



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case No: 1592/7/7/23

BETWEEN:

ELISABETTA SCIALIS

Applicant/Proposed Class Representative

- v -

(1) CASIO ELECTRONICS CO. LIMITED
(2) CASIO COMPUTER CO., LIMITED

Respondent/Proposed Defendants

REASONED ORDER (EXTENSION OF TIME)

UPON the Tribunal's Reasoned Order made on 21 July 2023 permitting the Proposed Class Representative to serve their claim in Case No. 1592/7/7/23 on the Second Proposed Defendant outside the jurisdiction.

AND UPON the Order of the President made on 17 October 2023 permitting the omission of a requirement to translate documentation for service other than the Collective Proceedings Claim Documents

AND UPON the Order of the President made on 18 January 2024 extending the time for service upon the Second Proposed Defendant to 21 May 2024

AND UPON the Order of the President made on 17 May 2024 further extending the time for service upon the Second Proposed Defendant to 20 September 2024

AND UPON considering the Application by the Proposed Class Representative made by letter on 12 September 2024 verified by signed statement of truth of Mr Matthew Newbould, Partner of Pogust Goodhead

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The time within which service of the Collective Proceedings Claim Form should be effected upon the Second Proposed Defendant be further extended to 20 May 2025.
2. Costs in the case.

REASONS

As explained in the Application, the claim form bundle previously submitted to the Foreign Process Section (“FPS”) was rejected because, among other reasons, it failed to include one of the required documents in Japanese. The Tribunal has ascertained that the time period required by the FPS is now reduced to 3-4 weeks but that service in Japan can take 4 months. An extension to 20 May 2025 is accordingly appropriate, to allow for the forthcoming holiday period, and should not prejudice the Proposed Defendants.

Sir Peter Roth

Acting President of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 20 November 2024

Drawn: 20 November 2024