



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case Nos: As set out in Annex 1 to this Order:

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS PROCEEDINGS

PARTIES TO THIS ORDER:

- (1) **THE ARLA CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (2) **THE EDWIN COE CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (3) **THE ASDA CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (4) **THE DS SMITH CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (5) **THE ADUR CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (6) **THE BOOTS CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (7) **THE HAUSFELD CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (8) **THE LAFARGEHOLCIM CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (9) **THE BCLP CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (10) **THE MORRISONS CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (11) **THE NORTHERN IRISH PLAINTIFFS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (12) **THE SCOTTISH PURSUERS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (13) **THE DEFENDANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).

ORDER (DIRECTIONS)

UPON the Defendants' applications dated 16 May 2024 and 8 July 2024 (as amended on 19 July 2024 and 31 July 2024) requesting responses to the Defendants' joint experts' information requests in relation to supply pass-on ("SPO") and truck-related services value of commerce ("TRS VoC") made of certain Claimants,

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a hearing on 9 August 2024,

AND UPON the SPO documents for each of the Targeted Claimants setting out the Defendants' joint experts' SPO requests, as amended from time to time (the "**SPO Documents**"),

AND UPON the TRS VoC documents for each of the Arla, Boots and DS Smith TRS Claimants setting out the Defendants' joint experts' TRS VoC requests, as amended from time to time (the "**TRS VoC Documents**"),

AND UPON the Defendants' applications dated 4 October 2024 in relation to SPO and TRS VoC respectively,

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a hearing on 21 and 22 October 2024,

AND UPON the Tribunal's Order of 21 November 2024 ("**the November Order**"),

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a Case Management Conference on 9 December 2024,

AND UPON the Tribunal's Order of 30 December 2024,

AND UPON the filing of the summary table of outstanding SPO requests dated 24 January 2025 (the "**SPO Summary Table**"), as amended on 31 January 2025, and the summary table of TRS VoC requests dated 24 January 2025 (the "**TRS VoC Summary Table**"),

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a Case Management Conference on 30 January 2025,

AND UPON the following definitions applying for the purposes of this Order:

- "**Truck Rental Targeted Claimants**" means:
 - "**Salford Van Hire**" means C18 and C19 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T);
 - "**MC Rental**" means C13 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T);
 - "**Alltruck Plc**" means C11 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T); and

- **“Rowleys”** means C1 in Case 1610/5/7/23 (T).
- **“Haulier Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Wincanton”** means the Claimants in Case 1607/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Edwards Transport”** means C11 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T); and
 - **“Harlex Haulage”** means C3 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T).
- **“Manufacturer Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Arla”** means the Claimants in Case 1296/5/7/18;
 - **“CEMEX”** means C74 – C78 and C80 – C82 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - **“The Rugby Group”** means C79 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - **“DS Smith”** means C1, and C3 – C5 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T);
 - **“Blakemore”** means C143 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T); and
 - **“Moy Park”** means C48 – C53 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T).
- **“Retailer Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Sainsbury’s”** means C10 – C12 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Argos”** means C13 – C14 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Asda”** means C1 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Morrisons”** means the Claimants in Case 1521/5/7/22 (T);

- **“Currys”** means C2 – C5 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T); and
- **“Boots”** means C1 – C2 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T).
- **“Other Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“GAP”** means C1 in Case 1594/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Bywaters”** means C59 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - **“GBN”** means C8 in Case 1338/5/7/20 (T);
 - **“DS Smith Logistics”** means C2 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T); and
 - **“DS Smith Recycling”** means C6 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T).
- **“Adur Public Authority Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Durham”** means C35 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“Lewisham”** means C70 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“East Sussex FA”** means C39 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“North Wales FRA”** means C85 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T); and
 - **“Scottish FRS”** means C107 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T).
- **“Scottish Public Authority Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Glasgow”** means the Claimant in Case 1546/5/7/22 (T); and
 - **“Renfrewshire”** means the Claimant in Case 1552/5/7/22 (T);

(together, the “**Targeted Claimants**”).

- “**Rockwool TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 which are part of the Rockwool corporate group;
- “**Glanbia TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 which are part of the Glanbia corporate group;
- “**Nomad TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 which are part of the Nomad corporate group;
- “**Mitchells & Butlers TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 which are part of the Mitchells & Butlers corporate group;
- “**Pilgrim’s Pride TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 which are part of the Pilgrim’s Pride corporate group;
- “**Boots TRS Claimants**” means each of the Claimants in case 1616/5/7/23 bringing claims in respect of TRS VoC;
- “**Claim Papers**” means the Boots TRS Claimants’ explanations of their methodologies in respect of TRS VoC;
- “**DS Smith TRS Claimants**” means the Claimants in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T),
- “**Information**” means data, documents and information.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

DEFENDANTS’ SECTION 8 SPO REQUESTS

Truck Rental Targeted Claimants

1. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Salford Van Hire must provide responses to request 8.3 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-2 of the SPO Summary Table.

2. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Salford Van Hire cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Salford Van Hire shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

Haulier Targeted Claimants

3. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Wincanton must provide responses to requests 8.1 and 8.2 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-3 of the SPO Summary Table.
4. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 21 February 2025, Harlex Haulage must provide responses to requests 8.1 and 8.2 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-4 of the SPO Summary Table.
5. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Harlex Haulage cannot provide all the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Harlex Haulage shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information (including an explanation of how it has complied with its document preservation obligations) and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

DEFENDANTS' SECTION 6 SPO REQUESTS

Truck Rental Targeted Claimants

6. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Salford Van Hire must provide responses to requests 6.3 and 6.5 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-6 and A1-7 of the SPO Summary Table.
7. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Salford Van Hire cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph,

Salford Van Hire shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

8. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, MC Rental must provide a response to request 6.3 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-7 of the SPO Summary Table.
9. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if MC Rental cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, MC Rental shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
10. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Alltruck Plc must provide a response to request 6.3(4) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-8 of the SPO Summary Table.
11. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Alltruck Plc cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Alltruck Plc shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
12. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Rowleys must provide a response to request 6.3(a) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-8 of the SPO Summary Table.
13. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Rowleys cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Rowleys shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

Haulier Targeted Claimants

14. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Wincanton must provide a response to request 6.3 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-10 of the SPO Summary Table.
15. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Wincanton cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Wincanton shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
16. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Harlex Haulage must provide responses to requests 6.2 and 6.3 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-10 and A1-11 of the SPO Summary Table.
17. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Harlex Haulage cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Harlex Haulage shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

Other Targeted Claimants

18. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, GAP must provide responses to requests 6.3 and 6.5 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-12 of the SPO Summary Table.
19. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if GAP cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, GAP shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
20. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, GBN must provide responses to requests 6.2 and 6.4 in its SPO Document containing the

Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-13 and A1-14 of the SPO Summary Table.

21. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if GBN cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, GBN shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
22. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Bywaters must provide a response to request 6.3 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-15 of the SPO Summary Table.
23. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Bywaters cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Bywaters shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

Manufacturer Targeted Claimants

24. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, CEMEX must provide responses to requests 6.3 and 6.5 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-16 and A1-17 of the SPO Summary Table.
25. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if CEMEX cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, CEMEX shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
26. By 4pm on 14 February 2025, Arla must provide responses to requests 2.9.1(b), 6.3.3(b) and 6.3.4(b) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-18 to A1-20 of the SPO Summary Table.

27. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Blakemore must provide responses to requests 6.3 and 6.5 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-20 to A1-22 of the SPO Summary Table.
28. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Blakemore cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Blakemore shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
29. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Moy Park must provide responses to requests 6.2.2, 6.3 and 6.5 containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-22 to A1-24 of the SPO Summary Table.
30. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Moy Park cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Moy Park shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.

Retailer Targeted Claimants

31. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, Boots must provide responses to requests 6.3 and 6.5 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A1-26 and A1-27 of the SPO Summary Table.
32. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if Boots cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, Boots shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
33. By 4pm on 7 February 2025, Sainsbury's must provide a response to:

- a) requests 6.3.2(a) and 6.3.3(a) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-28 of the SPO Summary Table; and
 - b) requests 6.6 and 6.7 in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-29 of the SPO Summary Table.
34. By 4pm on 7 February 2025, Asda must provide a response to request 6.3.2(a) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-30 of the SPO Summary Table.
35. By 4pm on 7 February 2025, Morrisons must provide a response to request 6.3.2(a) in its SPO Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A1-31 of the SPO Summary Table.

Responses to Dr Williams' questionnaires

36. The Edwin Coe Claimants who have instructed Dr Chris Williams as their expert shall provide to the Defendants at the same time as they provide to Dr Williams:
- a) copies of any responses and/or Information provided to their expert Dr Williams in response to his questionnaires titled "*Supply Pass-on: Information Request for Hauliers based in Ireland*" dated 25 June 2024 and "*Supply Pass-on: Information Request for Hauliers*" dated 10 July 2024;
 - b) if follow up questions were raised, copies of the questions and any replies and Information provided.

DEFENDANTS' TRS VoC REQUESTS

Boots TRS Claimants

37. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025:

- a) the Rockwool TRS Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A2-2 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
 - b) the Glanbia TRS Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A2-3 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
 - c) the Nomad TRS Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A2-3 to A2-4 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
 - d) the Mitchells & Butlers TRS Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A2-4 to A2-5 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
 - e) the Pilgrim's Pride TRS Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on page A2-5 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
 - f) the Moy Park Claimants must provide responses to request 2.8 in their TRS VoC Document containing the Information requested in column 4 on pages A2-5 and A2-6 of the TRS VoC Summary Table.
38. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by 4pm on 21 February 2025, if any of the Boots TRS Claimants cannot provide the Information ordered in the previous paragraph, that particular Boots TRS Claimant shall provide a detailed affidavit explaining in specific terms why it is not possible to produce such Information and having attached thereto all relevant documentation vouching the position set out in the affidavit.
39. By 4pm on 7 February 2025, the Nomad TRS Claimants must provide any Information responsive to the TRS VoC requests in the TRS VoC Document dated 27 September 2024 contained in the materials received by Fieldfisher LLP on 17 December 2024 and 8 January 2025.

COSTS

40. Costs reserved.

OTHER

41. Liberty to apply.

The Honourable Lord Ericht
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 30 January 2025
Drawn: 5 February 2025

ANNEX 1: CASES INCLUDED IN THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS PROCEEDINGS

Case Number	Case Name
Cases in England	
1296/5/7/18	Arla Foods AMBA & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Another
1338/5/7/20 (T)	Adnams PLC & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1343/5/7/20 (T)	DS Smith Paper Limited & Others v MAN SE & Others
1355/5/7/20 (T)	Hertz Autovermietung GmbH & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1356/5/7/20 (T)	Balfour Beatty Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1358/5/7/20 (T)	Zamenhof Exploitation & Others v Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V. & Others
1360/5/7/20 (T)	BFS Group Limited & Another v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1361/5/7/20 (T)	Enterprise Rent-a-Car UK Limited v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1362/5/7/20 (T)	ABF Grain Products Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1368/5/7/20 (T)	LafargeHolcim Limited & Others v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ) & Others
1371/5/7/20 (T)	The BOC Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1372/5/7/20 (T)	GIST Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1417/5/7/21 (T)	Dan Ryan Truck Rental Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1420/5/7/21 (T)	A to Z Catering Supplies Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1431/5/7/22 (T)	Adur District Council & Others v TRATON SE & Others
1521/5/7/22 (T)	Wm Morrison Supermarkets PLC & Others v Volvo Group UK Limited & Others
1578/5/7/23 (T)	Asda & Others v AB Volvo & Others
1594/5/7/23 (T)	GAP Group Limited and Another v DAF Trucks Limited and Others
1610/5/7/23 (T)	Rowleys of Northwich Limited and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1607/5/7/23 (T)	Wincanton Holdings Limited and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1608/5/7/23 (T)	Adnams PLC and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1609/5/7/23 (T)	SP0117 Limited (as Assignee) and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1616/5/7/23 (T)	Boots & Others v. Traton & Others

1633/5/7/24	Tesco Stores Limited & anor v Scania (Great Britain) limited & others
Cases in Northern Ireland	
1536/5/7/22 (T)	C Faulkner & Sons v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ)
18/78144	JH Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited -v- AB Volvo
20/22730	McHugh's Oil Limited -v- AB Volvo
18/33243	Niall McCann trading as NMC Haulage -v- AB Volvo
20/41004	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport -v- AB Volvo
1674/5/7/24 (T)	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited -v- DAF Trucks N.V.
1675/5/7/24 (T)	Gibson Bros Limited -v- DAF Trucks N.V.
1676/5/7/24 (T)	Joseph Walls Ltd -v- DAF Trucks NV
1677/5/7/24 (T)	M.G. Oils Limited -v- DAF Trucks NV
1678/5/7/24 (T)	J.K.C. Specialist Cars Limited -v- DAF Trucks NV
1679/5/7/24 (T)	G.P. Marketing Limited trading as Patterson Oil -v- DAF Trucks NV
1680/5/7/24 (T)	J.H. Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited -v- DAF Trucks NV
1681/5/7/24 (T)	Trevor Leckey t/a Stoneyford Concrete -v- DAF Trucks NV
20/58982	Derek O'Reilly t/a O'Reilly's The Sweet People -v- Daimler AG
20/58998	Patrick Megoran -v- Daimler AG
20/58974	Stephen Pollard -v- Daimler AG
1682/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Daimler AG
20/58984	Andrew Ingredients Ltd -v- Daimler AG
18/78073	Kieran Quinn t/a Pomeroy Haulage -v- Daimler AG
20/58977	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited -v- Daimler AG
1683/5/7/24 (T)	R Magowan & Son Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1684/5/7/24 (T)	C. Russell Auto Sales Ltd -v- Iveco S.P.A
1685/5/7/24 (T)	Kennedy & Morrison Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1686/5/7/24 (T)	Niall McCann t/a NMC Haulage -v- Iveco S.P.A
1687/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
Cases in Scotland	
1538/5/7/22 (T)	Clackmannanshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd &
1539/5/7/22 (T)	Angus Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1540/5/7/22 (T)	East Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1541/5/7/22 (T)	The City of Edinburgh Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1542/5/7/22 (T)	East Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1543/5/7/22 (T)	East Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1544/5/7/22 (T)	Fife Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1545/5/7/22 (T)	Midlothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1546/5/7/22 (T)	Glasgow City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others

1547/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1548/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Water v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1549/5/7/22 (T)	West Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1550/5/7/22 (T)	Perth & Kinross Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1551/5/7/22 (T)	Stirling Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1552/5/7/22 (T)	Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1553/5/7/22 (T)	South Ayrshire Council V VFS & Others
1554/5/7/22 (T)	The North Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1555/5/7/22 (T)	Western Isles Council v VFS Financial Services & Others
1556/5/7/22 (T)	West Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services
1557/5/7/22 (T)	North Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1558/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Borders Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1559/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee CC & Others t/a Tayside Contracts v VFS FS Ltd & Others
1560/5/7/22 (T)	Aberdeenshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1561/5/7/22 (T)	Argyll and Bute Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1562/5/7/22 (T)	East Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1563/5/7/22 (T)	South Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1564/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy (Processing Ltd) v CNH Industrial
1565/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy Ltd v CNH Industrial N.V.
1566/5/7/22 (T)	Graham's Dairies Limited v CNH Industrial N.V

ANNEX 2: OVERVIEW OF THE PARTIES

Definition	Description
The Arla Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1296/5/7/18
The Edwin Coe Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1338/5/7/20 (T), 1417/5/7/21 (T), 1420/5/7/21 (T), 1594/5/7/23 (T), 1607/5/7/23 (T), 1608/5/7/23 (T), 1609/5/7/23 (T) and 1610/5/7/23 (T).
The Asda Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1578/5/7/23 (T).
The DS Smith Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1343/5/7/20 (T).
The Adur Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1431/5/7/22 (T).
The Boots Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1616/5/7/23 (T).
The Hausfeld Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1355/5/7/20 (T), 1356/5/7/20 (T), 1358/5/7/20 (T), 1371/5/7/20 (T) and 1372/5/7/20 (T).
The BCLP Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1360/5/7/20 (T), 1361/5/7/20 (T) and 1362/5/7/20 (T)
The LafargeHolcim Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1368/5/7/20 (T).
The Morrisons Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1521/5/7/22 (T)
The Northern Irish Plaintiffs	The Plaintiffs in cases filed in Northern Ireland as set out in Annex 1.
The Scottish Pursuers	The Pursuers in cases filed in Scotland as set out in Annex 1.
The Defendants	The Defendant Manufacturing Groups of DAF, MAN, Iveco, Volvo/Renault, Daimler and Scania in relation to the cases filed in England and Wales.