 2016 onwards, so roughly from the point 10 of the July 2016 price increase onwards? 11 A. Well, I was delegating my work, I was having to delegate 12 more from January which is when I think Ofcom started 13 asking about things, or that was on my radar, but that is not to say that I was not, I do not know, two-thirds 14 15 of my work still on what would be BAU. I did not just 16 leave my team to go feral. 17 Q. No, I did not say that, Ms Blight. No, I understand. 18 So the only Line Rental price change that occurred 19 during your time as GM at Voice was the July 2016 price 2.0 change. That is right, is it not? 21 A. Yes, when I was managing it, yes. 2.2 Q. Then just to clarify one other point on timings and your 23 involvement. Could we look at paragraph 65. Sorry, we
  - are still in paragraph 65. Could we look at
- 25 paragraph 14 of your statement.  ${D/4/4}$ . You say

- 1
   there:

   2
   "BT Consumer generally updates its prices annually.

   3
   I was involved in running the price change on behalf of

   4
   the Voice division at the end of 2015."

   5
   We saw you started November 2015?

   6
   A. Mm-hm.

   7
   Q. "... and throughout 2016, when we implemented
- the July 2016 price change (which applied for the year
  2016 to 2017)... While I discuss the 2016–2017 financial
  year in particular, I was involved in the previous price
  change ..."
  12 Is that a reference to the 2015/2016 price change.
- 13 the one that is known by the name Project Laika?
- 14 A. So I think that one would have been when I was Head of
- 16 that. It is more pertinent to say the earlier ones
- 17 I would have been involved in because I was responsible
- 18 for broadband pricing and the broadband P&L. Obviously
- 19 there are a lot of customers that overlap with the Voice
- 20 P&L and prior to that I was setting broadband pricing at
- 21 Virgin, so I actually have around eight years or so
- 22 experience in setting prices in this market.
- 23 Q. I see. So in terms of your involvement in the previous  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{r}}_{i}}} \right)$
- 24 price change that was, just to check I have captured 25 this, what you say was peripheral involvement in you
  - this, what you say was peripheral involvement in your

- 1 strategic role?
- 2 A. I think in the 15/16 I would need to look at the exact 3 dates to be absolutely certain of my involvement, but
- yes, when I was Head of Strategy it was not my focus,
   but I would have been supporting Pete Oliver and the
- 5 but I would have been supporting Pete Oliver and the 6 whole commercial team in Strategy, so I would be aware
- 9 came into force in September 2015 so before you became
   10 GM at Voice?
- 11 A. Yes, so I probably would have analysed the impact.
- 12 Q. Then, as you say, earlier price changes you were
- 13 involved more directly but in relation to broadband
   14 pricing?
- A. In the capacity as General Manager of Broadband andprior to that Head of Infinity.
- 17 Q. So prior to the 2016/2017 price change you were not
- 18 involved in any -- were not directly involved in any
- 19price change decisions in relation to Voice services,20were you, Ms Blight?
- 21 A. Specifically Voice services, yes. I mean, I guess, you 22 are part of discussions because of the importance of
- 23 Line Rental and Voice pricing in terms of the bundle, so
- as I was setting bundle prices for front book and
- 25 existing it somewhat interlinked. All broadband

82

- 1 customers are also line customers so any impact on Line 2 Rental is going to have an impact on you if you are 3 managing the broadband P&L. 4  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Just to clarify , prior to your taking up the role of  $\mathsf{GM}$ 5 at Voice you were not part of the team that was making decisions on Voice pricing? 6 7 A. I would have been consulted and an influencer of it rather than the owner of the decision. 8 9  $\mathsf{Q}.~\mathsf{I}$  see.  $\mathsf{I}$  would like to move first to the subject of 10 what you call customer gives. This was touched on to an 11 extent with Mr Bunt yesterday. I am going to go into it 12 in rather more detail with you as to what you touch on 13 in your statement. 14 Now, consistently with the discussion I have just 15 been having with you, I am going to focus on the 16 2016/2017 process, which is the approach you take in 17 your statement? 18 A Yes 19 Q. So could we look at paragraph 43 of your statement, 20 Ms Blight? 21 A. The statement, okay. 2.2 Q.  $\{D/4/11\}$ . I will just read it out if may: 23 "Each year during the pricing cycle we tried to 24 think of new ways to create customer value and improve 25 their services. These were known internally as 83 1
- 'customer gives'. During my time as GM for Voice, we 2 concentrated on four main customer gives." 3 So four main customer gives that you identified. 4 So that is BT Call Protect, Care Level Guarantees, 5 Right Plan and onshoring our customer call centres. You 6 say you expand on those below. 7 You go on to say that you sought customer feedback, 8 and you "used that feedback to consider what our 9 customers valued most and what they would see the most 10 benefit from so that we knew which customer gives were 11 the most important to focus on." 12 Yes? 13 A Mm-hm  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Now, in terms of the process, just before getting into 14 15 the specifics of the four gives that you mention there. 16 it is right, is it not, that your team was tasked with 17 coming up with some gives that could help to justify 18 a higher price increase on Line Rental for the 2016/2017 19 process. That is right, is it not, Ms Blight? 2.0 A. I would say we were tasked with managing and optimising 21 the P&L which involved putting through price changes 2.2 while also balancing churn and value for money 23 perceptions which involves, I feel the best way of doing 2.4 that is by creating innovative gives, or at least gives, 25 maybe innovative is too far.

- 1 Q. Yes, but what I asked you was, Ms Blight, your team was
  - tasked with coming up some gives which could help to
- 3 justify a higher increase on Line Rental. Is that right
- or wrong?
   A. What I am saying is no one explicitly said, "Meg, can
- 6 you come up with some gives". Partly I was driving
- 7 that, it is about creating a balanced price change and
- 8 value for money for customers rather than "the task is
- 9 gives". I know there may be nuances, but I think there 10 is a difference there.
- 11 Q. So your evidence is no one explicitly said: "Meg, can
- 12 you come up with some gives"?
- 13 A. To my memory, no. It was about creating a balance.
- Q. Can we just look at {F/345/1}. That will come up on the
  screen. It is an email so I do not think you will have
  that in the hard copy bundle. By all means if you want
  to skip along.
- to skip along.
   That is an email exchange between you and
- 19 Kelly Barlow from November 2015, yes?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Ms Barlow was, I think, essentially your boss; is that
- 22 right, Ms Blight?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Could we have it expanded please?
- 25 MR ARMITAGE: I am sorry, yes.

#### 85

- 1 A. It is tiny, is it not?
- 2 MR BEARD: Might it be sensible if she can read the whole 3 email. If it is possible to have the next page so ... 4 MR ARMITAGE: Yes, of course. (Pause). 5 Ms Blight, as I say, that is an email from you, on 6 20 November 2015 at 18.42 to a number of people 7 including Ms Barlow. Subject: "service gives for price 8 change" 9 A. Mm-hm. 10 Q. You say: 11 "Hi all, this is a quick note to make sure we are 12 clear on the next steps on potential service gives for 13 price change and make sure we are ready to present to 14 John 1 December." 15 John is, just to remind us? 16 A. John Petter, CEO of Consumer division. 17 Q. Then the next paragraph: 18 "As a reminder, John gave us the challenge of 19 producing some service related gives that could justify 2.0 a slightly higher increase on Line Rental." 21 That is pretty much: "meg, can you come up with some 2.2 gives", is it not, Ms Blight? 23 A. I disagree with how you are interpreting it because you 24 have to put this in the context of this is, we have had
- 25 previous meetings where we have presented a strategy to

86

1		him where we would provide gives for Line. We have
2		received the challenge of, "could you put Line Rental up
3		further", and we are assessing that and at the same time
4		he has given us the challenge of saying, "okay, and can
5		we do more in these other different areas?" So we are
6		exploring it. So it is his reaction to us proposing
7		a strategy which is around a balance of gives and price
8		changes.
9		So again, I do not think that is different from the
10		point $$ the subtlety of what ${\sf I}$ was trying to say before
11		is that I was not tasked with that explicitly . I was
12		tasked with creating a balanced portfolio of price
13		change.
14	Q.	So, as you say, you are given the challenge of putting
15		up Line Rental further and then the task is to seek to
16		identify gives that might justify a higher Line Rental
17		increase, yes?
18	Α.	Yes, a different mix.
19	Q.	I think if we make a start on give number 1,
20		BT Call Protect.
21		No need to turn this up at the moment, I do not
22		think, but you deal with this at paragraphs 46 and 48 of
23		your witness statement.
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	BT Call Protect was a service which helps customers,
		87
		87
1		protects customers from receiving scam or nuisance
2		calls, yes?
3	Α.	Correct.
4	Q.	It was not just for solus customers, was it?

- 5 A. No, it was for all line customers.
- Q. So available to everyone who had a BT landline including
   bundle customers, ves?
- 7 bundle customers, yes?8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Can I start, and perhaps just before the short
- 9 Q. Can't start, and perhaps just before the short
- 10 adjournment, with the question of when BT Call Protect 11 was actually introduced?
- 12 A. Is that your question, sorry?
- Q. I am going to ask you some questions.
- 14 A. Sorry.
- 15 Q. Let us in fact turn up paragraph 46 of your statement.
- 16  $\{D/4/11\}$ . Paragraph 46 is where you start talking
- 17 about, you say:
- 18 "We introduced a number of gives in the 2016/2017
- 19 price change. I explain these in more detail below."
- 20 Then you see in paragraph 47 you move on immediately 21 to talk about BT Call Protect?
- 21 to talk abo22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Situating that as one of the gives that you introduced
- in the 2016/2017 price change, yes?
- 25 A. Yes

- 1 Q. Could we just look though at the final sentence of
- 2 paragraph 48 of your statement,  $\{D/4/12\}$ . You say:
- "BT Call Protect did not receive much media
- 4 attention on its initial launch in 2015/2016 so we
- 5 promoted it during the following price change in
- 6 2016/2017 to encourage customer uptake and further
- 7 improve the service."
- 8 Yes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  So the suggestion there is that it was actually
- 11 introduced as part of the 2015/2016 price change 12 process. Project Laika?
- 13 A. I do not actually think that is right. I think what
- I have mixed up here potentially in my statement is that 14
- 15 we promoted it in the 16/17 price change and then
- 16 I think there was -- as I said before, we did a press
- 17 release then again in what would have been January 2017
- 18 I think it is. I mean, I am sure someone could look up
- the press releases. The nature of the product meant 19
- 20 that it was, you know, we were developing and bringing
- 21 new features into it throughout that period and it was
- 22 strengthened the more people used it because it was
- a kind of crowd-sourced data as well as using algorithms 23
- 24 to predict what could be scam calls to protect
- 25 consumers.

1

89

- The other reason why we wanted people to talk about 2 it was that people who were either very engaged with 3 their landline and vulnerable it was important to them 4 but it was also really important to people who were 5 disengaged and becoming less engaged with their landline because often they would up plug it because of nuisance 6 7 and scam calls rather than using it . So it was for two 8 different segments really. 9 Q. Yes. So I think you are saying despite what you say in
- 10 the last -- I am genuinely not trying to catch you out
- 11 on this occasion, Ms Blight. It is just clarification .
- 12 I emphasise "on this occasion". Essentially your
- 13 recollection is that it was not launched in 2015/2016,
- 14 it was launched in 2016/2017 and then there is
- 15 a question of the next price increase and its
- 16 involvement in that, and we are going to come to the 17 underlying documents.
- 18 A. I am sure someone can look it up but I think potentially
- 19 I have got them slightly muddled between us trailing
- 20 something and the financial years in which change it was 21 in
- 2.2 Q. I hope we will be able to clarify , perhaps after lunch,
- 23 but can I just ask one question before we do that.
- 24 Ms Blight, you would accept, would not you, that
- 25 a single give of this nature cannot justify two

90

- 1 successive price increases; is that right?
- 2 A. I have not really ever thought of it, about it, that 3 kind of way. I am not sure because -- certainly did at
- 4 Virgin.
- Q. Okay, we will come to some documents on that and on the 5 6 facts.
- 7 A. It is a philosophical question.
- 8 Q. Can I leave you to deliberate that over lunch perhaps
- 9 and we will come on to the facts of this give.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. We will adjourn until
- 11 2 o'clock. Ms Blight, you will already have been told,
- 12 do not discuss the case or your evidence until it is
- 13 finished. Thank you.
- 14 (12.58 pm)
- 15 (Luncheon Adjournment)
- 16 (2.00 pm)
- 17 MR ARMITAGE: Hello again, Ms Blight. So we were talking
- 18 about Call Protect and the precise timings at which it
- was introduced. So I think it is right to say that Call 19
- 20 Protect was introduced in November 2016; does that sound
- 21 right?

25

1

2

- 22 A. It sounds right but I cannot be certain. I am sorry.
- 23 Q. Let us look at a document. It is  $\{F/465/1\}$ . You should
- 24 have that in your hard copy bundle.
  - A. Yes

# 91

- $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Can you see that they are arranged in numerical order? A. Yes
- 3 Q. So you can see it is an operational review document
- 4 dated 29 June 2016. In very small font at the bottom of 5 the page it gives you the date.
- 6 So you were General Manager of the Voice division at 7 this time, yes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Have you seen this document before, can you recall?
- 10 A. Yes, I would have contributed to it.
- 11  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{It}\;\;\mathsf{is}\;\;\mathsf{quite}\;\mathsf{a}\;\mathsf{long}\;\mathsf{document.}\;\;\mathsf{Can}\;\mathsf{we}\;\mathsf{go}\;\mathsf{on}\;\mathsf{to}\;\mathsf{page}\;\;$ 12  $\{F/465/63\}$ . Can you see at the top:
- 13 "In Nov 16 we will launch a new nuisance calls
- service free for all customers." 14
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes
- 17 Q. You can see from the heading above the box in the
- 18 left -hand column below that, that that is a reference to
- 19 BT Call Protect, yes?
- 20 A. Yes

2.4

- 21 Q. So being launched in November 2016. I think you
- 2.2 confirmed earlier, just to check, this confirms that it
- 23 was a service for all customers, not just for solus
  - Voice customers?
- 25 A. Yes, for all landlines, although I think it required

- 1 a set up as they needed to make certain choices. 2 Q. Yes. So not making any point about this at all, 3 Ms Blight, when your statement refers to the initial 4 launch being in 2015/2016, as we saw earlier, that is an error, is it not, Ms Blight? It was launched in 5 November 2016? 6 7 A. Yes. Yes, I was mistaken in my statement. Q. Now, if a give is introduced in November 2016, it 8 9 obviously cannot justify higher prices being paid before 10 that date, can it, Ms Blight? 11 A. No, but that does not mean it would not be included in 12 the comms. 13 Q. Now, just to pick up a small point, I do not know if this contributed towards the error in your statement, 14 15 but there was a give introduced in the 2015/2016 process 16 to do with nuisance calls, but it was not Call Protect. 17 as we have established, it was a nuisance phone product. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Does that ring a bell? 20 A. I do remember the nuisance phone -- it was made by the 21 devices team rather than the Voice team. 2.2 Q. Shall we just look quickly at one document on this. {F/284/1} 23 24 A. Sure 25 Q. So it is "15/16 Pricing Plan", dated 12 December 2014. 93
  - 1 I appreciate that is --

3

- A. Sorry, just give me one second, please, to get to the right bit. I was looking at the ...
- What page did you want me to get to?
- 4 5 Q. So the document is  $\{F/284/1\}$ .
- A. Yes, I have got that. 6
- Q. I am just on the first page.  $^{\prime\prime}15/16$  Pricing Plan", 7
- 8 dated 12 December 2014. As I say, it is before your
- 9 time. I just wanted to show you one point.
- 10 So if you could turn on to page  $\{F/284/10\}$ .
- 11 A. This is a draft document, by the looks of it.
- 12 Q. Yes, so can you see on page 10  $^{\prime\prime}15/16$  Pricing - Gives  $\ldots$  ", "Nuisance Phone Discount", in the top left 13 14 quadrant?
- 15 A. Yes, so that is a re-contract offer by the looks of it.
- Q. So it is a specific product I think you said the device 16 17 team had developed?
- 18 A. Yes, so it is -- they had brought out nuisance phone
- 19 devices, of which there were a few different ones. They 20
- were priced up to £100, I think, different packages, and 21 this is a specific offer saying 50% off if the customer
- 2.2 re-contracts, so that will be a save discount.
- 23 Q. Yes. You have to pay for the product, though. There is
- 24 a discount here but it comes at a charge, does it not? 25
- A. You do need to -- so to explain, I think, not all gives

94

1	are $$ when we talk about gives, we are talking about
2	a broad basket of different value for money initiatives
3	and things to prevent churn. Some of them are
4	essentially offers, special offers, extra discounts,
5	targeting different customer segments. This is one of
6	those such. So, yes, you would need to pay, but you are
7	saving 50%, and we knew it was a $$ well, I was not
8	leading the time at this time, but I know from after
9	that that nuisance and scam calls were a major issue for
10	customers, so it would make sense that we would do that.
11	MR DORAN: This is a device you purchase? This is not
12	a service, this is a device? Or a device and a service?
13	A. There are two aspects to it . Shall I just briefly
14	explain the different products?
15	MR DORAN: Just briefly, that would be very helpful for me.
16	A. Sure. So this is a handset that you connect to your
17	Voice line that is actually available to BT customers
18	but actually anyone in the market. You could buy it as
19	a non $-BT$ customer. You plug it in and it allows you to
20	make certain decisions about the types of calls you
21	want. So, for example, you can block all international
22	calls from contacting you; a lot of scammers are abroad.
23	So it gave you control on your individual line with
24	this handset. The BT Call Protect products that we
25	developed did two things. It was a combination of doing
	95
1	والمراجع والمحمد فحبر المراجع المراجع المحاف والمحاف والمحاف

- 1 that at the network level, so you did not need to buy 2 a new handset, and also we had developed an algorithm 3 for spotting potential scammers and blocking them 4 proactively. So there is more in that product in terms of 5 6 nuisance and scam calling, and it does not require you 7 to take a handset, which is why it is more mass market 8 and superior. 9 MR ARMITAGE: Yes, so a completely different thing from Call 10 Protect, and you do not rely on this product in relation 11 to the gives for the 2016/2017 price change in your 12 statement, do you? 13 A. No, not to my knowledge, but I mean, it does sound like 14 I was potentially confused. 15 Q. Coming back to Call Protect, then. So we know that Call 16 Protect allows a customer to report the number of a 17 nuisance or a scam call, and that number then gets 18 blocked for both that customer and other BT customers, 19 ves? 20 A. Sorry, where are you looking? 21 Q. I am just asking you a question.
- 2.2 A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?
- 23 Q. Call Protect allows a customer to report the number of
- 24 a nuisance or scam call, and that number then gets
- 25 blocked for both that customer and other BT customers,

- 1 yes?
- 2 A. Sorry, to be very specific , immediately blocked for
- 3 other customers who have opted into BT Call Protect. It
- 4 would then go to a list where we were investigating
- 5 whether something was a scam, and if it was a scam we
- 6 would be referring it to the authorities and actually
- 7 taking legal action, potentially even shutting down the
- 8 number. So a bit of (a), a bit of (b).
- 9 Q. I am grateful. I appreciate the point. Both sides have 10 to have opted in, essentially ?
- 11 A. Unless we ended up using that data to discover it was 12 criminal activity, which it often was.
- Q. Because of that network effect, if you like, you makethe point in your statement that the more customers who
- used Call Protect, the better it was for everyone,
  essentially . That is what you make?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You say that providing it for free meant that it was
- 19 more effective than if it was paid for, because if it
- 20 results in more people using it, ultimately you will be
- able to block more scam numbers. That is the point you
- 22 make, is it not?
- 2.3 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. As is clear from the discussion we just had, Call
- 25 Protect was an opt—in service, yes?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I think it follows that you would accept that the
   effectiveness of the overall service will depend on how
   many people positively opted in, yes?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Thereafter, how many people actually used it, yes?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8  $\,$  Q. Can we look, please, at {F/465/1}. This is the document
- 9 we were in a moment ago, the operational review document10 I think you said you were involved in preparing.
- 11 A. Yes. Do you want me to go back to ... was it 11?
- 12 Q. I would like you to go to page  $\{F/465/37\}$  this time.
- 13 Sorry, it is a long document, but I do not think much of 14 it is ...
- 15 A. Not your fault.
- 16 Q. No, I did not write it .
- 17 A. Sure.
- 18 Q. So heading, "Voice: Looking Forward Pricing". So we
- 19 are talking here about the -- sorry, I hope that was not
- 20 unclear. The heading in the blue box -- sorry, above
- 21 the blue box, "Voice: Looking Forward Pricing":
- 22 "Price change is on target to deliver on 3 July ... '
- 23 This is talking about the 16/17 --
- - 98

1 Q. Are you on page 37? It will be on your screen as well. 2 A. It says: 3 "Price change is on target to deliver on 3 July ... " 4 Q. Just above that, "Voice: Looking Forward - Pricing". 5 A. Oh, I see. Yes, sorry, just the navigation of where it is in the ... 6 7 Q. Yes, that is all I am trying to do. 8 It is -- this is a discussion for the 16/17 price 9 change process, the one in which you were involved, yes? 10 A. I do not think that is correct, because this is --11 because it says in the title that it is for 17/18. 12 I mean. I have not been shown this document since I saw 13 it in 2016. so --Q. My understanding, and I appreciate it is a while ago, 14 15 you see at the top in the small blue box, "Q1 16/17". 16 Do you see that right at the top left-hand of the page? 17 A. Yes, that is the planning. But the delivery is in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}} 1$ 18 17/18. 19 Q. It savs: 20 "Price change is on target to deliver on 3 July ... " 21 Which is the date of the 16/17 price increase, yes? 22 So the document is dated --A. I think this slide is looking at multiple different 23 24 things, so on the right-hand side -- it is covering both 25 17/18 and 16/17.

#### 99

- 1 Q. Okay. So the document is from June 2016. The large 2 blue box at the top is talking about the price change
- 3 that is going to come into force on 3 July 2016, yes?
- 4 A. So this will be in the middle of that -- so we are
- 5 already communicating, this is like a live change that
- is going on, 16/17. Does that -- does everyone
  understand? Yes? Sorry, I am trying to be helpful, but
- 8 I think you may be a little muddled on this slide.
- 9~ Q. The reference to the 3 July price change is a reference
- $10\,$  to the 3 July 2016 price change in which you were
- 11 involved as GM at Voice, yes?
- 12~ A. I think that is the pricing effective date, rather than
- a launch announcement, but yes.
- 14 Q. Yes, it was announced in April 2016, was it not?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16~ Q. Thank you. So can we look in the middle column, under
- 17 the heading "Nuisance Calls (available to the whole
- 18 base)". Can you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $2\,0\,$   $\,$  Q. We have seen earlier that it was on track to be
- 21 delivered in November 2016, so a few months after this
- document which is dated June 2016, yes?
- 23 A. Yes

25

- 24 Q. We see:
  - "Project delivery on track.

1		"43k sign—ups from price change comms."
2		Yes?
3 4	А.	So I believe this is referring to the fact that in these
4 5		price change comms we were looking for people to pre-register, and I think that is those sign-ups. Which
6		is kind of amazing actually, we got 43k sign—ups for
7		a product which has not even launched up. It shows the
8		engagement. But the yes, that is people because I
9		think, I do not know if you have a copy of the comms,
10		but I am pretty sure they talk about: we are developing
11		this product, it is coming, sign up early. Because we
12		knew we could not launch it yet.
13	Q.	So price change announced in April 2016. As of the date
14	•	of this document, which is towards the end of June 2016,
15		43,000 sign-ups for most price change communications,
16		yes?
17	A.	Yes, great.
18		BT had around 9 million Voice customers at this stage,
19		does that sound about right, Ms Blight?
20	Α.	About right, yes.
21	Q.	So it is 46,000 customers out of nearly 9 million, is it
22		not?
23	Α.	43,000 for a product that has not yet been launched in
24		a matter of weeks.
25	Q.	I am just asking you: 43,000 customers out of nearly
		101
1		0 million who have simply up at this stars was?
2	۸	9 million who have signed up at this stage, yes? Yes. That is a huge response rate.
3		Can we look now at $\{F/340/1\}$ . "1617 Pricing Improving
4	ч.	Value and Driving Benefit".
5	А	Yes.
6		15 October 2015?
7		Yes, so this is when I was transitioning into that team,
8		I believe.
9	Q.	Yes, this is actually one of the handful of documents
10		that you specifically refer to in your witness
11		statement.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Can we look at page $\{F/340/3\}$ , please. Could you just
14		read to yourself the bullets under the heading "Current
15		Position"?
16	Α.	Yes. (Pause)
17		Is it just the ones under "Current Position"?
18	Q.	Yes, just the three bullet points there.
19	Α.	I have done that.
20	Q.	Thank you. So we see a reference to a price change base
21		plan driving:
22		" £170 million from changes across our portfolio
23		from 3 July 2016 including a $\pounds 1$ increase in [Line
24		Rental] "
		•

### 1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Then there is a reference	to:
--------------------------------	-----

- 3 "Sharon White's recent comments suggest that any
- 5 unprecedented levels of stakeholder criticism ; equally
- 6 our customers are telling us they are dissatisfied with
- 7 service and value for money."
  - Sharon White, the CEO of Ofcom?
- 9 A. Yes.

8

- 10 Q. Then we see:
- 11 "Base plan aims to mitigate this criticism with
- value-add stories including our plans on Nuisance Calls
   (minus £3.2 million) ..."
- 14 That is the Call Protect product, yes?
- 15 A. Yes.

25

1

- 16 Q. So it is clear from the text here that this give is
- 17 intended to mitigate criticism, including from Ofcom,
- 18 yes? That is what the slide says?
- 19 A. I mean, that is not the only point of the
- 20 BT Call Protect product, but, yes, it says that. At
- $21 \qquad \ \ \, this \ point \ in \ October \ our \ aim \ was to launch nuisance$
- 22 calls and use that as a main give.
- 23  $\,$  Q. Yes, to mitigate criticism from Ofcom, yes?
- 24 A. Also to support churn but yes.
  - $\mathsf{Q}.~\mathsf{I}$  did not hear what you said there, sorry.

#### 103

- A. To support churn. It is churn mitigation --
- 2 Q. Support churn.
- 3  $\,$  A.  $\,--$  engagement, and there is a whole host of reasons to
- launch BT Call Protect.
   Q. Yes. Not mentioned there.
- 6 A. Well, no.
- 7~ Q. Okay. The cost of Call Protect there is given as
- 8 3.2 million . That is the minus 3.2 million in brackets,9 I think?
- 9 I th 10 A. Yes.
- LU A. res.
- 11 Q. Hence the minus symbol?
- 12~ A. Yes. I could not tell you off the top of my head what
- 13 % 13 it refers specifically to. I know also this is a draft
- $14 \qquad \ \ \, {\rm pack, \ so \ I} \ {\rm do \ not \ know \ if \ that \ was \ the \ final \ number \ or }$
- 15 not.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. It could have been higher, could have been lower.
- 18  $\,$  Q. You do not have any reason to think that was not roughly  $\,$
- 19 the final number, do you Ms Blight?
- 20~ A. Well, other than the fact that it is a draft pack, and
- 21 obviously what went on was that we did not launch it at 22 that point and we changed -- I think we did
- 23 Care Level 2. We are talking many months ahead of
- the price change, so you are looking at draft plans
- in October of which ... So, no, I do not know if it is

104

- 1 the right date or not.
- 2 Q. I did not follow that. You started to talk about
- 3 Care Level 2. So we are talking about Call Protect. We 4 are going to come to Care Level 2.
- A. What I am saying is that you are referring to a document
  that then -- that these things did not happen. This is
- 7 a plan, a plan that was not executed.8 Q. We saw earlier, Ms Blight, that nuisance calls, Call
- 9 Protect, that is, was introduced in November 2016?
- 10 A. It was introduced in November, not in July.
- 11  $\,$  Q. Yes. I did not say it was introduced in July.
- 12 A. But what I am saying is in this document, in this
- 13 executive summary, in a draft document from October,
- $14 \qquad \mbox{that} \mbox{ we were anticipating that} \mbox{ it would be the key}$
- 15 benefit in that price change. It ended up being an 16 add—on more minor benefit in that price change. So
- 16
   add-on, more minor benefit in that price change. So

   17
   I am -
- 18 Q. Okay, I take your point about draft slides . In this
- 19 slide, cost associated with this give, 3.2 million, in
- 20 the context of a price change that is said to be driving 21 170 million in additional revenue, yes?
- A. The 170 million is the price change across all products,
- not just Line Rental. There is no isolated Line Rental
   data in this slide.
- 25 Q. Yes, so it would have included calls?

- 1~ A. No, it would also have included broadband, TV, sport,
- 2 calling plans, everything else, across a base of
- 9 million -- well, more than 9 million people, because
   some of the sport do not even have a line.
- Q. I did not say it did not include those things, I said:
   "so it would have included calls, yes?"
- 7 A. It would have included calls amongst other things.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, once you had introduced BT Call Protect,
- 9 which we saw was in November 2016, there was not any
- $10 \qquad \qquad \mbox{significant further investment in the product required,}$
- 11such as might require further price increases, was12there, Ms Blight?
- 13 A. I -- there definitely was further investment in the 14 product.
- 15 Q. I said there was not any significant further investment?
- 16 A. I do not know, because I was not managing at that point.
- 17 Q. In November 2016?
- 18~ A. Well, we have already worked out that I was going -- on
- 19 the process of going on sick at the time --
- 20 Q. Okay, I understand
- 21 A. -- so I do not know how I can be expected to answer
- 22 that.
- 23 Q. That is fair .
- 1 am going to show you a document in case it
- 25 \$\$ assists . {F/147/1}. Do you have that, Ms Blight?

106

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2~ Q. The front page should say "Price change 1718".
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. "30 September 2016".
- 5 A. Yes
- 6~ Q. So this was during your time as GM at Voice?
- 7 A. I think so, yes.
- 8 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 9~ A. Not since 2016, no one has shown it to me.
- 10 Q. I understand that.
- 11 Could we go, please -- sorry, I have a missing
- 12 reference. Just bear with me one moment. (Pause).
- 13 I think page {F/147/3}, Mr Williams tells me.
- 14 Can you see there, Ms Blight, the heading:
- 16 is relevant for every customer, with a mix of broadcast
- 17 and more personalised Gives."
- 18 Yes?
- 19 A. Yes
- $2\,0\,$   $\,$  Q. Just pausing there. Given the date of this document,
- 21 30 September 2016, when it is talking about gives, we
- 22 are already after the 2016/2017 price change, are we
- 23 not? That has already happened?
- A. When we have announced that something will be arrivingin November, so this is the first time since its launch

107

1 that we will be putting up prices.  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{Yes.}\;\;\mathsf{But}\;\mathsf{in}\;\mathsf{terms}\;\mathsf{of}\;\mathsf{the}\;\mathsf{timing}\;\mathsf{of}\;\mathsf{this}\;\mathsf{document},\;\mathsf{we}\;\;$ 2 3 are now looking at the 17/18 price change process, are 4 we not? We saw that in the front of the document? 5 A. Yes, we are 6 Q. Thank you. So it appears then, does it not, that 7 BT Call Protect is being referred to as a give for the 8 purposes of the 17/18 price change process, yes? 9 A. Yes 10 Q. It had already been identified as a give for the 11 purposes of the previous price change process, yes? 12 A. It had been included, along with a long list in the 13 previous price changes, announcing that we were going to 14 be launching it in the future. It was not integral to 15 that price change, because we were not going to be able 16 to launch it until November. 17 Q. Yes. Just on the point about incremental investment, so 18 you can see free BT Call Protect is listed underneath 19 solus customers, dual and triple customers. I think the 2.0 situation of the box indicates that it is a give for the 21 purposes of both solus and dual and triple customers. 2.2 ves? 23 Yes. I do not know why -- I do not know what the last Α. 24 box is, but, yes, I do not know why it was not in there 25 as well.

- Q. What do you mean by the "last box"? The blacked out?
   A. Yes.
   Q. No, I do not either, Ms Blight. We do not need to worry
- 3 Q. No, I do not either, Ms Blight. We do not need to worry 4 about that
- 5 A. But, yes, it is available for all line customers.
- Q. Can you see that in distinction to the box immediately
  below, which has an orange border, that one has a dark
- 8 blue border?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10~ Q. Then can you see the key in the bottom right of the
- 11 screen?
- 12 A. Yes.
- $13\,$   $\,$  Q. So that shows that because it has the dark blue border
- 14 that means no incremental investment. You can see that,15 yes?
- 16 A. Which means that we have already budgeted for it.
- 17~ Q. Now, as I say, it is identified here as a give in
- relation to the 2017/2018 price change process, so that
  raises the philosophical question you identified with me
  before lunch.
- 21 So by this stage, you had already referred to
- 22 Call Protect and used it as part of your package of
- 23 gives for the 16/17 price change, had you not? You had
- 24 done that?

A. We had already informed people that a product we knew

#### 109

- was very important to customers would be coming down the
   line. It was not central to that first comms.
   Q. So you had informed people; that is including Ofcom,
- 4 I think? We might come back to this, but you told Ofcom 5 about this give as part of the --
- A. Well, yes, because it was in progress and it was an area
  they were particularly interested in, being that it is
  about protecting consumers.
- 9 Q. So just to be clear: used in the context of the 16/17
- 10 price increase which came into force in July 2016, but
- 11also being considered here as part of the justification12for the next set of price increases, yes?
- 13 A. It was more central in this communication because the
   product had been launched, and it was important that we
   grew the customer base for the benefit of the users.
- 18 It will just pop up on your screen, Ms Blight.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 22 28 September 2016 to a number of people, including you,
- 23 Ms Blight? Can you see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25~ Q. Miss Van–Daal was the Head of Broadband and Voice at
  - 110

- 1 this stage?
- 2 A. In transformation. So she works in Sales and Service, 3 as you can see there. 4 Q. Yes, exactly, immediately above. 5 A. Yes. She is not part of the Pricing or Commercial or 6 Product teams. 7 Q. So that email is dated 28 September 2016, so it is 8 a couple of days before the slides we were just looking 9 at. They were dated 30 September 2016. A. Okay. 10 11 Q. Then can we go on to page 3,  $\{F/488/3\}$ . Can you see under the heading "Gives: Strategy", "BT Call Protect", 12 13 and can you see there Ms Van-Daal says: 14 "How do we pitch and overcome the negativity of 15 using the nuisance calls message in two consecutive 16 Price Change messages (i.e. you told me my prices were 17 going up last year and said the nuisance calls product 18 would compensate. You're telling me again about the 19 same benefit, but my prices are going up again)." 2.0 Then first bullet point: 21 "JP has mentioned that before." 22 That is John Petter, Consumer's CEO at the time? 23 A. That is my guess. 24 Q. "Customers can order it now."

### 111

- 1 "Valid comment. Need a good defence statement." 2 Yes?
- 5 email, "Afternoon all?"

Third bullet:

25

- 6 Q. We do not need to read the full chain.
- 7~ A. This is page 3, so I do not have access to it .
- 8~ Q. It goes in reverse chronological order. I am going to
- 9 come to the preceding email in a moment.
- 10~ A. So I cannot see the email chain before commenting, is
- 11 that what you are saying?
- 12 Q. Yes, if you would like to start at page 1, yes.
- 13  $\,$  A. That would be helpful. Can somebody do that for me or
- 14 give me a paper copy?  $\{F/488/1\}$  (Pause)
- Could you move to the next email from Laura.
   {F/488/2} (Pause)
- 17 The last email or the first email. {F/488/3}
- 18 Q. So that is the email I read the extract from. It is
- only the "Gives: Strategy" bit that I am interested inat this stage. (Pause)
- 21 A. Okay. Sorry, could you repeat your question?
- 22 Q. Yes. So we see there from the darker shaded bullet
- 23 points, Ms Van-Daal is specifically questioning the use
- of BT Call Protect as a message in two consecutive price
  - changes, is she not?

- 1~ A. I think she is giving the potential feedback of certain
- 2 customer segments, and in particular some of our more
- 3 cynical contact centre staff, whose perception,
- 4 considering we initially briefed it as a bigger give,
- would be that we were using it in two consecutive.
   Whereas the reality is, when we did the comms for the
- 6 Whereas the reality is, when we did the comms for the 7 first one, we ended up having to bring it down quite far
- 8 in terms of the hierarchy of comms, because we were
- 9 delaying the product launch, and then we needed to make
- 10 sure that we were making it clear that this was
- 11 a different message.
- 12 So I think she is quite rightly calling out, you
- 13 know, a series of risks, and we need to be really clear
- 14 as to what the messaging is and how this is different
- 15 from our last message.
- 16 Q. She is saying:
- "Valid comment. Need a good defence statement."
   Is she not, in the final bullet points?
- 19 A. I actually do not really understand the three bullets
- $2\,0\,$   $\qquad$  because they seem to have like a weird grammar. I do
- 21  $\,$  not know if they are replies to the other. I do not
- 22 \$\$ know if that is her saying it , or someone else, if she
- 23 is playing back the feedback from the CXDA team.
- 24 Q. Then if we could look at the email immediately above 25 that in the chain. Also from Ms Van–Daal.  $\{F/488/2\}$ .

1		It is the top of the page, "Morning everyone".
2		Then you can see under "Gives Strategy":
3		"AP Kat and Nirja: We need very careful positioning
4		in the pre-notification comms and strong defence
5		statements for advisers, should customers take exception
6		to BT Call Protect being used as a justification for
7		Price Change two years in a row."
8		So again, it is identifying an issue with using the
9		same give as a justification for two successive price
10		changes?
11	Α.	It is recognising a potential issue, and that we need to
12		be careful about how we articulate it so that it is not
13		confusing for customers who might have thought that we
14		were repeating ourselves.
15	Q.	You say "confusing for customers". The point she is
16		identifying is that customers have been told that one
17		price increase is in part justified by BT Call Protect,
18		and it is now being contemplated that the same give is
19		going to be used for a further price increase. That is
20		what she is identifying, is it not?
21	Α.	I think it would be helpful if you were referring to the
22		actual comms and what we said to customers, rather than
23		people's interpretations.
24	Q.	So lastly on Call Protect. You say in your statement

25 you think that BT was the first service provider to

114

- 1 launch a product of this nature?
- $2 \quad \ \ A. \ \ On \ the \ lines, \ yes.$
- 3~ Q. Other providers did also offer such products during the
- 4 claim period, so (inaudible) 1 October 2015. Other
- 5 providers also introduced such products during that
- 6 period, did they not?7 A. Sorry, in what time period
- A. Sorry, in what time period?Q. In the claim period, 1 October 2015 onwards.
- 8 Q. In the claim period,9 A. Until now?
- 10 Q. Between then and now. I can show you some of the
- 11 documents, if it is helpful.
- 12 A. It might be helpful if you did, because remember
- 13 I changed role shortly after this. So at the time of14 launching we were the only one.
- 15 Q. Yes, I understand that.
- 16 TalkTalk introduced a CallSafe product in
- 17 January 2018?
- 18  $\,$  A. So more than a year after we launched it?
- $19 \quad {\sf Q}. \ \ {\sf Please just answer my question}.$
- 20 A. I do not know. You are telling me.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Let us just take a pause there, Ms Blight.
- 22 I think what would be helpful is, when you have got the
- 23 question, just do your best to answer it directly . If
- you are not able to, then obviously say so.
- 25 A. Sure.

# 115

- 1~ THE CHAIRMAN: Try to keep it to that. There will be ample
- 2 opportunity for other matters to be explored with you in
- 3 re-examination. Thank you very much.
- 4 MR ARMITAGE: Yes, I am grateful.
- 5 I am just going to put the position as I understand 6 it. I can show you the underlying documents if it would
  - be helpful.
  - TalkTalk introduced a product called CallSafe
- 9 in January 18. Does that product ring a bell?
- 10~ A. I know nothing about that product.
- 11~ Q. Okay. Sky introduced a product called Talk Shield
- 12 in June 2017?

7

8

- 13 A. I know nothing about that.
- 14~ Q. Co-op also introduced a product called Choose to Refuse
- 15 during the claim period?
- 16 A. When was that?
- 17 Q. That -- well, let us have a look at the date. I am not
- 18 going to spend time on this, Ms Blight.
- 19 A. I am really sorry.
- 20  $\,$  Q. If you are unable to assist , that is fine .
- 21 A. I was living in America.
- 22 Q. I quite understand.
- 23 Let us turn to a different give. Right Plan.
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25~ Q. So that is an online tool which customers can use to

2

3 A. I think it could also be used in contact centres, but, 4 yes 5  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{As}\;\mathsf{distinct}\;\mathsf{from}\;\mathsf{online},\;\mathsf{is}\;\mathsf{that}\;\mathsf{the}\;\mathsf{point}\;\mathsf{you}\;\mathsf{are}\;\;$ 6 making? A. I am saying that it was -- you did not need to be 7 8 a broadband customer to access it. 9  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Ah, yes, you are pre-empting a question I was going to 10 ask you later. So it is true, you refer to it as an 11 online tool in your statement, yes? Let us just look at 12 that, paragraph 50. 13 A. I am sure I did, but I think the point -- if my memory 14 is correct, it was an online tool, but we could also use 15 it to support customers who were not online  $\{D/4/13\}$ . 16 Q. Yes, I see. So you say in your statement it is : 17 "... an online tool which customers can use to 18 assess their usage to determine the most appropriate plan for their specific needs. This was available to 19 20 the entirety of our Voice customer base in 2016, though 21 does require access to our website." 22 That is what you say in your statement. 23 You are saying in fact it did not require access to 24 vour website, is that right? 25 A. I thought it was available through a contact centre 117 1 adviser using the website and kind of our decisioning 2 process, but ... 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Let us move on, because it is common ground 4 it certainly was an online tool. A. Yes, it was an online tool, sure, yes. 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Let us proceed from there. 7 MR ARMITAGE: Yes. Now, and this comes back to the 8 correction you made at the start of your evidence. You 9 say in your statement you were involved in creating

assess their usage in order to determine the most

appropriate plan for their needs, yes?

- 10 Right Plan. You misrecollected --
- 11 A. Yes. No, I was not involved in creating it.
- 12 Q. What you said this morning was in fact it was created13 before your time but you were involved in managing it?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. Is that right?

16	Can I just show you, I do not know if you heard any
17	of Mr Bunt's oral evidence, but can we call up, please,
18	$\{Day6/148:1\}$ of the hearing transcripts, please.
19	That will appear on your screen. This is from
20	Mr Bunt's evidence I think yesterday.
21	Can you see beginning at line 19 he says:
22	"Okay, so the previous iteration of this idea was we
23	did not really get to a plan, but as a concept was that

- 24 Optimiser, as it was then known, would automatically
- 25 make the changes to customers' accounts. Instead we

118

1 changed it to sending customers a recommendation as to 2 what the best move would be for them, and then they 3 would have to act to switch." 4 So that is how it was originally conceived, 5 Ms Blight. Does that sound right? A. Yes. Can I just check, he is talking about 2014, 6 7 I think? 8  $\mathsf{Q}.~\mathsf{I}$  think that is right. Around that time. 9 A. So I am not certain, but I have no reason to disagree. 10 Q. Just on another point, just in terms of timings. Again, 11 I am not remotely trying to catch you out, Ms Blight, 12 but your statement refers to Right Plan in the present 13 tense. You say Right Plan "is" an online tool. In 14 fact, it was only offered between December 2014 and 15 October 2017. That is right, is it not? 16 A I do not know 17 Q. Mr Bunt actually clarified that in his evidence again. 18 Could we just call up  $\{Day5/14:1\}$ , just to show you 19 20 So beginning on the first line of the page, I think 21 that is Mr Beard bringing up some clarifications in 22 relation to Mr Bunt's written evidence. He talks about: 23 ' ... annex 1 ... there is another date ... 24 Mr Bunt savs: 25 "'Right Plan' ... should be 'December 2014 to

#### 119

1		October 2017', rather than 'to date'."
2		You do not have any reason to doubt that, do you?
3	Α.	No, no. I do not think I yes.
4	Q.	You had not intended anything by the use of the present
5		tense?
6	Α.	No, not at all . I think I was just explaining what it
7		is . Yes, maybe it is grammatically wrong more than
8		I am not trying to mislead anything here.
9	Q.	So during the period of just under three years when it
10		was in force, you have confirmed it was an $opt-in$
11		service, as distinct from the automatic service that was
12		originally conceived of, so it follows that only
13		customers who actually used it could have received any
14		benefits, yes?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	You say in your statement it was an online tool.
17		Assuming that to be correct, it would not be much good
18		to a customer who did not have the internet, would it?
19	Α.	Not unless they had someone else help them, no.
20	Q.	So Voice Only Customers do not have the internet by
21		definition , yes?
22	Α.	Sorry, you mean the VO Yes.
23	$\cap$	NOC

- 23 Q. VOC.
- 24  $\,$  A. Sorry, I am just not very familiar with those words.
- 25~ Q. I appreciate that. I think "truly solus" is the phrase

- 1 that BT -- that perhaps you are more familiar with?
- 2 A. Yes. Thank you. Sorry.
- $3 \quad \ \ {\rm Q.} \ \ {\rm VOCs \ for \ these \ purposes.}$
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So not a particularly meaningful give from their
- 6 perspective, yes, insofar as it is an online tool?
- A. I think that Home Phone Saver, Line Rental Plus and
   others are probably more relevant, probably, although
- 9 I guess we were not sure who was who.
- 10 Q. I see. Now, in fact, the point that I have just made,
- 11 online only, and the relevance therefore to VOCs, truly
- 12 solus, that is an issue that Mr Murray, who was a senior 13 figure in the -- Stuart Murray, a senior figure in the
- 13 figure in the -- Stuart Murray, a senior figure in the
- 14Regulatory Team, specifically raised about using15Right Plan as a justification for the 2016/2017 price
- 16 increase. That is right? Can you recall that?
- 17 A. I do not remember. It may have been in one of the many 18 emails that I have seen.
- 19 Q. Can we look at {F/414/1}. You should have that in hard 20 copy.
- 21 A. Yes, I do, thank you.
- 22 Q. You discuss this document actually in your statement,
- 23 so --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25~ Q. -- you will have seen it rather more recently than in

- 1 your time as GM at Voice, yes? 2 A. Yes, I think so. 3  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  It is dated April 2016. Can we pick it up at page 4 {F/414/5}, please. 5 A. Yes 6 Q. Can you see the bullets -- so there is a second bullet 7 point on the page: 8 "Retained Home Phone Saver and Right Plan." 9 Then can you see the second bullet point underneath: 10 "Right Plan allows customers to check if they could 11 be on a better value calling plan (70k customers have 12 used this, with many more calling for adviser account reviews)." 13 14 Sorry, Ms Blight, I should take a step back before 15 diving into the detail there. 16 This is a document that was prepared for Ofcom; that 17 is right, is it not, a briefing document? 18 A. I think it is ... 19 Q. In draft. A. Yes. I mean. I think a variant of this was also used 20 21 for other internal briefing and other kind of PR and 2.2 reg. But yes, essentially .  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Yes, you make that point, no need to turn it up, at 23
- 2.4 paragraph 24 of your statement that it is a "draft
- 24paragraph 24 of your statement, that it is a "draft25pricing brief" for Ofcom, but you say there it would
  - 122

1 also have been used internally. 2 But Ofcom is the ultimate intended audience of this 3 sort of document, yes? 4 A. Yes. I mean there are lots of documents like this for 5 different groups, but yes. Q. Now looking at that second white bullet point on page 5, 6 7 it is a detail about Right Plan. Then can you see there is a little box with "MR14"? Can you see that just at 8 9 the end of the sentence? 10 A. Yes. I can. 11 Q. If you go over the page  $\{F/414/6\}$ , you see that MR14 is 12 a comment from Mr Murrav? 13 A. Yes 14 Q. He says: 15 "For those who don't have BB how do they access 16 Right Plan? Do they call us? If and when they do, how 17 do we deal with them?" 18 Sorry. I am going too fast. 19 "And actually, how many do call us?" 20 A. Yes 21 Q. So that is Mr Murray's comments. So Mr Murray is making 2.2 the point I made a moment ago, he is querying the 23 usefulness of Right Plan for customers without 24 broadband, is he not? 25 A. Yes. I honestly thought that we were doing account 123 1 reviews if people phoned up, which is actually what it 2 says, "with many more calling for adviser account 3 reviews". 4 Q. I accept that. He does refer to the possibility they 5 might call up. 6 A. He is asking the question of me. I do not know how 7 I answered at the time. 8 Q. No, I do not think we have that, Ms Blight. I am just 9 making a limited point. He is raising the point that 10 I raised about the efficacy of this give for a group who 11 do not have access to the internet -12 A. Sure 13  $Q_{--}$  an online tool A. I do not disagree with you. 14

- 15 Q. In terms of this possibility of calling up and -- yes,
- 16 can we look at  $\{F/852/1\}$ . This will only be on the
- $17 \qquad$  screen, Ms Blight. If we could blow it up, please, so
- 18 we can all see it .
- 19 This is an email chain involving Mr Bunt from
- 20 May 2015. You are not on the email chain, it is before
- 21 your time, Ms Blight. I am just going to show it to you
- to give you an opportunity to comment on one point.
- 23 A. Sure
- 24~ Q. Can you see there is an email from Hazel Morgan on the
- screen, 15 May 2015, at 11.43, and it is sent to Mr Bunt

February 7, 2024

1

-		
2	earlier on Call Protect.	
3	Then if we go over the page, $\{F/852/2\}$ .	
4	A. Sorry, I had not quite finished on that. Could you give	
5	me one more second, please?	
6	Q. Of course. (Pause)	
7	A. Sure, sorry.	
8	Q. If we could go over to page 2, please. By all means	
9	skim through, but I am only interested in the small bit	
10	of text towards the bottom under the heading "Right	
11	Plan".	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Could we just have it widened, please?	
13	Thank you.	
14	A. Yes.	
15	MR ARMITAGE: So it looks as though, if a customer called up	
16	and specifically asked to be signed up to Right Plan,	
17	then your advisers would have been aware of where it	
18	sits , but it would not proactively be promoted by $BT's$	
19	advisers. That is what it says, is it not?	
20	A. It does, although my interpretation is, and this is an	
21	inference, in fairness, that is because you would expect	
22	an adviser to help the customer with a new plan, rather	
23	than direct them to an online tool for them to do it	
24	off—line —— sorry, online, if you see what I mean. So	
25	you should just help the customer.	
	125	
	120	

and others, including Ms Van-Daal who we saw commenting

- 1 Q. Rather than promoting sign-up to the Right Plan service? 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Because that is not what it says here, is it?
- 4 A. No, it is not, but it does not say: do not give them
- 5 offers retention deals, either. It does not say: do not
- put them on a different plan. It is saying: do not --6
- 7 so I am inferring from that, that that would be a
- 8 poor -- because from what I know, that would be a poor 9 customer experience.
- 10 Q. So only available online, subject to this possibility of 11 calling up, but an online tool. Not actively promoted
- 12 by BT advisers, as this email says.
- 13 A. But it also --
- Q. I am sorry, I heard Mr Beard say it is not what it says. 14 15 "Advisers should not promote sign-up ...'
- 16 A. Sorry, can I just explain my interpretation. So it says 17 "not promote [sign-ups]"; that is not pro-actively
- 18 saying, hey, sign up to this thing, when they could be
- 19 helping the customer. But if a customer calls up saying
- 2.0 they have not been able to use the online tool, if they
- 21 have access to it an agent can use it as a tool, which
- 2.2 is -- so it reinforces what I had thought, which is that
- 23 advisers were able to use it as an online tool to help
- 24 someone on the phone. But I would always be 25
- recommending advisers to help the customer rather than

126

- 1 be directing them to an online tool.
- 2 Q. Now, Ms Blight, the use of Right Plan was, as it
- 3 transpired, pretty limited, was it not? You do not deal 4 with this in your statement, but it was pretty limited?
- 5 A. I am not sure.
- Q. Can we look at  $\{F/424/1\}$ , please. Perhaps blow it up. 6
- 7 I think you will have this in hard copy, Ms Blight.
- 8 Heading, "IR briefing on July 2016 price changes".
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. Would this have been a press briefing, Ms Blight, or an
- 11 internal briefing? 12 A. I think "IR" is investor relations.
- 13
- Q. Do you remember seeing this document before?
- 14 A. I do not remember it, but I suspect, based on the time, 15
- that I was part of it . I have got comments --16 Q. Given that it relates to the July --
- 17 A. -- so, yes, I must have.
- 18 Q. Exactly. There are various comments. I do appreciate
- 19 it is a while ago.
- 20 Now, can we scroll down on the EPE. Could we blow
- 21 it up and scroll down. Yes. Can it go any wider?
- 22 Thank you. 23
  - Do you see the bullet point beginning "Right Plan"?
- 24 Above the word "Timeline". It is the final ...
- 25 A. Yes

# 127

- 1 Q. Perhaps just read that to yourself, Ms Blight. (Pause) 2 A. Yes, I have read that. 3 Q. So you see the reference to Right Plan having been 4 introduced two years ago. So this is a document from 5 April 2016. 6 "So far, nearly 120,000 customers have used this 7 service, saving an average of £10 a month each." 8 Do you see that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So the implication there is that 120,000 customers have 11 saved an average of  $\pounds 10$  a month each. That is what the 12 words used on the page mean? 13 A. That is what it says, yes. 14 Q. That is not actually correct, though, Ms Blight, because 15 if we could look at an earlier version of this document 16 which is at  $\{F/404/1\}$ . 17 A. Sure. 18 Q. I am so sorry --19 A. Sorry, what does this one say?
- 20 Q. So if we can blow it up again for the EPE.
- 21 I said it was an earlier version of this document.
- 2.2 It is an earlier version in time. This one is entitled
- "Email Briefing for Journalists", so it may not be for 23
- 2.4 the exact same audience. But if you look towards the
- 25 bottom of the first page, can you see there the text

- 1 "Right Plan"? Perhaps if you just read it to yourself.
- $2 \qquad \ \ \, \mbox{You will see it is essentially the same text that we saw}$
- 3 on the previous document. (Pause)
- 4 A. Sure.

Q.	I do not know actually	, it is	somewhat adv	anced, but if
	we could have the two	cida h	cide so if w	a could also

- we could have the two side by side, so if we could also
  have {F/424/1}?
  Can you see it is essentially the same wording ---
- 8 Can you 9 A. Yes, sure.
- Q. -- save that there is a track change in the final
  sentence:
- 12 "So far, nearly ... "
- 13 So this is the document on the left.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. "So far, nearly 120k customers have benefited from this
   service."
   So at this stage what was being said at this stage
- was "benefited from" rather than "used". Can you see
- 19 that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\,$  Q. Then there is a comment "JB5" on the document on the
- $22 \qquad \mbox{ left} \ . \ \ \mbox{If you go over the page on that document,}$
- 23  ${\rm \{F/404/2\}},$  and then you look at "JB5", it is
- 24 Jonathan Bunt, and can you see that he says:
- 25 "Only 10k have really benefited but 120k have used,

#### 129

1		up to you how you want to word this."
2	Α.	Mm-hm.
3	Q.	Do you see that?
4	Α.	Yes, I do.
5	Q.	But then going back to the document on the right, we see
6		that the wording has become:
7		"Nearly 120,000 customers have used this service
8		" 
9		Which reflects Mr Bunt's comments, so it is "used"
10		rather than "benefited". But, as you agreed a moment
11		ago:
12		" saving an average of £10 a month each."
13		So although the word "benefited" has been changed to
14		"used", what we now see is it is suggested that all of
15		those 120,000 customers had saved on average £10 a month
16		each. But in light of Mr Bunt's comment, that is
17		completely wrong, is it not?
18	Α.	I do not know what the answer is because I actually am
19		surprised by both of those. Neither of those totally
20		ring true $$ correct to me. So I confess I do not think
21		I know what the actual data would show.
22	Q.	Okay. Let us take Mr Bunt at his word.
23	MF	R BEARD: Hold on, we had Mr Bunt here earlier. He was not
24		asked about any of these things. It is a strange line
25		of cross-examination in relation to this material.

130

1	
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, where we have got to at the moment is
2	that the witness says she does not think either of these
3	statements ring particularly true.
4	MR ARMITAGE: Can we look at a somewhat later document.
5	(Pause)
6	Just sticking with that document, just to be clear,
7	I am not calling into question what Mr Bunt says. If
8	Mr Bunt is correct that only 10,000 of the 120,000 users
9	have benefited from Right Plan, taking that as correct,
10	it cannot be right that each of the 120,000 have made
11	a saving of £10 a month, can it?
12	A. Well, no. Well, in order for that to be true, the
13	10,000 would have to have saved an absurd amount of
14	money, so it seems unlikely that both of those are true.
15	I think neither of them really quite $$ I do not really
16	understand either statement, to be honest.
17	Q. Okay. Sticking with the second form of wording, it
18	certainly cannot be right, can it, that each of the
19	120,000 users have made a saving of $\pounds10$ a month on
20	average?
21	A. It seems unlikely.
22	Q. Can we look then at $\{F/516/1\}$ . This looks like another
23	press Q&A document. Do you see the date at the top,
24	January 20, 2017?
25	A. Yes.
20	
	131
1	Q. Now, that, I accept, is slightly after your time as GM
2	at Voice, but I am just going to give you an opportunity
3	to comment again.

3	to comment again.
4	Can we look at page 11 of the document, please.
5	$\{F/516/11\}.$ Can you see towards the middle of the page:
6	"How does Right Plan work for the customer?
7	"You can access it online to ask us to run
8	a report on your calling pattern. After we've looked at
9	what type of calls you make and their time and duration,
10	the programme will recommend the best value calling plan
11	for the customer."
12	Then can you see a couple of entries below:
13	"How many customers have used Right Plan last and on
14	average how much did they save?"
15	Then it says this:
16	"Over the past two and a half years since it was
17	introduced, more than 200,000 customers have registered
18	for Right Plan."
19	So somewhat more than the figures we saw in the
20	earlier documents, as one might expect?
21	A. Later in time, yes.
22	Q. 200,000 rather than 120,000 users.
23	"Not everyone received a recommendation to switch
24	plans, but customers who did switch saved on average
25	£11.24 a month."

- 1 Yes? So the document is quite properly recognising
- 2 now that not everyone who uses the service receives
- 3 a benefit in terms of a saving, yes?
- A. In terms of a saving, yes. They do not necessarily make 4 5 a change to their calling plan. They may find that they 6 are on the right calling plan.
- 7 Q. So the numbers who benefited in terms of making a saving 8 are not presented in this document?
- 9 A. That found they were on the right plan already?
- 10 Q. Yes, I said: the numbers benefiting in terms of making a
- 11 saving are not presented in this document?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. In light of Mr Bunt's comment on the earlier document,
- one would think the numbers who made a saving would be 14 15 significantly less than the total number of users. That 16 stands to reason, does it not?
- A. I have no idea what the actual numbers are. 17
- 18 Q. For customers who did make a saving, identified here as
- 19 £11.24 a month on average, the implication is that they
- 20 were not previously on a suitable calling plan for them,
- 21 is it not? It was too expensive. That is why they
- 22 realised a saving, yes?
- 23 A. Mm-hm.

- 24 Q. So in relation to those customers, Right Plan is not
  - adding any additional value, is it? It is bringing them

#### 133

- 1 up to a calling plan that suits their particular usage, 2 yes, and realising a saving in that way?
- 3 A. Assuming that they continue to have the same usage as 4 they have had in the previous month, then, yes.
- 5 Q. Putting them on a more appropriate plan for their own 6 usage?
- 7 A. Based on their usage from a previous month.
- 8 Q. Now, focusing just on those who have used the service,
- 9 apparently 200,000 at the date of this document in
- 10 January 2017, I gave the figure earlier of around
- 9 million for the total Voice customer base at this 11
- 12 stage. That is a pretty small proportion of the overall 13 customer base who use the service, is it not, Ms Blight? 14 It is around 2%?
- 15 A. That registered for Right Plan, rather than use another 16 way of getting on to a more appropriate calling plan for 17 them.
- 18  ${\sf Q}. \ \mbox{Focusing on this particular give that you rely on in }$
- 19 your statement as a justification for a particular price 20 increase, yes?
- 21 A. Well, this is a service, and in one of the many ways
- 2.2 there will have been hundreds of thousands of people
- 23 that contacted us and re-contracted, changed bundles,
- 24 added or dropped call plans over this time period as
- 25 well.

134

- 1 Q. So out of around 9 million customers, about 2% have used
- 2 the service at this stage, yes, 200,000?
- 3 A. That is -- I will believe your maths.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{I}$  would not do that. Someone will no doubt hand me 4
- 5 a calculator if I have that wrong. So that is users.
- We know that a significantly smaller proportion than 6
- 7 that will have actually made a financial saving as
- 8 a result of using the service; Mr Bunt's comments?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. For those who have not used it, so the 9 million minus
- 11 the 200,000 or thereabouts, there is no benefit at all
- 12 from the service. is there?
- 13 A. Not ...
- 14 Q. By definition?
- 15 A. By definition, I guess there is a chance that because we 16
- were promoting it, it led to them contacting us in 17 a different way and reassessing -- because we are also
- 18 sort of saving, hev, you might not be on the right
- 19
- calling plan. They could have changed calling plan in
- 20 other methods, rather than going to the website, that 21
- specific website.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  So it is a direct use of the service . The 9 million 22 23 minus 200.000 who have not used it have not benefited
- 24 from the service. have thev? 25
  - A. Yes, sure.

# 135

1 (Pause)

-		(1 4455)
2	Q.	What is implicit in the text here, those who did receive
3		a recommendation to switch plans saved on average
4		£11.24. I say implicit; I mean explicit.
5		By definition, many of the customers who did not use
6		the service would have made a saving had they used the
7		service. That must be right, Ms Blight?
8	Α.	I am not certain that follows, because there is going to
9		be a usage bias towards people that think they can save
10		money and want to explore that, so I do not know if that
11		is representative.
12	Q.	I did not say it is representative, but it must be right
13		that a significant proportion of the non-users would
14		have been able to realise a saving had they used the
15		service as a customer, is that not right?
16	Α.	I do not know that that is necessarily $$ it depends
17		what you mean by "significant".
18	Q.	It just so happened, did it, that the ones who used it
19		were the ones who were capable of making savings; that
20		cannot be right, can it, Ms Blight?
21	Α.	No, I think that would make more sense if they
22		over-indexed, because if you are someone who feels your
23		bill is high, you are more likely to engage with
24		something like Right Plan. So I think that stands to
25		reason if you are being rational as a customer.

3

1

2.4

25

- 1 Q. Let us look at another document on Right Plan.
- $\{F/232/1\}$ , 7 March 2014. Can you see that on the front?
- It is about the Project Window update.
- 4 A Sure
- 5 Q. I accept this is before your time as GM at Voice.
- 6 Again, I just want to give you the opportunity to
- 7 comment on what is said about the service here, because
- 8 you discuss it in your statement, you rely on it.
- 9 Can you look at page  $\{F/232/3\}$  of the document.
- 10 A. "Executive Summary", okay.
- 11 Q. "Executive Summary". Can you see:
- 12 "Optimiser – Concept updated to "Right Plan"."
- 13 That is the Right Plan service that we have been
- 14 discussing. We saw earlier it had originally been
- 15 called Optimiser. That is what Mr Bunt said yesterday.
- 16 A. Sure, yes.
- 17 Q. Then can you see the heading:
- 18 "This has the following benefits ... "
- 19 First benefit:
- 2.0 "Significantly lowers volume risk in call centres as
- 21 no immediate saving for an agent to offer customers."
- 22 Now, "volume risk" means the risk of lots of
- customers calling up; is that right? 23
- 24 A. I -- let me just make sure I have read it, because ...
- 25 (Pause) I think so.

#### 137

- 1  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{As}\;\mathsf{I}\;\mathsf{read}\;\mathsf{it}\,,\;\mathsf{the}\;\mathsf{point}\;\mathsf{being}\;\mathsf{made}\;\mathsf{here}\;\mathsf{is}\;\mathsf{because}\;\mathsf{this}\;$ 2 is not an immediate saving that can be offered to 3 customers, customers are less likely to phone up about 4 it than if it was an immediate saving. Is that right? 5 A. Well, it is also that they can access it without phoning up. So phoning up creates cost and customer experience 6 7 issues and so on, but, yes. 8 Q. Because it is principally an online tool? 9 A. That is what it is saving here. I think. 10 Q. Then the second benefit identified --11 A. Well, there is a coupon -12 Q. Sorry, I did not mean to speak over you. 13 A. That was my fault. Sorry, I just realised it says about 14 a coupon, which obviously is not online, but I do not 15 know if that --16 Q. Yes, that is in the second bullet. I am coming to that. 17 A. Sorry. 18 Q. No, no, do not apologise. 19 Second bullet: 2.0 "Limits financial exposure due to the need to click 21 through an email/send coupon to redeem offer.' 2.2 That is financial exposure to BT that is being referred to there, is it not, "limits final exposure"? 23 24 A. Yes. I would assume so. 25 Q. Yes. So BT is saying here that the  $--\ensuremath{\,\text{I}}$  do not mean to
  - 138

be pejorative -- but the hoops that a customer has to 2 jump through to get the savings which may be available 3 through the use of Right Plan are a benefit for BT, 4 because they limit BT's financial exposure, yes? 5 A. That is what they are saying here. Q. As distinct from an immediate saving that could be 6 7 offered to customers, yes? 8 A. Yes. I mean, it also talks about the relevant 9 recommendation. I mean, this is -- yes. 10  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{Yes},\;\mathsf{I}\;\;\mathsf{was}\;\mathsf{going}\;\mathsf{to}\;\mathsf{come}\;\mathsf{on}\;\mathsf{to}\;\mathsf{the}\;\mathsf{third}\;\mathsf{bullet},\;\;\mathsf{yes}.\;\;$ 11 But the first two bullet points, it is right to say, 12 Ms Blight, are benefits to BT, not to BT's customers. 13 ves? 14 A. Yes 15 Q. Financial exposure to BT -- limiting financial exposure 16 to BT. The flip side of that is limiting the consumer 17 benefit in terms of financial savings. They are two 18 sides of the same coin, are they not? 19 A. Yes. I mean, I personally think that auto-involvement 20 or auto-migration has other customer experience issues 21 that are not covered here, but I do not know if we are 22 going to cover the documents where I looked at doing 23 migrations. 24 Q. I am not sure I am going to cover them but Mr Beard may. 25 I see. Sorry, just in terms of the question 139 1 I asked, limiting financial exposure to BT, you agreed 2 that meant to BT, that is the other side of the coin of 3 reducing the financial benefit. I appreciate you 4 mentioned a different ...

- A. That is what it is saying here. I was not managing it 5 6 at this time, but I agree with you that is what this is 7 saying here.
- 8 Q. Well, it is what it is saying, but that is also correct, 9 is it not? If it is limiting BT's financial exposure, 10
- it must also be limiting the possible financial benefit 11 for consumers, yes? Α. (Pause). I think they correlate, but not -- it is not
- 12 13 one-to-one. Because one of the challenges of moving 14 people to the right plans is that you are making an 15 assumption about their behaviour in the last however 16 many weeks or months, and then assuming that they want 17 to continue making that behaviour. So if you changed 18 your calling behaviour, either changing time of day, 19 changing your level of usage, then you could actually be 20 being put on to a plan where you are financially worse 21 off 2.2 So it is -- that is partly why I do not like 23 auto-migration, because it removes the choice from the
  - 140

customer, and you limit -- actually creating harm, as

well as potentially saving money.
- 1 Q. Okay. But assuming a customer does not have a sudden
- 2 change in usage patterns at the very point at which they
- 3 use the service
- 4 A. People change their usage behaviours all the time.
- 5 Q. They may well do. But assuming they do not materially
- change their usage patterns at the very point at which 6
- 7 they take advantage of this service, limiting financial
- 8 exposure to BT means limiting financial benefit to
- 9 consumers, and it is one-for-one, is it not?
- 10 A. I do not think it is one-for-one for the reasons I just 11 said, but I do agree that they are correlated, and
- 12 therefore if we give fewer discounts and fewer offers to
- 13 customers, then, yes, they are getting fewer discounts
- 14 and fewer offers. It is sort of a ...
- 15 Q. Can you just see above the words "This has the following benefits" there is a bullet point: 16
- 17 "By signing up, the customer gives us consent to
- 18 analyse their usage every month (for 6 months) and to
- 19 send an email with a recommendation to move to the right 20 calling plan.'
- 21 So it is based on a detailed analysis of
- 22 a customer's usage plans, is it not?

- 23 A. In this document. I do think in previous things I have
- 24 seen, I think it was analysis of the last month, so I do
  - not know which was launched or if it changed between

## 141

- these two times, but yes. But that obviously needed an 1 2 opt-in from a data protection plan. 3 Q. Can we just look at page  $\{F/232/6\}$  of the document. 4 A. Sure Q. Can you see the heading "Cost of Living - Right Plan", a 5 6 description of Right Plan, and then the words: 7 "This has a cannibalisation risk of £0.8m in 14/15." 8 "Cannibalisation risk"; we have heard similar 9 phrases already in this trial and seen them in various 10 documents. That is a reference to what BT will lose as 11 a result of customers using the service and switching on 12 to more advantageous plans from the perspective of the 13 consumer. Cheaper plans, essentially, yes? 14 A. Sorry, can I just have a second to read the commercials 15 because I have not read this before. 16 Q. Sure. (Pause) 17 A. Sorry, could you ask your question again. 18  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{Yes},\;\mathsf{I}$  am just trying to hone in on the meaning of the 19 words ---20 A. On the cannibalisation. 21 Q. "Cannibalisation risk of 0.8m". That means essentially 2.2
- BT's financial exposure in terms of customers switching 23 from more expensive plans to cheaper plans. That must 24 be what it means, yes?
- 25 A. I think what they are showing is an in-year gross margin
  - 142

- 1 impact that they have estimated which is dependent upon
- 2 the average ARPU change as well as the volume of
- 3 customers. What does not seem to be included in their
- 4 analysis, which I would have done, is the churn impact.
- 5 But in this particular analysis, whoever did this, it
- does not seem to. 6
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, one second. The churn impact caused 8 by what?
- 9 A. The churn benefit. So by putting people on to a more
- 10 competitively priced plan --
- THE CHAIRMAN: You mean less churn, the potential for less 11 12 churn.
- 13 A. Yes. sorry, that is what I meant.
- THE CHAIRMAN: You mean because this is just showing the 14
- 15 possible revenue deficit.
- 16 A Yes
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Because people are on what will ultimately
- 18 prove to be a cheaper plan for them and therefore less
- 19 income for you.
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 MR DORAN: It does not show people who would leave, is that
- 22 the point you are -- if they were not corrected? 23
- A. I think it is a short-term and quite simplistic 24
- analysis. Sorry, Mr Bunt, seeing as you did it. 25
  - Because you could argue that by getting them to reaffirm

## 143

1 your relationship with them and going on to a calling 2 plan you are building that relationship, improving their 3 value for money perception and MPS, so there are other 4 benefits, so they are less likely to leave you, they are 5 more satisfied and they would be less likely to call you 6 another time which of course drives costs. 7 So there are a set of upsides which have not been 8 included in this business case or the impact in future 9 vears. 10 MR DORAN: Thank you. 11 MR ARMITAGE: You say a set of upsides. In fact, we see 12 bang in the middle of the page "Business Benefits". So benefits to BT. Three are identified. So: PR message, 13 14 opportunity to lock customers in on UAC. That is 15 Unlimited Anytime Calling plan. So that is I think part 16 of the point you were making about --A. Yes, I do not know if it was a re-contract in this or 17 18 not. But, yes, it is getting someone onto a fixed 19 revenue product rather than a variable revenue product. 2.0 Q. Then -- nearly finished with this document and I have 21 one final document. Perhaps then would be a convenient 2.2 time for a break because I will have finished this give, 23 if that works 2.4 Page  $\{F/232/7\}$  of the same document. Can you see 25 the heading:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	"30% of customers are able to rationalise through
	the tool; multi-step redemption journey reduces
	financial risk ."
	Again, that is financial risk for BT, yes?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Consumer benefit being the other side of that coin,
	subject to the caveats you have made earlier, yes?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Then can you see under "Assumptions and Sensitives" we
	again see the reference to the cannibalisation risk of
	$\pounds 0.8$ million. Then I think you see the assumptions that
	underpin that analysis that you said was overly
	simplistic . You see there is an assumption about the
	volume of users, 400,000 in 14/15?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	We saw earlier, did not we, that only 200,000 people had
	used it by 2017. So that is an overestimate at the
	outset?
Α.	Yes, but there is other factors they underestimated
	assuming those other ARPU savings are correct, so
	I think in this they assumed there would be a $6.85 \text{ ARPU}$
	impact, whereas the document you showed me before was
	$\mathtt{\pounds10}/\mathtt{\pounds11}.$ When launching a new product it is hard to
	estimate the impact.
Q.	Yes, you are right on that one there is a slight
	145
	difference, you are right.
	The next one: percentage of customers receiving

- 1
- 2
- 3 offer to save, so that is of the users, what percentage
- 4 are actually being told that they can move on to 5 a cheaper plan, yes? 30% is the estimate?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. We saw earlier Mr Bunt's comment that 10,000 out of 8 120,000 users had actually benefited. It is rather less
- 9 than 30%, yes?
- 10 A. So I think they are different numbers. They are
- 11 related, but if assuming Mr Bunt's number is correct --
- 12 Q. You are right. No, I am sorry. You are right. This is 13 receiving the offer as opposed to actually taking up the 14 offer?
- 15 A. Exactly, so there is a disconnect then, so there might 16 be some that go, actually I am not going to take it now 17 and then phone us a week later.
- 18 Q. Yes, in fact as the next box says, 50% of those are --
- 19 only half of them who receive the offer are assumed to 20 actually open the email, yes?
- 21 A. Yes, that is actually quite high.
- 2.2 Q. Yes, and that is a point that is made under rationale:
- higher than any previous offer . So that is  ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}}$  am not 23
- 24 sure if it is conservative or the opposite of
- 25 conservative. It is a robust assumption, optimistic.

146

1 "Conversion rate from opened EM." 2 I assume that is email. That is 40%. Which again 3 is a very robust estimate if you look at the -4 A. Yes, it is a similar level as we would get with some of 5 our broadband re-contract campaigns. I do not know whoever wrote this document looked at what we were doing 6 7 in broadband. 8 Q. Yes, you used the word which is much more apt, 9 'optimistic" estimate rather than robust, yes. 10 A. I think the 40% sounds quite right. I think the open 11 rate is a bit high actually, but we are debating the 12 efficacy of marketing now. 13 Q. What we see there in terms of using the service down to 14 actually making a saving, there are really quite 15 a number of hoops that customers have to jump through 16 before they make a saving, yes? 17 A. I would say the same number of hoops as for most offers 18 in the market and generally in markets. I think this is 19 demonstrating how you calculate the impact of an email 20 campaign. 21 Q. Now, just one more document. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Just before we do that, on here, "ARPU loss 23 per regrade, £6.85", and we can see on the table at the 24 bottom right that is basically an average of the saving 25 depending on which particular call plan they are on,

- 147 1 yes? 2 A. Yes, that is my interpretation as well. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Then it says on the left-hand side: 4 "Based on ARPU impact of regrades by irrational." 5 I assume what that actually means is by those people 6 who were on an irrational plan in the sense of not the 7 best plan for them? 8 A. Yes. So my interpretation of this is that what they 9 were saying was that the people that use the tool but 10 find they are already on the most -- the best plan for 11 them, i.e. are rational, will not make a switch. 12 MR DORAN: Just to say, when you say that the anticipated 13 rate of opening at 50% or whatever, this is optimistic, 14 if you write to customers with a price offer or email, 15 nothing like these numbers, is that what you are --16 A. Typical DM campaigns, so direct marketing, so physical 17 letter with actually your name addressed is actually 2%. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 2%. 19 A. 2% response rate. 20 MR DORAN: So with an offer of something which is 21 advantageous financially. 22 A. Yes. 23 MR DORAN: 2% 24 A. Yes. You have to send a lot of pieces of paper to
- 25 certain people, to encourage people. Even something

- 1 that is saving money. EM tends to be a little bit
- 2 better but a 50% open rate with then a 30% -- 40%
- 3 conversion, sorry, is what a 20% essentially net
- response rate versus say 2% that you might get. So it 4
- 5 is -- ves, it is a very compelling offer, and I can
- attest that when we did like free fibre upgrades, that 6
- 7 was the kind of response rates that we would get.
- MR DORAN: Free fibre upgrades? 8
- 9 A. Yes, so not even a contract.
- 10 MR DORAN: So you cannot give it away!
- 11 A. Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: On that note, we are going to take our break 12 13 now. Thank you.
- 14 (3.21 pm)

25

- (A short break)
- 16 (3.31 pm)
- MR ARMITAGE: Just to finish off then on Right Plan, we were 17
- 18 looking at what BT described as financial exposure in
- relation to this give. Could we look at just one more 19
- 20 document which is from your time, it is at  $\{F/386/1\}$ .
- 21 I hope you have got that one, "16/17 Pricing and
- 2.2 Portfolio".
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. It is a draft document. But it relates, does not it, to
  - the 16/17 price increase in which you were involved?

#### 149

- 1 A. Yes. it does.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  So you would have seen this document before being 2 3 involved in preparing it, probably? 4 A. Yes. Q. Then can we just look at page  $\{F/386/5\}.$  Can you just 5 see, top right, "Right Plan III"? Then can you see 6 7 " financials ", minus  $\pounds 0.1$  million.
- 8 Sorry, just to take that in stages. Right Plan III,
- 9 I think this is the third occasion on which Right Plan
- 10 has been part of a package of gives?
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. We see the financials, minus 0.1 million. So that 13 I think must be the financial exposure of BT in terms of customers using the service and moving on to cheaper 14
- 15 plans, ves?
- 16 A. I actually think this is the incremental versus what was 17 in plan, but I am not -- it is not completely clear, but
- 18 I think it is the -- because it is a new plan and these
- 19 are things that we were getting sign off for, so we
- 20 would have budgeted a certain amount. I do not know
- 21 what the full exposure would be, but I would have
- 2.2 thought it would be higher than that.
- 23 Q. Higher than minus 0.1 million, Ms Blight?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. But it is pretty minuscule, is it not, applied in

150

- 1 context?
- 2 A. As a delta, yes.
- 3  $\mathsf{Q}.$  Yes. That is a reflection , as we established earlier ,
- 4 of the benefit to customers in terms of financial
- 5 benefit, yes?
- A. I am not sure 100k is -- was or not, but I think the 6
- 7 usage of people changing plan using Right Plan III is
- 8 obviously going to be a proportion of people that are
- 9 changing plan. You know, the bigger proportion of 10
- people changing plan is people changing bundles or 11 speaking to someone in the contact centre.
- 12
- Q. Yes. So in terms of this give, one of the four gives 13 that you rely on in your witness statement, let us come
- 14 back to that in a minute, a consumer benefit is very
- 15 limited, is it not?
- 16 A. In -- sorry, one of the four gives?
- Q. One of the four gives. This is one of the four gives 17
- 18 that you refer to in your statement?
- 19 A. I do not remember that I only stated four. I think you
- 20 are right. Yes, this is --
- 21 Q. Four main gives.
- 22 A. This is based on this data but I do think this is 23 incremental.
- 24 Q. Yes. Can we just go back to paragraph 50 of your
- 25 statement, Ms Blight. That is  $\{D/4/13\}$  for the Opus.

#### 151

1	Α.	Sure.
2	Q.	Perhaps we could just blow it up, please.
3		Paragraph 50 is where you deal with Right Plan. You
4		see, this is the final sentence:
5		"The aim of Right Plan was to ensure that customers
6		were receiving value for money whilst also encouraging
7		them to use their landlines and calling plans."
8		But we have now seen, have we not, that BT
9		identified the limited financial exposure to BT as the
10		benefit of this give, yes?"
11	Α.	In the 2014 document the changes to the strategy from
12		Optimiser was called out as that, I think.
13	Q.	Customers benefiting in terms of financial benefit was
14		identified as a "cannibalisation risk" to BT, yes?
15	Α.	In the way it was calculated in that, when it was in
16		that document you showed me, yes.
17	Q.	Yes. So that is Right Plan.
18		Could we now move to $$ sorry, in case there was any
19		confusion, when I talk about the four gives,
20		I appreciate you talk about some other matters which
21		I will also be asking you about, but at paragraph 43 of
22		your statement, just to show you that.
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	I did take you to it earlier .

- 25 A. These are Voice-specific gives, yes.

- Q. Well, I might ask you about that in a moment {D/4/11}.
  You say:
  "During my time as GM for Voice, we concentrated on
  four main Customer Gives: ... Call Protect [which we
  have looked at], Care Level Guarantees [which we are
- 6 going to come on to], Right Plan [which we have looked
- at] and onshoring [which is the one I am about to move
  on to] ... "
- 9 That is what you say were the four main customer 10 gives, yes?
- 11 A. Sure. The Care Level Guarantees --- I guess I could have written this better, because I am talking about a basket
- 12 written this better, because I am talking about a basket 13 of different activities, so Care Level 2, plus the Fault
- of different activities , so Care Level 2, plus the Fault
  Fix Guarantee, and changes to CAPC, that kind of thing.
- 15 Q. Yes, and we will come on to that.
- 16 If we could look, though, first, at the onshoring
- matter. So onshoring, that refers to call centres beingmoved from abroad to the UK, ves?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You say in your statement that you began to onshore 21 contact centres in 2016?
- 22 A. Yes, I think that was when we started doing it.
- 23  $\,$  Q. Let us look at paragraph 51 of your statement.  $\{D/4/13\}$
- 24  $\,$  A. Sure, yes, I think that is  $\,\ldots\,$  If there was activity
- 25 before that, I was not involved in it.

- 1  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{I}$  am not going to seek to correct you on this one, 2 Ms Blight. So, yes, that is in terms of the first 3 sentence of paragraph 51. Now, perhaps if you could 4 just read that paragraph to yourself. It is quite 5 a long paragraph. A. Sure. (Pause) 6 7  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Now, one of the things you say here is that there was: 8 " ... a subsection of customers that frequently 9 called contact centres for whom connecting them to an 10 adviser with a local accent was important." 11 That is what you say here, yes? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Those customers perceive a service that is delivered in 14 a local accent as a better service, yes? A. Yes 15 Q. So pausing there. I think the only point you are making 16 17 here about additional value arising from onshoring is 18 customers perceiving a local accent, rather than 19 a foreign accent, as a benefit. That is the benefit you 20 are identifying here, yes? 21 A. I think some other people at BT would say that the 2.2 service was better with onshore contact centre workers. 23 I guess this probably shows some of my cynicism, 24 a little bit.
- 24 a little bit.
- 25~ Q. You are cynical about the benefit arising from this
  - 154

- 1 particular give, are you, Ms Blight?
- 2  $\,$  A. I am cynical about the level of latent racism that might
- 3 be involved in that perception.
- 4  $\,$  Q. I see. In this paragraph you are not suggesting any
- 5 other qualitative benefit in terms of the quality of the
- 6 landline service or any other Voice service arising from
- 7 contact centres being onshore. You are not referring to
- 8 any other benefit in that paragraph, are you?
- 9 A. No, I do not think so.
- 10~ Q. Now, you also very fairly made clear that this is only
- 11 a perceived benefit, as you put it?
- 12 A. Mm-hm.
- 13 Q. Perhaps slightly cynically, for a subsection of
- 14 customers, yes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Meaning those who prefer to hear a local than a foreign
- 17 accent, yes?
- 18 A. Yes
- 19 Q. By definition, customers who are not concerned about
- 20 that factor do not benefit from onshoring, do they, at
- 21 least in relation to the local/foreign accent point?
- 22  $\,$  A. I think our customer services colleagues would feel that
- 23 they got better results even if somebody did not fall
- into that bucket and there were better outcomes.I cannot talk to that. I am not a member of that.

## 155

- 1 I was focused on the marketing impact.
- Q. By definition, a call centre tends to be used when
  something goes wrong. Is that a fair comment,
- 4 Ms Blight?
- A. Not necessarily. It could be someone wanting to change
  contract, change plan, moving home. Faults is a subset
  of contact centre service.
- 8~ Q. That is a very fair point. Insofar as it is used when
- 9 something goes wrong, it does not provide any other
- $10 \qquad \mbox{ benefit in terms of the quality of the service that }$
- 11 customers were receiving, does it?
- 12 A. Sorry, the fact that they are onshore?
- $13\,$   $\,$  Q. Yes. Maybe that is a repetitious question. I think we  $\,$
- 14 may have covered the point.
- 15~ A. Yes, I think the customer services team, if you had
- 16 someone up here that was more au fait with it, might say 17 there are fewer repeat calls, there is less aggression,
- 18 other things like that.
- 19~ Q. Yes. Now, call centres are for all BT customers, are
- 20 they not?

24

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Not just Voice customers?
- 23  $\,$  A. Yes, although the vast majority of BT Consumer customers
  - are Voice customers at this point.
- 25~ Q. Just to be fair , it is not just standalone Voice

- customers, it is all --2 A. All. So it is all broadband, TV. 3 Q. Yes. That is why in fact you say, in the final sentence 4 of paragraph 51, that you: 5 "... recall that the cost of onshoring contact centres was allocated between the various BT Consumer 6 7 divisions with the majority falling under Voice since 8 all customers had a Voice line." 9 That is the point you just made? 10 A. That was my recollection. 11 Q. The reason I identify that is because I think a moment 12 ago, or perhaps about five minutes ago, you referred to 13 these as benefits that were specific. The four main 14 gives that you referred to, you referred to them as 15 benefits specifically for Voice customers, and for this 16 one it is right to say that --A. So it is specific to Voice customers. All of the 17 18 BT Consumer customers, bar the BT Sport customers, were 19 Voice customers. So, sorry, that is what I am saying. 20 When I say all Voice customers, that always includes all 21 broadband customers, all TV customers.
- 2.2 Q. The point I was making to you, I think you said specific
- 23 to Voice customers, and you have just accepted, I think,
- 24 there will be Consumer customers who are not Voice 25 customers. I appreciate you say it is a small

# 157

1		proportion, but that is right, is it not?
2	Α.	Like 100,000 or something out of But certainly
3		I was $$ that was the main segment. I do not think
4		BT Sport only customers with Sky necessarily I do
5		not know. It was not a major consideration.
6	Q.	I see, yes. You say in the last sentence:
7		" the majority falling under Voice since all
8		customers had a Voice line."
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Now, I am not going to ask you about questions of cost
11		allocation. That is a matter of much debate between the
12		experts in this hearing. I do not know if you are
13		pleased to hear that, but I am certainly pleased to not
14		be getting into it with you.
15		You say you began to onshore call centres in 2016.
16		By definition, Ms Blight, that could not justify any
17		price increases that occurred before 2016, could it?
18	Α.	No, I guess not. I do not think it was used though, but
19		maybe it was. But those would have been the ones I was
20		not involved in.
21	Q.	Yes, you are focusing, as we have seen, on the $2016/2017$
22		package of changes?
23	Α.	Yes.

- 24 Q. So that is onshoring.
- 25 The last of the four, Fault Fix Guarantee.

158

- 1 A. Also Care Level 2, or? Q. Yes, well, that is ... 2 3 THE CHAIRMAN: You are covering both here, you are going to
- 4 cover both here.
- MR ARMITAGE: Yes. 5
- THE CHAIRMAN: Right. 6
- 7 A. Okav.
- 8 MR ARMITAGE: Yes. So paragraph 49 of your statement,
- 9  $\{D/4/12\}$ . Again, perhaps you could just read that to
- 10 yourself. Again, it is a fairly long paragraph.
- 11 (Pause)
- 12 So just to clarify again the chronology here,
- 13 Ms Blight. So you start by saying that in 2016 --
- 14 sorry, can we go back to the start of paragraph 49.
- 15 Yes, so first sentence:
- "In 2016 we also looked at rolling out 16
- 17 'Care Level 2' to all customers."
- 18 Now, Care Level 2, that is an Openreach service
- 19 provided -- an Openreach service whereby faults are
- 20 fixed within two working days, I think, including
- 21 Saturdavs?
- 2.2 A. Yes, it is -- I am going to -- that is the gist of it.
- 23 It is an annoyingly confusing service in the way of what
- 24 that "within two working days" means. 25
  - Q. But that is the idea?

#### 159

- 1 A. That is broadly, yes.
- 2 Q. It is to be distinguished from Care Level 1, that is
- 3 three working days excluding Saturdays, I think. Is 4 that right?
- 5 A. I cannot remember but that does sound right.
- Q. We will come I think to a document which --6
- 7 A. I am sure -- yes, that sounds right.
- ${\sf Q}. \$  What you say in the paragraph is that you considered 8
- 9 moving all customers onto Care Level 2?
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 Q. Rolling out Care Level 2 had a cost of 40 million, which
- 12 in your view did not represent good value for money. 13
- Then you say: 14 "We ultimately bundled Care Level 2 into Line Rental
- 15 Plus (a product which provides customers with additional
- 16 services for an additional cost per month) and
- 17 BT Consumer developed a 'Fault Fix Guarantee' ..."
- 18 That is something different where customers, rather
- 19 than having a guaranteed time by which their faults be
- 2.0 fixed, if you are not able to fix a fault in
- 21 a particular time you get an account credit, yes?
- 22 A. Yes.

25

- 23 Q. Then can I just -- I will just read out the final 24 sentence on the page:
  - "In contrast to the £40m worth of costs associated

- 1 with moving all customers on to Care Level 2, I think we 2 worked out that Fault Fix Guarantee would only cost 3 around  $\pounds 8m$  to implement. It was more economic for us to 4 make our own promises in this way than to offer 5 Care Level 2 to all customers (as the latter involved a significant additional Openreach cost but did not 6 7 provide a compelling marketing message)." 8 As I read that paragraph, the implication is that 9 you decided -- you looked at introducing Care Level 2 in 10 2016 but you decided not to do so, and you instead 11 introduced Fault Fix Guarantee. But that is not right, 12 is it? 13 A. No, I think it is wrong, because ultimately we did end 14 up introducing Care Level 2. I probably -- sorry, 15 I should have made this as a correction -- I think 16 partly because we were having to delay nuisance calls 17 and -- sorry, BT Call Protect, because we knew that that 18 would be then less compelling, because it became a "This
- is a trial " rather than a "This is launched", so it was 19 2.0 about creating the right balance of value for money
- 21 messages, and I think there was also a rebate we got as 22
- well which made it more economic. 23
- We still did Fault Fix Guarantee as well, though, 24
- but that was then pushed to the next price change. That 25 took us longer to implement. Yes. I can talk more

- 1 about the difference between the two. Q. Yes. well, I have some questions on that. 2 3 I am told, I think it may be both of us, to slow 4 down a little bit for the transcriber. 5 A. I am sorry. 6 Q. I am sure it is largely my fault. 7 So we have established BT in fact did introduce 8 Care Level 2, and that was in July 2016, alongside the 9 £1 increase on Line Rental and other price increases. 10 That is right, is it not? 11 A. Yes 12  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  It was only later that you decided to replace it with 13 Fault Fix Guarantee then: is that right? A. I do not think it was replaced. That was in addition, 14 15 Fault Fix Guarantee. 16 Q. Let us have a look at that. Could we look at  $\{F/574/1\}$ . 17 A. Sure 18  ${\sf Q}. \ \mbox{You should have this in your hard copy. It is }$ 19 a document called "Changes to Care Level provision. 20 18 October 2017". Sorry, it is  $\{F/574/1\}$ . 21 A. I have not seen this document before. 2.2 Q. Yes, so it is dated 18 October 2017, so that is about 23 a year after your time as GM at Voice? 24 A. Yes.
- 25  $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{I}$  am just hoping to use this to establish the

162

1		chronology. By all means, if you do not recollect
2		anything, just say.
3	Α.	Sure.
4	Q.	So if we look at page $\{F/574/6\}$ . "Background to
5		Care Level 2." Do you just want to cast your eye over
6		that slide, Ms Blight. (Pause)
7	Α.	Okay.
8	Q.	So there is a helpful, if you may say slightly
9		simplified $$ it is a summary of the two care levels
10		offered by Openreach. Care Level 1, so that is provided
11		at no additional wholesale cost to the provider, and
12		that is faults are fixed within two working days. So
13		everybody has that on the network?
14	Α.	Yes, so to clarify , these are SLAs. It can actually
15		fall outside of that or inside of that. So it is about
16		the money you pay to Openreach of which they are giving
17		you different service level agreements.
18	Q.	Service level agreements, okay. Then Care Level 2, that
19		comes at a monthly wholesale charge of 53p per customer.
20		For that one, the faults are fixed by the following day,
21		including Saturdays.
22		Then you see:
23		"On 3 July 2016"
24		So that is the date on which the $2016/2017$ price
25		increase came into force, yes?
		163
		165
1	A.	Mm-hm.
2	Q.	" we uplifted our standard Care Level provision for
3	•	all customers from Care Level 1 to Care Level 2. It was
4		used as one of the main gives in the 2016 annual price
5		change, to mitigate the increase of Line Rental from
6		£17.99 to £18.99."
7		Yes? You can see

- 8 A. Yes, I agree. I agree.
- 9 Q. You can see there, there is an ongoing cost attached to 10 the Care Level 2 service of around £50 million a year, 11 ves? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. There is a reference there to Care Level 2 being:
- " ... a differentiator for BT as the majority of our 14 15 competitors offer Care Level 1." 16
  - I am going to come back to that.
- 17 "Insight suggests that awareness of our faster fault
- 18 fixes is low (less than 20% of customers recalled the 19 give following the Price Change), but those who did,
- 2.0 valued it highly.
- 21 You see all those points?
- 22 A. Yes.

25

23 Q. Then can you look at the next slide,  $\{F/574/7\}$ . "There

- 24 is an opportunity to change the Care Level for some
  - customers", and it says:

1		"There are currently 8.3m active lines"
2		That is the total Voice base, yes?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	" of which we could migrate to Care Level 1."
5		That means back down to Care Level 1. That is the
6		reference to "migrate"?
7	Α.	Yes, reduce their SLA agreement with Openreach.
8	Q.	"However there are certain groups of customers for which
9		we recommend treating as exceptions outlined below."
10		Then can you see out of the 8.3 million total there
11		are four groups identified in red boxes: BT Basic, Home
12		Phone Saver, Carmen Base, Line Rental Plus. They are
13		the exceptions that are being identified .
14		Carmen Base, that is, I would say, VOCs, you I think
15		prefer truly solus, but the customers who got the $BT$
16		commitments discount on Line Rental?
17	Α.	I think so, but I am inferring that being that this
18		whole event is called Project Carmen or something.
19		I was not there.
20	Q.	I understand that.
21		You see the second bullet point under "Carmen Base":
22		"Enhanced regulatory scrutiny post Carmen."
22		So it looks as though the concern being identified

- 23 So it looks as though the concern being identified
- 24 there is that reversing the introduction of Care Level 2
  - for those who receive the commitments discount, the

- 1 concern is that it will attract regulatory scrutiny,
- yes, worsening the care level agreement, effectively? 2
- 3 A. That sounds like that, yes.
- 4 Q. Then can you see the blue column, 6 million, "Base who
- return to Care Level 1". So that is everyone who is not 5 6 in the preceding four groups?
- 7 A. Mm-hm.

25

- 8 Q. That would include SPCs, would it not, Split Purchase
- 9 Customers?
- 10 A. Erm ...
- 11 Q. Customers who purchased a standalone fixed Voice service 12 from BT but a broadband service either from BT or 13 another supplier?
- A. Unless they had BT Basic, Home Phone Saver or Line 14 Rental Plus. I am interpreting the same thing as you 15 16 from the slide.
- Q. Sorry, just to clarify . Home Phone Saver, I do not 17
- 18 think you could be an SPC. That was for landline only 19 customers, was it not?
- A. I might have understood SPCs, but I thought SPCs are 2.0 21 landline -- BT landline only. They can still have
- 2.2 a broadband with someone else.
- 23 Q. Not with BT. I think.
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Yes, thank you.

166

- 1 So the blue column, subject to the point you made 2 about the other services, that would include SPCs who do 3 not fall into any of those other categories, yes? 4 A. That is my interpretation of these slides . Q. Yes. 5 6 Then can we look at one more document on this point. 7  $\{F/465/1\}$ . We have been to this a few times. A. Yes, the OR. 8 9 Q. It is the long one. This time I am after page 10  $\{F/465/61\}.$  We are going to memorise it by the end of 11 this, Ms Blight. 12 A. Sorry, so many pages. 13 Q. Yes, 61. A. Okay, yes 14 15 Q. So quite a dense slide. I am just going to highlight 16 the short point I want to take from it. 17 So it is talking about "16/17. Voice: Looking 18 Forward - Q2 KPIs". We see: 19 "16/17 Annual Price Change and care level 2 uplift 20 on track." 21 Then can you see under "Headlines" in the box on the 2.2 right "Delivery of 16/17 Price Change which will drive 23 24 £217m [revenue], offset by significant improvements in 25 value for all customers including a £35m investment in 167 1 Care Level 2 " 2 Yes? 3 A. Yes 4 Q. Now, £35 million is in the region of the figure you give 5 in your statement for the cost of Care Level 2. You say around £40 million --6 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- but it is of a similar order. 9 So just to take stock on the chronology, not being

  - 10 critical here, but contrary to what I have taken from
- your statement, BT did introduce Care Level 2 11
- 12 in July 2016 as a key give to mitigate the impact of the
- 13 £1 Line Rental increase that was introduced at that
- time. That is right, is it not? 14
- 15 A. We did.
- 16 Q. Yes. Care Level 2 was something that applied to all
- 17 Voice customers, not just solus customers, yes?
- 18 A. Yes
- 19  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  It looks from this slide as though it cost about
- 2.0 £35 million to roll out, albeit that is in the context
- 21 of a price change that is said to drive over
- 2.2 £200 million in revenue, yes?
- 23 Α. These are in-year numbers, yes?
- 24 Yes. But we saw from the previous document that BT Q.
- 25 later shifted millions of customers, including SPCs,

1		back down onto Care Level 1; yes, that is what happened?
2	Α.	What I do not know is how things were changed $$ I do
3		not know if that meant that their care Their care
4		level seems to be changed, from what you are showing me.
5		In terms of the contract between BT and Openreach in
6		terms of the service level agreement, I do not know what
7		that did in terms of service, because we are not
8		discussing that here. What I do remember quite vividly
9		is one of the reasons why I did not want us $$ I did not
10		feel it was good value for money moving to Care Level 2
11		is actually when I looked at the performance of our
12		customers currently on Care Level 2 versus Care Level 1
13		the difference was negligible, and that there were other
14		ways of us providing better service, such as making
15		changes to the CAPC, Fault Fixed Guarantee, using
16		alternative engineering suppliers, such as Qube.
17		So I think $$ sorry, what I am trying to say is
18		I recognise what it says here in terms of the changes to
19		the products that we were taking from Openreach. I do
20		not know necessarily that that meant that people got
21		a worse service.
22	Q.	I see. So just to check I got the answer you just gave,
23		you said:
24		" I did not feel it was good value for money
25		moving to Care Level 2 is actually when I looked at the
		169
1		performance of our customers currently on Care Level 2
2		versus Care Level 1 the difference was negligible"
3	А	Yes.
4		In terms of "performance of our customers", you mean the
5	×.	value they ascribed to the service, or
6	Δ	No, sorry, I should have been clearer. So the Openreach
7	<i>/</i> ٦.	performance, as to how quickly that they were fixing
8		faults and meeting the service level agreement, we were
9		actually already getting the performance quite close to
10		Care Level 2 without actually paying for it, and our
11		customers that were paying the 53p extra a month were
12		not necessarily getting a far better performance, or it
13		was not that obvious; they were still getting bad
14		performances. There were other ways we could replicate
15		it, so we could use expedites, which is another cost,
16		where we just $$ when something is too late, we spend
17		more money to bring it in.
18		My point being is if your objective is to improve
18 19		your customer experience, I did not feel the service
13		your customer experience, i did not feel the service

20 that I was getting from my supplier, Openreach, was

necessarily the most cost effective way of deliveringa better service.

23 Q. Yes. So you say your objective was to improve customer 24 experience.

25 Could we just go back to paragraph 49 of your

170

1 statement, then, and look at what you say about the 2 respective merits of Fault Fix Guarantee, which we will 3 come on to in a minute, and Care Level 2. 4 So the final sentence  $\{D/4/13\}$ : "It was more economic for us to make our own 5 promises in this way [in other words, via this Fault Fix 6 7 Guarantee, the account credit approach] than to offer 8 Care Level 2 to all customers (as the latter involved 9 a significant additional Openreach cost but did not 10 provide a compelling marketing message)." 11 So that is not looking at customer benefit, is it, 12 it is talking about "economic for us" and "marketing 13 message". Those are the factors you identify in your 14 statement, yes? 15 A. There are two factors when you are considering changing 16 service. One is the actual experience of service. 17 which, remember, only a proportion of customers 18 experience a fault, and therefore only a proportion of 19 customers will experience a difference if you make one. 20 Then the other aspect is customers' perception or 21 confidence in you as a business to be able to deliver 22 solutions when something goes wrong and fix faults. 23 The difficulty I had with Care Level 2, which 24 I think was demonstrated quite well by the difficulty in 25 explaining it, even written down. It was very hard to 171 1 say, because you could not sort of make a guarantee, you could not say: you definitely will be fixed within 2 3 two days, because it would be: in two days, except for

4 Saturdays, and not if there is a blue moon. So very 5 difficult to explain to customers. 6 Therefore -- that is what I mean by "marketing", is 7 that if you cannot -- I thought it was better for us to 8 be making a financial commitment of compensation to 9 customers that was easier for customers to understand, 10 and then at the same time use Expedites and Qube and 11 other methods to improve service and minimise the amount 12 of time we would give people that credit, versus giving 13 more money to Openreach. At BT Group level that might have been different, 14 15 but I was operating as BT Consumer. 16 Q. Just to pick up on a small point of detail, I think you 17 said there it was difficult to explain to customers: 18 your fault is going to be fixed by a particular time, 19 excluding Saturdays. 2.0 In Care Level 2, I think Saturdays are included in 21 the "by the following day"? 22 A. So I have actually simplified it in the statement. If 23 you look at -- I have forgotten what the document is

24 called -- the Openreach product document. It is very

25 specific . It depends upon actually when the fault is

- 1 reported and you cannot actually guarantee it because --
- 2 it is annoyingly complex and unfortunately has not been
- 3 designed by someone who is needing to explain it to
- 4 a customer.
- Q. Okay. So be all that as it may, BT introduced 5
- Care Level 2 for all customers in July 2016 but then 6 7 reverted for millions of those customers, including
- 8 SPCs, in 2017, did it not?
- 9 A. Based on the documents you have shown me, that is true.
- 10 Q. Yes, and Mr Bunt actually confirms that at
- 11 paragraph 62(b) of his statement. I do not think we
- 12 need to turn it up.
- 13 A. No. I trust vou.
- 14 Q. Unless you would like me to of course.
- 15 Now, I am sorry, we have paragraph 49 of your
- statement on the screen, so the two factors you refer to 16
- 17 there about the distinction between Fault Fix Guarantee
- 18 and Care Level 2. Now, again, as I have read this
- 19 initially these looked as though these were the reasons
- 20 you were giving for not introducing Care Level 2 in
- 21 2016/2017. I think it must be the reasons why BT opted
- 22 to reverse the decision to introduce Care Level 2; is 23 that right?
- 24 A. I do not know because I was not there when they made 25
  - those decisions, but I guess it is probably logical that

- 1 they were thinking in a similar way as I was previously, 2 but I am making a guess or an inference for that. 3 Q. Focusing on the two points that you do make there, so 4 the first point, more economic. So Fault Fix Guarantee, 5 and we see this from the figures, was significantly 6 cheaper for BT than the Care Level 2 approach, was it 7 not? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. As you say here, in your view, at least, providing 10 Care Level 2 did not produce a compelling marketing 11 message, yes? 12 A. Yes, it was challenging to communicate it. 13  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Yes, so your focus in your statement: cost to  $\mathsf{BT}$  and how things have gone down from a marketing perspective, yes? 14 15 A. From -- so -- sorry, just give me a moment. I think --16 yes, from a marketing perspective because what is 17 important to me is my relationship with the customers 18 and their perception of value for money. 19  ${\sf Q}. \$  Now, there is another relevant issue in relation to 2.0 Care Level 2. I do not think you mention this in your 21 statement but other suppliers were offering it already 2.2 at the point in time at which it was introduced. That 23 is right, is it not?
- 24 A. Yes, I cannot remember which or how -- what proportion 25 of their base though.
  - 174

- 1 Q. Okay, let us look at a document on that. So  $\{F/381/1\}$ .
- 2 I hope you have a hard copy again. I am told that you
- 3 do have a hard copy.
- 4 A. Yes, sorry, it is just taking me a moment to ...
- 5 thank you.
- Q. You see that is "16/17 Pricing service gives update --6
- 7 in strictest confidence", 23 December 2015. So a
- 8 Christmas treat for somebody. That is during your time 9 as GM at Voice?
- A. Sadly, yes. 10
- 11 Q. I think you will have seen this document and perhaps
- 12 been involved in preparing it?
- 13 A. Yes. ves.
- Q. If we look at page  $\{F/381/3\}$  can you see that two 14
- 15 options have been presented there?
- 16 A Mm-hm
- 17 Q. That is: 18
  - "Option 1: service guarantee + LR at £18.99."
- 19 Pausing there, so £18.99 I think is the amount that
- 20 Line Rental did go up to as it transpired as part of
- 21 2016/17. It had been £17.99 and it went up to £18.99?
- 2.2 A. I think so, yes.
- 23 Q. At this point you are still considering the precise
- 24 price increase?
- 25 A. Yes

# 175

- 1  ${\sf Q}. \;\; {\sf So \; option \; 1}$  is service guarantee plus Line Rental going 2 up by £1?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4  ${\sf Q}. \$  You see from the description underneath that what is
- 5 being described there is what you call Fault Fix
- 6 Guarantee in your statement, is it not?
- 7 A Yes
- 8  ${\sf Q}.~$  It looks as though from the purple text at the top of
- 9 the page that at this stage the service guarantee,
- 10 i.e. the Fault Fix Guarantee, was being recommended as
- 11 it delivers a differentiated message for lower cost,
- 12 yes?
- A Yes 13
- 14 Q. Although just looking at the bottom of the option 1 box,
- 15 there is a reference to: 16 "Service guarantee revised policy and claims have
- 17 not yet been through legal and marketing feedback."
- 18 So it is a recommendation, obviously not a final
- 19 decision and we know in fact there is a further one?
- 2.0 A. Yes, it required going through trials and so on which
- 21 I think is ultimately why it did not -- it had to be 2.2 delayed. We could not deliver in time.
- 23 Q. Then option 2 is upgrading customers, all standard Line 2.4 Rental customers to Care Level 2 so that line faults are 25 fixed 1 working day faster.

1		On this slide option 2 involves a slightly bigger
2		Line Rental increase, £19.25, yes?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Then can we go onto page $\{F/381/7\}$ , please. You see the
5		heading "We have considered upgrading all standard Line
6		Rental customers to Care Level 2".
7		"The proposition and key considerations."
8		The first bullet point is the potential option of
9		upgrading everybody to Care Level 2. In fact, that is
10		what actually happens in July.
11		"Regulators have given us feedback that this is
12		important to customers."
13		That is point 2.
14		But then if you look at point 3:
15		"We would not have a differentiated claim vs our
16		competitors as they are already using Care Level 2."
17		Do you see that?
18	Α.	Yes, I do.
19	Q.	Then next bullet:
20		"There is limited PR benefit from making the
21		change $$ customer expectations on fault fix have
22		increased significantly over the last few years and
23		delivering a 'wow' customer experience is cost
24		prohibitive ."

25 A. Yes.

# 177

- 1 Q. Then there is a reference in the final bullet point to 2 implications for Line Rental Plus if you upgrade 3 everybody to Care Level 2. I assume that makes Line 4 Rental Plus less attractive?
- A. Yes, Line Rental Plus was a bundle of different services 5 6 at a discount but, you know, good value and one of those 7 benefits was Care Level 2, so taking it out meant we had 8 to put additional benefits in and risk losing that
- 9 incremental revenue.
- 10 Q. Line Rental Plus being a higher priced product, yes?
- 11 A. Yes, but with various benefits, bundles, yes.
- 12 Q. Just focusing on the third bullet point. In the
- 13 chronology there is some confusion, but Care Level 2 was 14 ultimately introduced in July 2016 despite the
- 15 recommendation in this slide deck?
- 16 A. Yes
- 17 Q. It follows from the third bullet point that for the
- 18 period in which Care Level 2 was enacted BT did not have
- 19 a differentiated claim versus its competitors because
- 20 they were already using Care Level 2. That is what the
- 21 slide says, yes?
- 22 A. I think that is correct, yes.
- 23 Q. Perhaps this is apparent from your statement, but you,
- 24 I think, personally had some concerns about the
- 25 Care Level 2 approach being introduced in July 2016.

178

- 1 Perhaps you were the one driving the recommendation we 2 see in these slides? 3 A. Yes, I was 4 Q. Could we look at  $\{F/414/1\}.\ I$  think we went to this earlier . It is the Ofcom briefing document that you 5 6 discuss in your statement. 7 A. Sure. 8 Q. In fact, I think you say you prepared it? 9 A. Yes, with my team I would think. 10  $\mathsf{Q}.$  Yes. Can you see the first bullet point under "Key 11 messages". So these are key messages for the regulator, 12 ves? 13 A. Yes 14 Q. "We are increasing Line Rental by £1 to £18.99." 15 "This enables us to upgrade our whole customer base 16 to Openreach's higher repair standard at Care Level 2. 17 As a result our line faults will be fixed on average 1.3 18 days faster." 19 Now, can you see the comment BR2? 20 A. Yes 21 Q. There is a small box. Can we look over the page, we 2.2
  - will see it is a comment from you.  $\{F/414/2\}$ . It is
  - 23 the same point that we just saw on the slide. You say:
  - 24 "Does this work considering competitors on LLU are
  - 25 already at this level?"

#### 179

- Now, LLU, that is local loop unbundling?
- 2 A. Yes

1

- 3 Q. So it is a reference to people like Sky?
- 4 A. TalkTalk.
- Q. TalkTalk, providers of bundle services, yes? 5
- 6 A. Yes, that do not use WLR.
- 7  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  Yes, exactly. The point you are making consistently
- 8 with the slides that you were involved in preparing,
- 9 other providers were already providing Care Level 2 and
- 10 you are questioning how introducing something that
- 11 everybody else already had could be used to justify
- 12 a price increase with the regulator. That is the point
- 13 you are making, is it not?
- A. Yes 14
- 15 Q. To show you another document. So the position appears
- 16 to be that you were concerned that Care Level 2 was not
- 17 a sufficiently compelling justification for a  $\pounds 1$  Line
- 18 Rental increase?
- 19 A. I was concerned that we would pay the money to Openreach 2.0 and they would not deliver a benefit.
- 21 Q. Well, what you say here is:
- 2.2 "Does this work?'
- 23 This is an Ofcom briefing. This is a message to the
- regulator? 24
- 25 A. Yes, in here that is my concern.

- 1 Q. So at least in this document --
- A. In this document my concern was whether that was the 2
- 3 right phrasing or messaging for Ofcom as a segment.
- 4 Q. Whether Ofcom would buy it, in other words?
- 5 A. I do not think it was something we were selling. The
- point is whether it would be compelling using it here. 6
- 7 We had already decided it was compelling as a benefit to 8 customers.
- 9 Q. Yes. Let us look at another document.  $\{F/339/1\}.$  This 10
- is an email so you do not have this in your hard copy 11
- bundle. It is a very short one though so perhaps just 12 read it to vourself.
- 13 A. Sure. (Pause).
- Q. It is an email from you to Kelly Barlow. I think we 14 15 established earlier she was essentially your boss at the
- 16 time?
- A. Yes. 17
- 18 Q. Hi Kelly:
- 19 "As discussed, here is the one slider as it stands
- 20 although we are waiting on a few of the BB financial
- 21 inputs. We are still working on the claims that can be
- 22
- delivered from the improved service options and Dom is
- 23 pulling together a slide showing customer messaging vs 24 costs for this '
- 25
  - "At present, I do not think the message of faster

- 1 repair is quite powerful enough to cover the extra  $\mathtt{\pounds}1$  on 2 Line Rental." 3 Now, a couple of points. This makes completely
- 4 clear, does it not, that you have already set upon a  $\pounds 1$
- 5 Line Rental increase, does it not?
- 6 A. It does. I think I am referring -- well, I think I am 7 actually referring to the fact that  ${\sf I}$  was being
- 8 challenged to look at an up to £2 increase.
- 9 Q. Yes, the point you make in your statement. We are going 10 to come to that.
- 11 A. What I mean is the extra  $\mathtt{\pounds1}$  is because -- you showed me an email earlier where we were challenged by John to 12
- 13 come up with more.
- THE CHAIRMAN: The extra £1 making it £2 you mean? 14 15 A Yes
- MR ARMITAGE: Sorry, the point I was making the fact that 16 17 you are considering justifications for an extra  $\pounds 1,$  it
- 18 follows does it, that the  $\pounds 1$  increase, that has already
- 19 been decided upon, that is going to happen. It is the
- 20 extra £1 that is being considered, yes?
- 21 A. I guess it is not decided until we lock it, but ves.
- 2.2 that is what was in the medium term plan so it was on 23 base line assumption.
- 24 Q. We are going to come to some of those points, I suspect
- 25 in the morning. But the point you are making is this

182

- 1 CL2 give, your concern is that that is not powerful
- 2 enough to cover increase in Line Rental by more than
- 3 a £1, yes, by £2?
- 4 A. That was my personal view at this point, yes.
- 5 Q. But powerful enough to cover you are considering the
- position from the perspective of Ofcom, are you not, 6 7 ves?
- A. No, I am not just thinking about Ofcom at all. I am 8
- 9 thinking about creating value for money for our
- 10 customers, the churn impact. My objective through all
- 11 of this is to deliver price change that has the least 12 churn, the least impact on NPS, the least impact on
- 13 value for money that all of these things have. Ofcom
- 14 have many strands of impacts that I am trying to balance
- 15 in this role.
- 16 Q. So Ofcom is a significant consideration when you are 17 looking at these gives?
- 18 A. It is one in a list of ten.
- 19 Q. We saw an email right at the start of your evidence
- 20 where you were challenged to come up with gives that
- 21 could justify from the perspective of the regulator
- 22 a higher Line Rental increase of £1. We saw that, did
- 23 we not?
- 24 A. I do not disagree. I am not saying that Ofcom was not 25 an important consideration. They were an important

#### 183

- 1 consideration. I am just saying it is wrong to 2 categorise us as only ever considering Ofcom.
- 3 Q. Can we look at another document. This is  $\{F/478/1\}$ .
- 4 I think Mr Beard took the Tribunal to it in opening.
- 5 I think you do not have a hard copy.
- A. I do not. 6
- 7 Q. That is my fault. If you wish --
- 8 A. Do I have it as a different number or a different
- 9 version?
- 10 Q. It is possible you do. This unfortunately is the one
- 11 I would like to look at.
- 12 A. Sure
- 13  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  We could alternatively prepare a hard copy and I could
- ask you about it in the morning. 14
- 15 A. Let us have a go this way.
- 16 Q. See how we go. So 29 July 2016. So you are still GM at 17 Voice?
- 18 A. Lam.
- 19 Q. But it is talking about the next price change process
- 20 2017, yes?
- 21 A. Yes

25

- 2.2 Q. Can you see page  $\{F/478/2\}$  it is quite small so we need
- 23 to blow it up. In the third paragraph:
- 2.4 "Recognition of the gives has been high (69%) and
  - there is evidence that they have improved VFM

- perceptions -- however there is more we can do to ensure 2 they make an impact." 3 The first bullet point: 4 "There was greater awareness of gives that were 5 featured ATL or were more in line with previous messaging e.g. faster speeds and BT wifi." 6 7 Those are broadband related gives, are they not, not gives which would have been relevant to SFV customers? 8 9 A. This bullet point, because the executive summary is very 10 tight, it is talking about two things, the gives that 11 would feature above the line which did include the 12 service improvements I believe as well as those that 13 were more in line with previous messages. So I am 14 trying to make two points in one bullet, maybe badly 15 but, yes. Q. But the two identified are -- it is a fairly limited 16 17 point but they are broadband related gives, are they 18 not? 19 A. Yes, but the above line point is not just broadband 20 related gives 21 Q. So that would have covered you said service level? 22 A. I think so. I think probably in this slide pack it has 23 the marketing. 24 Q. We will come on to that. In fact, the next bullet: 25 "Service gives are relevant but not believable -- it 185 1 is important that for service gives we have a visible commit. (eg guarantee) and/or we build the position 2 3 over a longer period of communications." 4 Then can we look on at page  $\{F/478/5\}$ ? 5 A. Yes 6 Q. "What response did customers have to the main message?
- 7 Although the lead message of we'll fix line faults 8 within 24 hours is very relevant to consume exercising, 9 there is a degree of scepticism around BT's ability to 10 deliver on this promise." 11 Then can you see the heading is "Q1 Price Rise 12 Defence"?
- 13 A Yes

14	Q.	So that is the way in which this give is characterised
15		in the documents?
10		V

A. Yes. 16

17	Q.	Then	you	see	а	description	of	the	give	and	then	some	

- 18 statistics for relevant, believable, new and different. 19 Then you see some, one assumes, indicative comments.
- 2.0 "Just get tired of the same old promises. A bit
- 21 like political parties, you don't know what to believe."
- 2.2 "I think the advert is untrue, the truth is service
- 23 is patchy inconsistent and not always reliable and
- 24 customer service when you call is poor." 25
  - So this is talking about CL2, yes?

186

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. We saw earlier, you may recall or we can go back to it
- 3 briefly , I am conscious of time, there was a problem,
- 4 was there not, with awareness of this particular give:
- 5 very few customers were aware of it?
- A. Sorry, I do not think we concluded awareness was low. 6 7 Where was that?
- Q. I will just show you that.  $\{F/574/6\}.$  That should pop 8
- 9 up on the screen just to show you this one point. Can
- 10 you see the final paragraph, second sentence:
- 11 "Insight suggests ... "?
- 12 A. So this is the document you showed me from 2017, so ...
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. So this does --
- 15 Q. I appreciate they are different points in time, yes.
- 16 A. This does not tally to the results I remember from after
- 17 the price change. I think there are other documents
- 18 that are contemporaneous to the price change of which
- 19 the awareness is higher than 20%.
- 20 Q. Okay, I take the point they are different points in
- 21 time. But the point I am making, and perhaps we could
- 22 go back to the previous document,  $\{F/478/5\}$ . What is
- 23 being identified there is a degree of scepticism among
- 24 BT's customers with this particular give, yes?
- 25 A. I think what this is showing, I agree, is a level of

# 187

- 1 scepticism which matches unfortunately to the concerns 2 I raised about how easy it would be to create 3 a compelling message and communicate the improvements of 4 Care Level 2 because it is hard to make a very 5 definitive statement about it even though there is an 6 improvement. That is why I think a Fault Fix Guarantee 7 is better. MR BEARD: Awareness, may be you should go back to slide 4. 8 9 A. Yes. I think there is awareness --10 MR ARMITAGE: Yes, if Mr Beard would like me to do that. 11 {F/478/4}. 12 A. Yes, so this is 54, 54% are aware of at least one give. 13 Q. Among the 30% reached by the campaign, yes? 14 A. I know from your perspective it may seem low but I think 15 for those of us who have experience in marketing it is 16 actually quite a high recall of what something which was quite a small campaign. People are told something maybe 17 18 once, maybe twice and for them to recall that at a 30%19 rate is actually quite high. 20 Q. Okay, so just to conclude perhaps for today. So 21 Care Level 2. which you introduced in July 2016, the 2.2 point you make in your statement, it was expensive for 23 BT?
- 24 A. Yes
- 25 Q. Although still a pretty small amount relative to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

1

- additional revenue we see being generated by this particular price change? A. A high proportion of the Line Rental revenue. Q. A higher proportion of the Line Rental obviously than the overall revenue, yes? A. But also it was not the only give that was part of it, so there was a lot of revenue that was driven by broadband pricing of which we also did speed upgrades during this change as well.  $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  So cost a lot of money, but scepticism even amongst those customers who were aware of it, yes, as we see from the slide a moment ago? A. Yes. Q. It was for those reasons that BT reverted to Fault Fix Guarantee, is it not?
- A. I was not in the country or part of this team at that 16
- time but I think that is a reasonable inference. 17
- 18 Q. From the documents?
- 19 A. From the documents and me projecting what I would do in 20 that role, but ...
- 21 Q. But it is not focusing on value from the perspective of
- 22 a consumer. That is the point. It is value to BT that 23 is the focus, yes?
- 24 A. No, because, as I have said before, I do not think that
  - the Care Level 2 versus Care Level 1 was the best, the

#### 189

- most effective way of delivering the experience, so 2 there are multiple issues here. There is how you 3 communicate it and there is actually the concrete
- 4 experience that customers have when they have a fault.
- 5 MR ARMITAGE: Perhaps that is time.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Just give me a moment. (Pause). 6
- 7 Now, Mr Armitage, how much longer do you think you
- 8 have in cross-examination?
- 9 MR ARMITAGE: I will look at my script overnight, see where
- 10 I am. I suspect I do have another couple of hours
- 11 though
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Another couple of hours?
- MR ARMITAGE: I think so, yes. 13
- THE CHAIRMAN: Just a moment. Are you dealing with the 14
- 15 cross-examination of Mr Cackett as well?
- 16 MR ARMITAGE: I am not. That is Mr Spitz.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Spitz, how long do you think you are going 18 to be with Mr Cackett?
- 19 MR SPITZ: Sir, I suspect a little bit more than an hour, so
- 2.0 I think we ought to be safe from the point of view of
- 21 time tomorrow.
- 2.2 THE CHAIRMAN: That does not include any time for
- 23 re-examination or questions from the Tribunal, and we do 24 need to finish tomorrow.
- 25 Just give me one moment, please.
  - 190

- 1 We are going to start at 10 o'clock tomorrow 2 morning. I hope that is all right with the transcriber. 3 It does not mean there is licence to go right the way 4 through to 4.30, but just in case there is a problem. MS KREISBERGER: Sir, I wonder if I could just raise 5 something for a very brief moment. It is actually on 6 7 the hot tub for the following week. Two short points. We are very grateful for the agenda which we have 8 9 had an opportunity to have a look at it. In sections 10 1.1 and 1.2 on market definition, a number of the points 11 raise issues about costs, cost allocation and margin, 12 and those are matters addressed by Mr Duckworth in his 13 evidence, so we were going to suggest that the Tribunal might find it more helpful to have Mr Duckworth in the 14 15 tub for at least sections 1.1 and 1.2 on market definition . Of course we are in your hands, but those 16 17 are matters which he deals with. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Right, we will give some consideration to 19 that -20 MS KREISBERGER: I am very grateful. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: -- and revert to you tomorrow. MR BEARD: We will have a look at that as well. 22 MS KREISBERGER: I should say we have not raised it with 23 24 Mr Beard because I only had confirmation 20 minutes ago 25 that he is available. 191 1
  - THE CHAIRMAN: Of course. Have a word between yourselves and we will give it some thought as well. MS KREISBERGER: I wonder if I should also raise, again, one to take away, if there is sufficient room in the witness box for more than two experts. We will leave that with you, but, if not, we would need to re-arrange --THE CHAIRMAN: Did the protocol point not deal with this question of what happens when we ... MS KREISBERGER: If Mr Duckworth ... THE CHAIRMAN: We will come back to you on that tomorrow as well
  - 12 MS KREISBERGER: Sorry, just one very brief -- just as
  - 13 a matter of courtesy, Mr Spitz and I, as we divide up 14 the case, we will not always both be here every day,
  - 15 with the Tribunal's permission.
  - 16
  - THE CHAIRMAN: That is understood. Thank you. 10 o'clock tomorrow then, please.
  - 17 18 (4.34 pm)
  - 19 (The hearing adjourned until Thursday, 8 February at 2.0 10.00 am)
  - 21
- 2.2
- 23 24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1	INDEX
2	MR JONATHAN BUNT (continued)1
	Re-examination by MR BEARD (continued)1
3	Questions by THE TRIBUNAL
	MS MARGARET BLIGHT (affirmed)73
4	Examination-in-chief by MR BEARD73
	Cross-examination by MR ARMITAGE74
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
20	